

Ecological site F146XY011ME Floodplain

Last updated: 5/20/2025
Accessed: 09/17/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 146X–Aroostook Area

This area is entirely in Maine and makes up about 1,275 square miles (3,305 square kilometers). Presque Isle is the largest city in the area. Interstate 95 ends in the town of Houlton, at the border with New Brunswick, Canada. Aroostook State Park, Fort Kent Historic Site, and Loring Commerce Center are in this area. The Big Rock ski area is in the middle of this MLRA and is on the highest point, which is Mars Hill Mountain.

Ecological site concept

The floodplain ecological site includes floodplains, banks, terraces, sand and cobble bars, and all other land surfaces associated with major river and stream deposits. Flood frequency and intensity are the primary drivers of plant community distribution within this complex of soils and vegetation. Where seasonal flooding deposits or drought expose sands and cobbles along the river's edge, herbs, sedges, and grasses predominate. Silt loams predominate, with occasional lenses of coarser sands, gravels, and cobbles deposited during high-energy flood events. Oxbows and backwater sloughs diversify the soils even further, resulting in a broad range of textures and drainage classes. Where soils are well or moderately well-drained, this site is considered prime farmland and is mostly under cultivation.

Associated sites

F146XY021ME	Marsh The marsh ecological site may occur adjacent to or within floodplains due to hydrological alteration (natural/anthropogenic) of floodplain sites.
--------------------	---

Similar sites

RX143X00Y110	Broad Floodplain Riparian Complex Similar to other floodplains in adjacent MLRAs.
---------------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Acer saccharinum</i> (2) <i>Populus balsamifera</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Alnus incana</i> (2) <i>Cornus sericea</i>

Herbaceous	(1) <i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i> (2) <i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>
------------	--

Physiographic features

This site occurs on the banks, floodplains, terraces and other surfaces associated with large rivers and streams. Slopes are gentle and elevations range from near sea level up to 1750 feet.

This site represents a riverine complex of various topographic features which are deposited and maintained by large amounts of flowing water. Nearest the channel, stream banks can be steep and stabilized, or slope gently into the water, especially where sands, gravels, or cobbles are exposed. Natural levies sometimes form along the banks, which often affect the species composition of the floodplain. The active floodplain is a flat area where floodwaters dissipate energy as they overflow their banks, depositing a thin layer of sediment along the way. At the banks and active floodplains, the water table is most often within 36 inches of the soil surface. Further away from the channel, floodplains often border elevated steps and stream terraces, which flood less frequently than the active floodplain and have a deeper water table. Each of these fluvial surfaces may support a distinct plant community.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Flood plain (2) Stream terrace (3) Flood-plain step
Runoff class	Negligible to low
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to long (7 to 30 days)
Flooding frequency	Rare to frequent
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	0 – 310 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Water table depth	0 – 180 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The climate of this site is characterized by cold, snowy winters, and cool summers. Precipitation is nearly equally distributed throughout the year, with slightly more moisture falling in June-October. During winter months, and sometimes fall and spring, cold winds from the north bring severe weather events. The effects of a relatively short growing season are somewhat mitigated by long summer days associated with the high latitudes of the region. Occasionally high winds, heavy snow load, microbursts, or freezing rain events damage vegetation over small portions of the landscape.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	80-90 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	130-130 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	940-1,070 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	60-110 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	100-140 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	910-1,070 mm
Frost-free period (average)	90 days
Freeze-free period (average)	130 days
Precipitation total (average)	990 mm

- (1) ALLAGASH [USC00170200], Saint Francis, ME
- (2) BRIDGEWATER [USC00170833], Bridgewater, ME
- (3) FT KENT [USC00172878], Fort Kent, ME
- (4) HOULTON 5N [USC00173944], Houlton, ME
- (5) PRESQUE ISLE [USC00176937], Presque Isle, ME
- (6) HOULTON INTL AP [USW00014609], Houlton, ME
- (7) CARIBOU MUNI AP [USW00014607], Caribou, ME

Influencing water features

The effects of hydrology on this ecological site require further study. In general, this site occurs along the banks of large and medium sized perennial rivers that historically flooded regularly, as evidenced by the existence of layered floodplain deposits. However, the regulation of flow by dams, and the armoring of banks in some places, has reduced the impact of flooding on these sites.

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep but otherwise highly variable, as is common in alluvial settings. The dominant soil textures are silt loams, with occasional sand and gravel lenses. Typically there are very few rock fragments on the soil surface and throughout the profile, but along stream banks or older deposits there may be pockets with significant amounts of rounded rock. This site is mostly poorly and somewhat poorly drained soils, with some higher areas that are moderately well to well drained. The soil temperature regime is frigid, and the soil moisture regime is aquic or udic.

Additional study is required to identify whether consistent patterns exist between fluvial surfaces and soils and plants that occur on them.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – slate
-----------------	----------------------

Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Mucky silt loam (3) Very gravelly silt loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Very poorly drained to well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow
Soil depth	150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	17.02 – 39.88 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	3.6 – 6.5
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 50 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %
---	----------

Ecological dynamics

[Caveat: The vegetation information contained in this section and is only provisional, based on concepts, and future projects support validation through field work. *] The vegetation groupings described in this section are based on the terrestrial ecological system classification and vegetation associations developed by NatureServe, 2018 and localized associations provided by the Maine Natural Areas Program (Gawler and Cutko, 2010).

The floodplains site is a riparian complex, consisting of several distinct soils and plant communities that predictably occur on fluvial surfaces found along major rivers. Stream banks, point bars, and other fluvial surfaces closest to the water are the most dynamic component in the complex as erosion and deposition regularly re-arranges the location and specific soil properties of these surfaces. The proportion of each component in the riparian ecological site complex varies with disturbance.

Frequently disturbed stream banks are dominated by herbaceous and lesser disturbed floodplains and natural levees support more woody vegetation. Trees tend to dominate on floodplains where disturbances are less frequent, and soils are more stable while upper terraces receive the least amount of flooding and disturbance tend to support more upland species.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 1.4 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 1.5 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 11. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 12. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 13. Community 3.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Inventory data references

Future work is needed, as described in a future project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Other references

Comer, P., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Grawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schultz, K. Snow, and J. Teague. 2003. Ecological Systems of the United States: A Working Classification of U.S. Terrestrial Systems. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia

Gawler, S. and A. Cutko. 2010. Natural Landscapes of Maine: A Guide to Natural Communities and Ecosystems. Maine Natural Areas Program, Maine Department of Conservation, Augusta, Maine.

NatureServe. 2018. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. NatureServe Explorer (accessed 10 July. 2021).

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. Agricultural Handbook 296

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online. (accessed 11 Aug. 2021).

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Climate Research Station Data. Available online. (accessed 23 June. 2021).

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database for [MLRA 146, Maine]. Available online. (accessed 14 Oct. 2021).

USNVC [United States National Vegetation Classification]. 2017. United States National Vegetation Classification Database V2.01. Federal Geographic Data Committee, Vegetation Subcommittee, Washington DC. Available The U.S. National Vegetation Classification (usnvc.org) (accessed 2 July. 2021).

Contributors

Christopher Mann
Jamin Johanson

Approval

Greg Schmidt, 5/20/2025

Acknowledgments

Nels Barrett, Nick Butler, and Carl Bickford provided considerable review of this ecological site concept.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	

Date	05/06/2025
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
