

# Ecological site F142XB003VT

## Moist Outwash

Last updated: 10/03/2024  
 Accessed: 06/19/2026

---

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 142X–St. Lawrence-Champlain Plain

This MLRA is a glaciated area of low relief dominated by broad expanses of nearly level, sandy deltas and shallow lacustrine basins or plains punctuated by low hills of glacial till. Rivers and streams have cut relatively deep but narrow valleys across the plain. Elevation ranges from 80 to 1,000 feet, increasing gradually from the St. Lawrence River southward and from Lake Champlain to the east and west. Local relief generally is less than 30 feet, but glacial till ridges, till plains, and some outwash terraces rise 15 to 80 feet above the adjacent plains. This area has been glaciated, and a thin mantle of till covers most of the bedrock. Extensive areas of sandy glacial outwash and eolian deposits also occur. Some glacial lake sediments have been deposited above glacial moraines. These deposits are thickest in the valleys and thinnest on the ridges and highlands. During the later stages of the Wisconsin glacial period, seawater entered the Champlain Valley and deposited marine sediments that were later covered by freshwater sediments. The marine deposits are unique to the area. This area supports hardwoods. The beech-birch-sugar maple forest type is the dominant climax forest type on uplands. Associated with this type are basswood, American elm, maple species, white ash, black cherry, and white pine. The aspen-birch type, earlier in succession, is economically important. Such species as eastern hemlock, red maple, American elm, and spruce are on wet soils. Some of the major wildlife species in this area are white-tailed deer, red fox, raccoon, beaver, woodchuck, muskrat, cottontail, ruffed grouse, and woodcock.

### LRU notes

Land Resource Unit (LRU): Mesic Soil Temperature Regime The lower St. Lawrence and Champlain Valleys are characterized with soils in the mesic soil temperature regime (mean annual soil temperature between 46°F and 59°F) at 20 inches below the surface or at a densic, lithic, or paralithic contact, whichever is shallower. The Mesic Soil Temperature Regime (STR) will have a longer growing season than the upper St. Lawrence and Champlain Valleys which are characterized with soils in the frigid STR. Species more tolerant of milder year round temperatures would also be evident in the mesic LRU.

### Classification relationships

NRCS: Land Resource Region: R - Northeastern Forage and Forest Region MLRA: 142X–St. Lawrence-Champlain Plain LRU: B - Mesic Mean Annual Soil Temperature

### Ecological site concept

Landform/Landscape Position: The site occurs on outwash plains, deltas, and terraces, and sandy lake plains Slopes range from 0 to 25 percent. Soils: The site consists of very deep, moderately well drained or somewhat poorly drained soils formed in glacial outwash or sandy glaciolacustrine deposits. Representative soils are Cosad, Covert, Covertfalls, Deerfield, Eldridge, Belgrade, Homer, and Pipestone. Vegetation The reference community is predominantly an oak-pine forests with red oak, eastern white pine, American beech, sugar maple, black birch, and white ash as common trees. Understory includes spicebush, hobblebush, highbush blueberry, and witch-hazel.

### Associated sites

F142XB002VT	Dry Outwash
-------------	-------------

F142XA008NY	<b>Wet Outwash Depression</b>
-------------	-------------------------------

**Similar sites**

F142XA004NY	<b>Acidic Shallow Sandy Outwash</b>
F142XB012VT	<b>Rich Till Upland</b>

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

Tree	(1) <i>Quercus rubra</i> (2) <i>Acer saccharum</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Lindera benzoin</i> (2) <i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Polystichum acrostichoides</i> (2) <i>Trillium undulatum</i>

**Physiographic features**

The site occurs on outwash plains, deltas, and terraces, and sandy lake plains. Slopes range from 0 to 25 percent.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

Landforms	(1) Outwash plain (2) Outwash delta (3) Outwash terrace (4) Lake plain
Runoff class	Negligible to very high
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	0 – 310 m
Slope	0 – 30 %

Water table depth	30 – 90 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

### Climatic features

The Koppen-Geiger climate classification of the area in which this MLRA occurs is Dfb, Warm-summer humid continental. Rainfall occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms in the summer, and snowfall is heavy from late in Autumn to early Spring. The frost-free period in this area is longest in a narrow belt around Lake Champlain.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	110-130 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	140-160 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	910-1,070 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	100-130 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	130-160 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	810-1,090 mm
Frost-free period (average)	120 days
Freeze-free period (average)	150 days
Precipitation total (average)	990 mm

- (1) WHITEHALL [USC00309389], Whitehall, NY
- (2) CORNWALL [USC00431580], Middlebury, VT
- (3) WILLSBORO 1 N [USC00309495], Willsboro, NY
- (4) WATERTOWN INTL AP [USW00094790], Dexter, NY
- (5) GOUVERNEUR 3 NW [USC00303346], Gouverneur, NY
- (6) WATERTOWN [USC00309000], Watertown, NY

### Influencing water features

NONE

### Wetland description

NONE

### Soil features

The site consists of very deep, moderately well drained or somewhat poorly drained soils formed in glacial outwash or sandy glaciolacustrine deposits. Representative soils are Cosad, Covert, Covertfalls, Deerfield, Eldridge, Belgrade, Homer, and Pipestone.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

Parent material	(1) Glaciofluvial deposits – igneous and sedimentary rock (2) Glaciolacustrine deposits – granite and gneiss (3) Till – sandstone
Surface texture	(1) Gravelly loamy fine sand (2) Loamy fine sand (3) Loamy sand (4) Fine sand (5) Fine sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Sandy (2) Sandy over loamy (3) Sandy over clayey
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained to moderately well drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderately rapid
Depth to restrictive layer	70 – 180 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	5.08 – 12.7 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	4.5 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %
---------------------------------------------------------	----------

## Ecological dynamics

The reference community is a predominantly oak-pine forest with red oak, eastern white pine, American beech, sugar maple, black birch, and white ash as common trees. Understory includes spicebush, hobblebush, highbush blueberry, and witch-hazel.

Dynamics includes an invasive species state and conversion of site into agricultural production (pasture/hayland or cropland). Disturbances include wind, ice, insects, and land clearing or timber harvest.

## State and transition model

### Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 4.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

## Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan: Future work to validate the vegetation information in this provisional ecological site description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low and medium intensity sampling and analysis of that data. Field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final approved level document. Reviews of the project plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

## Other references

Edinger, G.J., Evans, D.J., Gebauer, S., Howard, T.G., Hunt, D.M., and A.M. Olivero, A.M. (eds.). 2014. Ecological Communities of New York State, Second Edition: A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's Ecological Communities of New York State. New York Natural Heritage Program, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.

Thompson E. H., Sorenson E. R. 2000. Wetland, Woodland, Wildland: A Guide to the Natural Communities of Vermont. Vermont Department of Fish and Wildlife and The Nature Conservancy. University Press of New England, Hanover and London.

## Contributors

Joshua Hibt

## Approval

### Rangeland health reference sheet

**Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health** is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/22/2020
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

### Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

---

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

---

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

---

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

---

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

---

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

---

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

---

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

---

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

---

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

---

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

---

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

---

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):

---

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

---

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

---

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:

---