Ecological site R150AY527TX
Clayey Bottomland

Last updated: 9/22/2023
Accessed: 09/23/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 150A–Gulf Coast Prairies

MLRA 150A is in the West Gulf Coastal Plain Section of the Coastal Plain Province of the Atlantic Plain in Texas (83 percent) and Louisiana (17 percent). It makes up about 16,365 square miles (42,410 square kilometers). It is characterized by nearly level plains that have low local relief and are dissected by rivers and streams that flow toward the Gulf of Mexico. Elevation ranges from sea level to about 165 feet (0 to 50 meters) along the interior margin. It includes the towns of Crowley, Eunice, and Lake Charles, Louisiana, and Beaumont, Houston, Bay City, Victoria, Corpus Christi, Robstown, and Kingsville, Texas. Interstates 10 and 45 are in the northeastern part of the area, and Interstate 37 is in the southwestern part. U.S. Highways 90 and 190 are in the eastern part, in Louisiana. U.S. Highway 77 passes through Kingsville, Texas. The Attwater Prairie Chicken National Wildlife Refuge and the Fannin Battleground State Historic Site are in the part of the area in Texas.

Classification relationships

USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006. -Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 150A

Ecological site concept

The Clayey Bottomland site has very deep, clayey surface textured soils that occur on flood plains. The areas can be flooded and ponded for lengthy durations throughout the year. This site is not similar in soils, landscape positions or vegetation to any other sites in MLRA 150A.

Associated sites

R150AY526TX	**Southern Blackland** The Southern Blackland ecological site shows an intact grass community with small clumped dispersal of woody species. The soils are very deep, richly black in color, and characterized by their shrink-swell nature. The sites are widely distributed across the uplands in areas with mean annual precipitation from 32 to 41 inches.
R150AY740TX	**Northern Blackland** This ecological site shows an intact grass community with small clumped dispersal of woody species. The soils are very deep, richly black in color, and characterized by their shrink-swell nature. The sites are widely distributed across the uplands and terraces in areas with greater than 48 inches of mean annual rainfall

R150AY541TX	**Sandy Bottomland** The ecological site has very deep, somewhat excessively drained soils that are occasionally or frequently flooded. Flooding may occur at any time during the year but the winter and spring months are the most common. Due to the position on the landscape and coarse-textured soils, these sites drain quicker and do not stay flooded as long as the loamy and clayey bottomlands sites. The drainage patterns and sandy soils create their unique plant community.
R150AY534TX	**Loamy Bottomland** Loamy Bottomland is on floodplains and is on the lowest setting on the landscape. The soils formed in loamy alluvium. The hazard of flooding occurs on these sites.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) *Ulmus crassifolia* (2) *Celtis*
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) *Elymus virginicus* (2) *Sorghastrum nutans*

Physiographic features

The site formed in thick alkaline clayey alluvial sediments on the flood plains draining the Coastal Plain and the Coast Prairie. The bottomlands are along the lower Brazos and Colorado Rivers. Slope is dominantly less than 1 percent but ranges to 5 percent. Elevation ranges from 10 to 150 feet. The site floods rarely to occasionally for brief or long durations, except where protected. Runoff ranges from low to high.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) River valley > Flood plain
Runoff class	High to very high
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Flooding frequency	Rare to frequent
Ponding duration	Very long (more than 30 days)
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	0 – 50 m

Slope	0 %
Ponding depth	0 – 60 cm
Water table depth	60 – 150 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The climate of MLRA 150A is humid subtropical with mild winters. The average annual precipitation in the northern two-thirds of this area is 45 to 63 inches. It is 28 inches at the extreme southern tip of the area and 30 to 45 inches in the southwestern third of the area. The precipitation is fairly evenly distributed, but it is slightly higher in late summer and midsummer in the western part of the area and slightly higher in winter in the eastern part. Rainfall typically occurs as moderate intensity, tropical storms that produce large amounts of rain during the winter. The average annual temperature is 66 to 72 degrees F. The freeze-free period averages 325 days and ranges from 290 to 365 days, increasing in length to the southwest.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	230-260 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	350-370 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	890-1,400 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	220-370 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	230-370 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	810-1,470 mm
Frost-free period (average)	260 days
Freeze-free period (average)	340 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,170 mm

- (1) KINGSVILLE NAAS [USW00012928], Kingsville, TX
- (2) ROBSTOWN [USC00417677], Robstown, TX
- (3) C C BOTANICAL GARDENS [USC00412013], Corpus Christi, TX
- (4) BEEVILLE CHASE NAAS [USW00012925], Beeville, TX
- (5) REFUGIO 2 NW [USC00417533], Refugio, TX
- (6) VICTORIA FIRE DEPT #5 [USC00419361], Victoria, TX
- (7) PORT LAVACA [USC00417183], Port Lavaca, TX

- (8) BAY CITY WTR WKS [USC00410569], Bay City, TX
- (9) EL CAMPO [USC00412786], El Campo, TX
- (10) COLUMBUS [USC00411911], Columbus, TX
- (11) ANGLETON 2 W [USC00410257], Angleton, TX
- (12) THOMPSONS 3 WSW [USC00418996], Richmond, TX
- (13) HOUSTON HOOKS MEM AP [USW00053910], Tomball, TX
- (14) HOUSTON-PORT [USC00414326], Houston, TX
- (15) ALVIN [USC00410204], Alvin, TX
- (16) HOUSTON SAN JACINTO DA [USC00414328], Houston, TX
- (17) ANAHUAC [USC00410235], Anahuac, TX
- (18) BEAUMONT RSCH CTR [USC00410613], Beaumont, TX
- (19) PORT ARTHUR SE TX AP [USW00012917], Port Arthur, TX

Influencing water features

Clayey bottomlands are on floodplains. Flooding occurs at anytime of the year. Floodwater originates from areas upstream and from surrounding higher landforms that drain into the floodplain. Some areas may be inundated for several weeks.

Wetland description

Correlated soils are considered hydric, but onsite delineations are needed to determine if the site meets wetland criteria as outlined by the US Army Corps of Engineers.

Soil features

The soils are poorly drained to moderately well drained and very deep. Permeability is very slow. The surface texture is typically clay. Soil reaction is moderately acid to moderately alkaline. Diagnostic features and horizons include a mollic epipedon, cambic horizon, and vertic features. Soils correlated to this site include: Brazoria, Chicolete, Churnabog, Ganado, Navaca, and Pledger.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Clay
Family particle size	(1) Fine (2) Very-fine
Drainage class	Poorly drained to moderately well drained
Permeability class	Very slow
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-152.4cm)	17.78 – 25.4 cm

Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-50.8cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-50.8cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-50.8cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-50.8cm)	5.6 – 7.9
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (50.8-152.4cm)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-152.4cm)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The plant community can vary considerably in composition and structure depending on interactions of the flooding regime, fire, grazing, and weather variations. Historically, prior to European settlement, the site would have supported either an open tallgrass savannah of scattered clumps of trees with a canopy cover of up to 20 percent, or a nearly closed canopy forest with relatively sparse understory cover. The difference between the two communities would largely have been a function of the frequency and intensity of fires. Areas that burned frequently would have been more open and is represented by the reference community. Areas protected from fires developed into a forested community. Historically, the savannah communities would have been grazed by free-roaming herds of bison. When present grazing may have been intense, but frequent long periods of rest would permit recovery and development of fuel for fires to constrain development of the woody component. Lightning alone would account for a high frequency of fire, particularly in the late summer, and as Native Americans used fire as a tool to control animal movement and vegetation dynamics.

Flooding exerts a major influence on the plant communities. Flooding is a natural process and creates an active geomorphic surface. High peak flows of flood waters can periodically cause trees to be knocked down and carried downstream which reduces woody canopy cover. Also, floods can deposit sediments on the herbaceous vegetation and cause disturbance to large patches of the plant community. The longterm flooding and meandering of the river across the floodplain contributes to variation in topography and soil texture. In some places, this causes considerable heterogeneity, while in other locations the floodplain may be very level and homogeneous. The flood regime of many river floodplains that contain this site has been greatly altered in many places by placement of dams on the river and construction of levee systems to retard flooding. Conversely, many areas receive more runoff due to adjacent upland land use and hence may have higher peak flows than under natural conditions.

The reference tallgrass savannah state on has about a 20 percent canopy cover of hackberry (Celtis laevigata), live oak (Quercus virginiana), pecan (Carya illinoinensis), cedar elm (Ulmus crassifolia), and other tree species in the floodplain overstory. Along streambanks and areas where lighted can penetrate, black willow (Salix nigra), cottonwood (Populus deltoides), and sycamore (Platanus occidentalis) occur. A minimal shrub layer exists, and the herbaceous layer consists of a nearly 100 percent cover of tallgrasses including Indiangrass (Sorghastrum nutans), little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium), big bluestem (Andropogon gerardii), switchgrass (Panicum virgatum), and eastern gamagrass (Tripsacum dactyloides). A variety of perennial forbs occur as interstitial plants within the grass matrix. In disturbance openings annual forbs are abundant. With disturbance, particularly continuous heavy grazing, the tallgrasses would decrease in abundance and be replaced by less productive midgrasses including bushy bluestem (Andropogon glomeratus), rustyseed paspalum (Panicum langei), Texas wintergrass (Stipa leucotricha), longspike tridens (Tridens strictus), sedges (Carex spp.), and beaked panicum (Panicum anceps). A shift in perennial forbs will occur to western ragweed (Ambrosia psilostachya), rock sneezeweed

(Helenium amarum), and others. With reduced cover and biomass of the herbaceous layer, fires will be less intense, if they occur at all, and this would favor increases of shrub, vine, and tree seedlings and saplings. This sequence of changes can be reversed by applying proper grazing management and prescribed fire. Continued reduction of the tall and midgrasses would result in increases of shortgrasses such as carpetgrass (Axonopus affinis), common bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon), and buffalograss (Buchloe dactyloides).

With continued overgrazing, a threshold will be crossed that shifts the community into a forest trajectory which has a high percentage canopy cover of trees and a midstory of shrubs, vines, and a relatively sparse herbaceous layer. To return across this threshold would require chemical and mechanical woody plant treatments along with prescribed fire and prescribed grazing. In some instances, with overgrazing and lack of fire, savannah state can be invaded by weedy shrubs, forbs, and tree seedlings. This tree/weed/shrub state would have huisache (Acacia smallii), mesquite (Prosopis glandulosa), coffee bean (Sesbania drummondii), devil weed (Leucosyris spinosa), blood ragweed (Ambrosia trifida), and many other species of broad-leaved forbs. Reversal back to the savannah once this threshold is crossed requires brush management, pest management, prescribed fire, and perhaps reseeding if the change has proceeded to the point of loss of most of the original grasses and forbs are lost. Alternatively, the savannah community may be invaded by exotic grasses, such as bermudagrass, smutgrass (Sporobolus indicus), and Bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum) to produce an invaded grassland state. Brush and pest management and reseeding may be necessary to return to the savannah state.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	**Tall/Midgrasses**			2242-5044	
	switchgrass	PAVI2	*Panicum virgatum*	1121-3363	–
	eastern gamagrass	TRDA3	*Tripsacum dactyloides*	1121-3363	–
	redtop panicgrass	PARI4	*Panicum rigidulum*	1121-2242	–
	little bluestem	SCSC	*Schizachyrium scoparium*	1121-2242	–
	Indiangrass	SONU2	*Sorghastrum nutans*	1121-2242	–
	big bluestem	ANGE	*Andropogon gerardii*	1121-2242	–
	Florida paspalum	PAFL4	*Paspalum floridanum*	1121-2242	–
	vine mesquite	PAOB	*Panicum obtusum*	560-1121	–
2	**Tall/Midgrasses**			673-1513	
	sedge	CAREX	*Carex*	224-897	–
	Virginia wildrye	ELVI3	*Elymus virginicus*	224-673	–
	beaked panicgrass	PAAN	*Panicum anceps*	224-673	–
	rustyseed paspalum	PALA11	*Paspalum langei*	112-560	–
	southwestern bristlegrass	SESC2	*Setaria scheelei*	112-560	–
	big sandbur	CEMY	*Cenchrus myosuroides*	112-560	–
	multiflower false Rhodes grass	TRPL3	*Trichloris pluriflora*	112-560	–
	Indian woodoats	CHLA5	*Chasmanthium latifolium*	112-224	–
	purpletop tridens	TRFL2	*Tridens flavus*	112-224	–
3	**Midgrasses**			448-1009	
	bushy bluestem	ANGLH	*Andropogon glomeratus var. hirsutior*	224-336	–
	buffalograss	BODA2	*Bouteloua dactyloides*	224-336	–
	silver beardgrass	BOLAT	*Bothriochloa laguroides ssp. torreyana*	224-336	–
	Texas wintergrass	NALE3	*Nassella leucotricha*	224-336	–
	marsh bristlegrass	SEPA10	*Setaria parviflora*	224-336	–
	white tridens	TRAL2	*Tridens albescens*	224-336	–
	longspike tridens	TRST2	*Tridens strictus*	224-336	–
4	**Mid/Shortgrasses**			0-56	
	broomsedge bluestem	ANVI2	*Andropogon virginicus*	0-56	–

	longleaf woodoats	CHSE2	*Chasmanthium sessiliflorum*	0-56	–
	jointtail grass	COELO	*Coelorachis*	0-56	–
	twoflower melicgrass	MEMU	*Melica mutica*	0-56	–
	nimblewill	MUSC	*Muhlenbergia schreberi*	0-56	–
	longtom	PADE24	*Paspalum denticulatum*	0-56	–
	panicgrass	PANIC	*Panicum*	0-56	–
	brownseed paspalum	PAPL3	*Paspalum plicatulum*	0-56	–
	big sacaton	SPWR2	*Sporobolus wrightii*	0-56	–
Forb					
5	**Forbs**			224-504	
	wild petunia	RUELL	*Ruellia*	56-112	–
	hoe nightshade	SOPH	*Solanum physalifolium*	56-112	–
	amberique-bean	STHE9	*Strophostyles helvola*	56-112	–
	Baldwin's ironweed	VEBA	*Vernonia baldwinii*	56-112	–
	white crownbeard	VEVI3	*Verbesina virginica*	56-112	–
	big yellow velvetleaf	WIAM	*Wissadula amplissima*	56-112	–
	Forb, annual	2FA	*Forb, annual*	56-112	–
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	*Ambrosia psilostachya*	56-112	–
	jimsonweed	DAST	*Datura stramonium*	56-112	–
	velvet bundleflower	DEVE2	*Desmanthus velutinus*	56-112	–
	Engelmann's daisy	ENPE4	*Engelmannia peristenia*	56-112	–
	swamp sunflower	HEAN2	*Helianthus angustifolius*	56-112	–
	lespedeza	LESPE	*Lespedeza*	56-112	–
	dotted blazing star	LIPU	*Liatris punctata*	56-112	–
	littleleaf sensitive-briar	MIMI22	*Mimosa microphylla*	56-112	–
	yellow puff	NELU2	*Neptunia lutea*	56-112	–
	swamp smartweed	POHY2	*Polygonum hydropiperoides*	56-112	–
	least snoutbean	RHMI4	*Rhynchosia minima*	56-112	–
	snoutbean	RHYNC2	*Rhynchosia*	11-25	–
Shrub/Vine					
6	**Shrubs/Vines**			224-504	
	Alabama supplejack	BESC	*Berchemia scandens*	56-140	–
	trumpet creeper	CARA2	*Campsis radicans*	56-140	–
	spiny hackberry	CEEH	*Celtis ehrenbergiana*	56-140	–
	Texas hawthorn	CRTE2	*Crataegus texana*	56-140	–
	possumhaw	ILDE	*Ilex decidua*	56-140	–
	yaupon	ILVO	*Ilex vomitoria*	56-140	–
	western white honeysuckle	LOAL	*Lonicera albiflora*	56-140	–
	honey mesquite	PRGL2	*Prosopis glandulosa*	56-140	–
	southern dewberry	RUTR	*Rubus trivialis*	56-140	–
	saw greenbrier	SMBO2	*Smilax bona-nox*	56-140	–
	coralberry	SYOR	*Symphoricarpos orbiculatus*	56-140	–
	eastern poison ivy	TORA2	*Toxicodendron radicans*	56-140	–
	muscadine	VIRO3	*Vitis rotundifolia*	56-140	–
	grape	VITIS	*Vitis*	56-140	–
Tree					

7	**Trees**			673-1513	
	pecan	CAIL2	*Carya illinoinensis*	112-841	–
	American sycamore	PLOC	*Platanus occidentalis*	112-841	–
	eastern cottonwood	PODED	*Populus deltoides ssp. deltoides*	112-841	–
	live oak	QUVI	*Quercus virginiana*	112-841	–
	black willow	SANI	*Salix nigra*	112-841	–
	western soapberry	SASAD	*Sapindus saponaria var. drummondii*	112-841	–
	American elm	ULAM	*Ulmus americana*	112-841	–
	cedar elm	ULCR	*Ulmus crassifolia*	112-841	–
	water oak	QUNI	*Quercus nigra*	56-280	–
	netleaf hackberry	CELAR	*Celtis laevigata var. reticulata*	56-280	–
	knockaway	EHAN	*Ehretia anacua*	56-280	–
	green ash	FRPE	*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*	56-280	–
	honeylocust	GLTR	*Gleditsia triacanthos*	56-280	–
	planertree	PLAQ	*Planera aquatica*	56-280	–

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 8. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 9. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Animal community

The Coastal Prairie communities support a wide array of animals. Cattle and many species of wildlife make extensive use of the site. White-tailed deer may be found scattered across the prairie and are found in heavier concentrations where woody cover exists. Feral hogs are present and at times abundant. Coyotes are abundant and fill the mammalian predator niche. Rodent populations rise during drier periods and fall during periods of inundation. Attwater's pocket gophers are abundant and have an important impact on the ecology of the site. The badger is present but not abundant in locations at the southern extent of the site. Locally unique species alligators and bullfrogs. The region is a major flyway for waterfowl and migrating birds. Hundreds of thousands of ducks, geese, and sandhill cranes abound during winter. Two important endangered species occur in the area, the whooping crane and Attwater's prairie chicken. Many other species of avian predators including northern harriers, ferruginous hawks, red-tailed hawks, white-tailed kites, kestrels, and, occasionally, swallow-tailed kites utilize the vast grasslands. Many species of grassland birds use the site, including blue grosbeaks, dickcissels, eastern meadowlarks, several sparrows, including, vesper sparrow, lark sparrow, savannah sparrow, grasshopper sparrow, and Le Conte's sparrow.

Hydrological functions

Peak rainfall periods occur in May and June from thunderstorms and in September and October from tropical systems. Rainfall events may be high (3 to 5 inches per event) and intense. Extended periods (45 to 60 days) of little to no rainfall during the growing season are common. Because of the flat topography and bottomland landform of this site, erosion is minimal; however, on more sloping aspects (greater than 3 percent), erosion may be very significant.

Inventory data references

Vegetative data for this site was obtained from existing Range Site Descriptions and SCS-417 data. Extensive field work was done onsite to catalog the plant community. Several range-trained personnel with state and federal agencies and in private enterprise were consulted on the plant communities as well. Personal contact with ranchers and managers was utilized to ascertain the use of plants by both cattle

and wildlife.

Other references

Allain, L., L. Smith, C. Allen, M. Vidrine, and J. B. Grace. 2006. A floristic quality assessment system for the Coastal Prairie of Louisiana. North American Prairie Conference, 19.

Allain, L., M. Vidrine, V. Grafe, C. Allen, and S. Johnson. 2000. Paradise lost: The coastal prairie of Louisiana and Texas. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Layfayette, LA.

Archer, S. 1994. Woody plant encroachment into southwestern grasslands and savannas: rates, patterns and proximate causes. Ecological implications of livestock herbivory in the West, 13-68.

Archer, S. 1995. Herbivore mediation of grass-woody plant interactions. Tropical Grasslands, 29:218-235.

Archer, S. 1995. Tree-grass dynamics in a Prosopis-thornscrub savanna parkland: reconstructing the past and predicting the future. Ecoscience, 2:83-99.

Archer, S. and F. E. Smeins. 1991. Ecosystem-level processes. Grazing Management: An Ecological Perspective. Edited by R.K. Heischmidt and J.W. Stuth. Timber Press, Portland, OR.

Baen, J. S. 1997. The growing importance and value implications of recreational hunting leases to agricultural land investors. Journal of Real Estate Research, 14:399-414.

Bailey, V. 1905. North American Fauna No. 25: Biological Survey of Texas. United States Department of Agriculture Biological Survey. Government Printing Office, Washington D. C.

Baldwin, H. Q., J. B. Grace, W. C. Barrow, and F. C. Rohwer. 2007. Habitat relationships of birds overwintering in a managed coastal prairie. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 119(2):189-198.

Beasom, S. L, G. Proudfoot, and J. Mays. 1994. Characteristics of a live oak-dominated area on the eastern South Texas Sand Plain. In the Caesar Kleberg Wildlife Research Institute Annual Report, 1-2.

Berlandier, J. L. 1980. Journey to Mexico during the years 1826 to 1834: translated. Texas State Historical Associated and the University of Texas. Austin, TX.

Bestelmeyer, B. T., J. R. Brown, K. M. Havstad, R. Alexander, G. Chavez, and J. E. Herrick. 2003. Development and use of state-and-transition models for rangelands. Journal of Range Management, 56(2):114-126.

Bollaert, W. 1956. William Bollaert's Texas. Edited by W. E. Hollon and R. L. Butler. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman, OK.

Bonnell, G. W. 1840. Topographical description of Texas: To which is added, an account of the Indian tribes. Clark, Wing, and Brown, Austin, TX.

Box, T. W. 1960. Herbage production on four range plant communities in South Texas. Journal of Range Management, 13:72-76.

Box, T. W. and A. D. Chamrad. 1966. Plant communities of the Welder Wildlife Refuge.

Briske, B. B, B. T. Bestelmeyer, T. K. Stringham, and P. L. Shaver. 2008. Recommendations for development of resilience-based State-and-Transition Models. Rangeland Ecology and Management, 61:359-367.

Brite, T. R. 1860. Atascosa County. The Texas Almanac for 1861. Richardson and Co., Galveston, TX.

Brown, J. R. and S. Archer. 1999. Shrub invasion of grassland: recruitment is continuous and not regulated by herbaceous biomass or density. Ecology, 80(7):2385-2396.

Chamrad, A. D. and J. D. Dodd. 1972. Prescribed burning and grazing for prairie chicken habitat manipulation in the Texas coastal prairie. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, 12:257-276.

Crawford, J. T. 1912. Correspondence from the British archives concerning Texas, 1837-1846. Edited by E. D. Adams. The Southwestern Historical Quarterly, 15:205-209.

Davis, R. B. and R. L. Spicer. 1965. Status of the practice of brush control in the Rio Grande Plain. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Bulletin, 46.

Davis, W. B. 1974. The Mammals of Texas. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Bulletin, 41.

Diamond, D. D. and T. E. Fulbright. 1990. Contemporary plant communities of upland grasslands of the Coastal Sand Plain, Texas. Southwestern Naturalist, 35:385-392.

Dillehay, T. 1974. Late quaternary bison population changes on the Southern Plains. Plains Anthropologist, 19:180-96.

Drawe, D. L., A. D. Chamrad, and T. W. Box. 1978. Plant communities of the Welder Wildlife Refuge.

Drawe, D. L. and T. W. Box. 1969. High rates of nitrogen fertilization influence Coastal Prairie range. Journal of Range Management, 22:32-36.

Edward, D. B. 1836. The history of Texas; or, the immigrants, farmers, and politicians guide to the character, climate, soil and production of that country. Geographically arranged from personal observation and experience. J. A. James and Co., Cincinnati, OH.

Everitt, J. H. and M. A. Alaniz. 1980. Fall and winter diets of feral pigs in south Texas. Journal of Range Management, 33:126-129.

Everitt, J. H. and D. L. Drawe. 1993. Trees, shrubs and cacti of South Texas. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock, TX.

Everitt, J. H., D. L. Drawe, and R. I. Lonard. 1999. Field guide to the broad-leaved herbaceous plants of South Texas used by livestock and wildlife. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock, TX.

Foster, J. H. 1917. Pre-settlement fire frequency regions of the United States: A first approximation. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, 20.

Foster, W. C. 2010. Spanish Expeditions into Texas 1689-1768. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Frost, C. C. 1995. Presettlement fire regimes in southeastern marshes, peatlands, and swamps. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, 19:39-60.

Frost, C. C. 1998. Presettlement fire frequency regimes of the United States: A first approximation. Fire in ecosystem management: Shifting the paradigm from suppression to prescription. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, 20:70-81.

Fulbright, T. E. and S. L. Beasom. 1987. Long-term effects of mechanical treatment on white-tailed deer browse. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 15:560-564.

Fulbright, T. E., D. D. Diamond, J. Rappole, and J. Norwine. 1990. The Coastal Sand Plain of Southern Texas. Rangelands, 12:337-340.

Fulbright, T. E., J. A. Ortega-Santos, A. Lozano-Cavazos, and L. E. Ramirez-Yanez. 2006. Establishing vegetation on migrating inland sand dunes in Texas. Rangeland Ecology and Management, 59:549-556.

Gould, F. W. 1975. The Grasses of Texas. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.

Grace, J. B., T. M. Anderson, M. D. Smith, E. Seabloom, S. J. Andelman, G. Meche, E. Weiher, L. K. Allain, H. Jutila, M. Sankaran, J. Knops, M. Ritchie, and M. R. Willig. 2007. Does species diversity limit productivity in natural grassland communities? Ecology Letters, 10(8):680-689.

Grace, J. B., L. K. Allain, H. Q. Baldwin, A. G. Billock, W. R. Eddleman, A. M. Given, C. W. Jeske, and R. Moss. 2005. Effects of prescribed fire in the coastal prairies of Texas. USGS Open File Report, 2005-1287.

Grace, J. B., L. Allain, C. Allen. 2000. Factors associated with plant species richness in a coastal tall-grass prairie. Journal of Vegetation Science, 11:443-452.

Graham, D. 2003. Kings of Texas: The 150-year saga of an American ranching empire. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

Hamilton, W. and D. Ueckert. 2005. Rangeland woody plant control: Past, present, and future. Brush management: Past, present, and future, 3-16.

Hansmire, J. A., D. L. Drawe, B. B. Wester, and C. M. Britton. 1988. Effect of winter burns on forbs and grasses of the Texas Coastal Prairie. The Southwestern Naturalist, 33(3):333-338.

Harcombe, P. A. and J. E. Neaville. 1997. Vegetation types of Chambers County, Texas. The Texas Journal of Science, 29:209-234.

Hatch, S. L., J. L. Schuster, and D. L. Drawe. 1999. Grasses of the Texas Gulf Prairies and Marshes. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.

Heitschmidt, R. K. and J. W. Stuth. 1991. Grazing management: An ecological perspective. Timberline Press, Portland, OR.

Hughes, G.U. 1846. Memoir Description of a March of a Division of the United States Army under the Command of Brigadier General John E. Wool, From San Antonio de Bexar, in Texas to Saltillo, in Mexico. Senate Executive Document, 32.

Inglis, J. M. 1964. A history of vegetation of the Rio Grande Plains. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Bulletin, 45.

Jenkins, J. H. 1973. The Papers of the Texas Revolution, 1835-1836. Presidential Press, Austin, TX.

Johnson, M. C. 1963. Past and present grasslands of southern Texas and northeastern Mexico. Ecology 44(3):456-466.

Joutel, H. 1906. Joutel's journal of La Salle's last voyage, 1686-1687. Edited by H. R. Stiles. Joseph McDonough, Albany, NY.

Kennedy, W. 1841. Texas: The rise, progress, and prospects of the Republic of Texas. Lincoln's Inn, London, England.

Kimmel, F. 2008. Louisiana's Cajun Prairie: An endangered ecosystem. Louisiana Conservationist, 61(3):4-7.

Le Houerou, H. N. and J. Norwine. 1988. The ecoclimatology of South Texas. In Arid lands: today and tomorrow. Edited by E. E. Whitehead, C. F. Hutchinson, B. N. Timmesman, and R. G. Varady, 417-444. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.

Lehman, V. W. 1965. Fire in the range of Attwater's prairie chicken. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, 4:127-143.

Lehman, V. W. 1969. Forgotten Legions: Sheep in the Rio Grande Plain of Texas. Texas Western Press, El Paso, TX.

Lusk, R. M. 1917. A history of Constantine Lodge, No. 13, ancient free, and accepted Masons, Bonham, Texas. Favorite Printing Co., Hilbert, WI.

McDanield, H. F. and N. A. Taylor. 1877. The coming empire, or, two thousand miles in Texas on horseback. A. S. Barnes & Company, New York, NY.

McGinty A. and D. N. Ueckert. 2001. The brush busters success story. Rangelands, 23:3-8.

McLendon, T. 1991. Preliminary description of the vegetation of south Texas exclusive of coastal saline zones. Texas Journal of Science, 43:13-32.

Mutz, J. L., T. J. Greene, C. J. Scifres, and B. H. Koerth. 1985. Response of Pan American balsamscale, soil, and livestock to prescribed burning. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin, B-1492.

Norwine, J. 1978. Twentieth-century semiarid climates and climatic fluctuations in Texas and northeastern Mexico. Journal of Arid Environments, 1:313-325.

Norwine, J. and R. Bingham. 1986. Frequency and severity of droughts in South Texas: 1900-1983, 1-17. Livestock and wildlife management during drought. Edited by R. D. Brown. Caesar Kleberg Wildlife Research Institute, Kingsville, TX.

Olmsted, F. L. 1857. A journey through Texas, or a saddle trip on the Southwest frontier: with a statistical appendix. Dix, Edwards, and co., New York, London.

Palmer, G. R., T. E. Fulbright, and G. McBryde. 1995. Inland sand dune reclamation on the Coastal Sand Plain of Southern Texas. Caesar Kleberg Wildlife Research Institute Annual Report, 30-31.

Pickens, B., S. L. King, B. Vermillion, L. M. Smith, and L. Allain. 2009. Conservation Planning for the Coastal Prairie Region of Louisiana. A final report from Louisiana State University to the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Prichard, D. 1998. Riparian area management: A user guide to assessing proper functioning condition and the supporting science for lotic areas. Bureau of Land Management, Denver, CO.

Rappole, J. H. and G. W. Blacklock. 1994. A field guide: Birds of Texas. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.

Rappole, J. H. and G. W. Blacklock. 1985. Birds of the Texas Coastal Bend: Abundance and distribution. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX.

Rhyne, M. Z. 1998. Optimization of wildlife and recreation earnings for private landowners. M. S. Thesis, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville, TX.

Schindler, J. R. and T. E. Fulbright. 2003. Roller chopping effects on Tamaulipan scrub community composition. Journal of Range Management, 56:585-590.

Schmidley, D. J. 1983. Texas mammals east of the Balcones Fault zone. Texas A&M University Press. College Station, TX.

Scifres C. J., W. T. Hamilton, J. R. Conner, J. M. Inglis, and G. A. Rasmussen. 1985. Integrated Brush Management Systems for South Texas: Development and Implementation. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, College Station, TX.

Scifres, C. J. 1975. Systems for improving McCartney rose infested coastal prairie rangeland. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin, MP 1225.

Scifres, C. J. and W. T. Hamilton. 1993. Prescribed burning for brushland management: The South Texas example. Texas A&M Press, College Station, TX.

Shelby, C. 1933. Letters of an early American traveler: Mary Austin Holley, her life and her works, 1784-1846. Southwest Press, Dallas, TX.

Siemann, E., and W. E. Rogers. 2007. The role of soil resources in an exotic tree invasion in Texas coastal prairie. Journal of Ecology, 95(4):689-697.

Smith, L. M. 1996. The rare and sensitive natural wetland plant communities of interior Louisiana. Louisiana Natural Heritage Program, Baton Rouge, LA.

Smeins, F. E., D. D. Diamond, and W. Hanselka. 1991. Coastal prairie, 269-290. Ecosystems of the World: Natural Grasslands. Edited by R. T. Coupland. Elsevier Press, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Stringham, T. K., W. C. Krueger, and P. L. Shaver. 2001. State and transition modeling: An ecological process approach. Journal of Range Management, 56(2):106-113.

Stutzenbaker, C. D. 1999. Aquatic and wetland plants of the Western Gulf Coast. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Tharp, B. C. 1926. Structure of Texas vegetation east of the 98th meridian. University of Texas Bulletin, 2606.

Urbatsch, L. 2000. Chinese tallow tree Triadica sebifera (L.) Small. USDA-NRCS, National Plant Center, Baton Rouge, LA.

Van't Hul, J. T., R. S. Lutz, and N. E. Mathews. 1997. Impact of prescribed burning on vegetation and bird abundance on Matagorda Island, Texas. Journal of Range Management, 50:346-360.

Vidrine, M. F. 2010. The Cajun Prairie: A natural history. Cajun Prairie Habitat Preservation Society, Eunice, LA.

Vines, R. A. 1984. Trees of Central Texas. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Vines, R. A. 1977. Trees of Eastern Texas. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Warren, W. S. 1998. The La Salle Expedition to Texas: The journal of Henry Joutel, 1684-1687. Edited by W. C. Foster. Texas State Historical Association, Austin, TX.

Wade, D. D., B. L. Brock, P. H. Brose, J. B. Grace, G. A. Hoch, and W. A. Patterson III. 2000. Fire in Eastern ecosystems. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Edited by. J. K. Brown and J. Kaplers. United States Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT.

Weaver, J. E. and F. E. Clements. 1938. Plant ecology. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Whittaker, R. H., L. E. Gilbert, and J. H. Connell. 1979. Analysis of a two-phase pattern in a mesquite grassland, Texas. Journal of Ecology, 67:935-52.

Wilbarger, J. W. 1889. Indian depredation in Texas. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, Scotts Valley, CA.

Williams, L. R. and G. N Cameron. 1985. Effects of removal of pocket gophers on a Texas coastal prairie. The American Midland Naturalist Journal, 115:216-224.

Woodin, M. C., M. K. Skoruppa, and G. C. Hickman. 2000. Surveys of night birds along the Rio Grande in Webb County, Texas. Final Report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Corpus Christi, TX.

Wright, H.A. and A.W. Bailey. 1982. Fire Ecology: United States and Southern Canada. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ.

Contributors

Fred Smeins, Professor, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX
Tim Reinke, RMS, NRCS, Victoria, TX

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/22/2023

Acknowledgments

Technical Contributors and Reviewers: Justin Clary, RMS, NRCS, Temple, TX Shanna Dunn, RSS, NRCS, Corpus Christi, TX Mark Moseley, RMS, NRCS, Boerne, TX

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/23/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:**

   _____

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:**

   _____

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

   _____

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

   _____

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant:

    Sub-dominant:

    Other:

    Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future**

establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
