

Ecological site F134XY013AL

Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace

Last updated: 3/20/2025
Accessed: 08/31/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 134X–Southern Mississippi Valley Loess

The Southern Mississippi Valley Loess (MLRA 134) extends some 500 miles from the southern tip of Illinois to southern Louisiana. This MLRA occurs in Mississippi (39 percent), Tennessee (23 percent), Louisiana (15 percent), Arkansas (11 percent), Kentucky (9 percent), Missouri (2 percent), and Illinois (1 percent). It makes up about 26,520 square miles. Landscapes consist of highly dissected uplands, level to undulating plains, and broad terraces that are covered with a mantle of loess. The soils, mainly Alfisols, formed in the loess mantle. Stream systems of the MLRA typically originate as low-gradient drainageways in the upper reaches that broaden rapidly downstream to wide, level floodplains with highly meandering channels. Alluvial soils are predominantly silty where loess thickness of the uplands are deepest but grade to loamy textures in watersheds covered by thin loess. Underlying the loess mantle are Tertiary deposits of unconsolidated sand, silt, clay, gravel, and lignite. Crowley's Ridge, Macon Ridge, and Lafayette Loess Plains are discontinuous, erosional remnants that run north to south in southeastern Missouri - eastern Arkansas, northeastern Louisiana, and south-central Louisiana, respectively. Elevations range from around 100 feet on terraces in southern Louisiana to over 600 feet on uplands in western Kentucky. The steep, dissected uplands are mainly in hardwood forests while less sloping areas are used for crop, pasture, and forage production (USDA, 2006). This site occurs throughout the Loess Plains (EPA Level IV Ecoregion: 74b) from western Kentucky south to the Southern Rolling Plains (EPA Level IV Ecoregion: 74c) in southwestern Mississippi.

Classification relationships

All or portions of the geographic range of this site falls within a number of ecological/land classifications including: -NRCS Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 134 – Southern Mississippi Valley Loess -Environmental Protection Agency's Level IV Ecoregion: Loess Plains, 74b (Griffith et al., 1998; Woods et al., 2002; Chapman et al., 2004) -231H - Coastal Plains-Loess section of the USDA Forest Service Ecological Subregion (McNab et al., 2005) -LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting 4714270 and NatureServe Ecological System CES203.353 East Gulf Coastal Plain Jackson Plain Prairie and Barrens (LANDFIRE, 2009; NatureServe, 2009) -LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting 4713250 and NatureServe Ecological System CES203.477 East Gulf Coastal Plain Northern Mesic Hardwood Forest (LANDFIRE, 2009; NatureServe, 2009) -Western Mesophytic Forest Region - Mississippi Embayment Section (Braun, 1950)

Ecological site concept

The Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace is characterized by deep, moderately well drained soils that formed in a mantle of loess. Soils often perch water during wet seasons and/or high rainfall events due to moderately slow to slow permeability in a dense subsoil layer, typically a fragipan. This site primarily occurs on old fluvial terraces (generally above the 100-year flood zone) and secondarily on broad, nearly level toeslopes across the Loess Plains. Slopes range from 0 to 8 percent, but dominant gradients are 2 to 6 percent. Nearly all areas of this site are cleared and under production, today. Natural vegetation of this site prior to settlement likely consisted of a complex mosaic of fire-maintained prairies (locally and historically known as "barrens") to open, oak-dominated woodlands that may have been interspersed with pockets of mixed hardwood forests comprised of tuliptree, beech, green ash, maple, and sweetgum. Mixed hardwoods may have been more concentrated along areas bordering wetter environments. In the southern part of the range, shortleaf and loblolly pines may have been important historic components in addition to oak.

Associated sites

F134XY007AL	Northern Loess Terrace
-------------	------------------------

Similar sites

F134XY206AL	Western Fragipan Terrace
-------------	--------------------------

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

The Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace is broadly distributed across the largest physiographic subsection or ecoregion of the MLRA, the Loess Plains. West to east, this ecological site extends from the border of the Loess Hills (EPA Level IV Ecoregion: 74a), across the Loess Plains, and into portions of the Southeastern Plains (EPA Level III Ecoregion: 65) where loess continues to cap old fluvial terraces and broad valleys. North to south, the site extends from the plains in northwestern Tennessee to the border of the Southern Rolling Plains in southwestern Mississippi. The latter forms the southern-most boundary of the site due to warmer average annual air temperatures, greater annual rainfall, and a transition to slightly warmer soils (Chapman et al., 2004).

Characteristics of this region generally include undulating uplands, gently rolling hills, and irregular plains. Topographic relief of the Loess Plains is generally low, averaging about 30 to 70 feet. Upland slopes typically range from 0 to 20 percent with 1 to 8 percent being dominant. Elevations in the range of 300 to 400 feet are commonplace to the south but increase to nearly 600 feet in the north. In portions of western Kentucky and Tennessee, the undulating pattern of the plains is interrupted by dissected landscapes. Such areas tend to be hillier with steeper slopes and greater relief and appear to be concentrated along the borders of broader valleys and floodplains. As the plains continue eastward, starkness of the terrain becomes even more pronounced, which signals the transition of the Loess Plains to the thin loess-capped ridges, hills, and plateaus along the western edge of the Southeastern Plains. To the south, through much of Mississippi, the Loess Plains consists of a very thin east – west belt, compressed between the dissected Loess Hills and Mississippi Alluvial Plain to the west and the Coastal Plain to the east. The convergence of such contrasting ecoregions contribute to a very complex pattern of soils, landforms, and vegetation communities.

This site primarily occurs on broad terraces that border the active floodplains of meandering rivers and large streams. Additionally, this site includes loess-capped, nearly level to gently-sloping toeslopes within broad valleys.

All aspects are well represented and included in this ecological site.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Terrace
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	40 – 150 m
Slope	0 – 10 %

Ponding depth	0 cm
Water table depth	30 – 70 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

This site falls under the Humid Subtropical Climate Classification (Koppen System). The average annual precipitation for this site from 1980 through 2010 is 56 inches and ranges from 53 in the north to 58 inches in the south. Maximum precipitation occurs in winter and spring and precipitation decreases gradually throughout the summer, except for a moderate increase in midsummer. Rainfall often occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms during warmer periods but moderate-intensity frontal systems can produce large amounts of rainfall during winter, especially in the southern part of the area. Snowfall generally occurs in the north during most years. However, accumulations are generally less than 12 inches and typically melt within 3 to 5 days. South of Memphis, winter precipitation sometimes occurs as freezing rain and sleet. The average annual temperature is 60 degrees F and ranges from 58 in the north to 64 degrees F in the south. The freeze-free period averages 222 days and ranges from 206 days in the north to 252 days in the south. The frost free period averages 197 days and ranges from 191 in the north to 224 days in the south.

The broad geographic distribution of this site north to south naturally includes much climatic variability with areas farther south having a longer growing season and increased precipitation. These climatic factors likely lead to important differences in overall plant productivity and key vegetation components between the southern and northern portions of this site. As future work proceeds, the current distribution of the Northern Loess Interfluvium will likely be revised with a “central” site interjected between the northern and southern extremes of this MLRA.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	200 days
Freeze-free period (average)	220 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,420 mm

- (1) DRESDEN [USC00402600], Dresden, TN
- (2) BATESVILLE 2 SW [USC00220488], Batesville, MS
- (3) GRENADA [USC00223645], Grenada, MS
- (4) SENATOBIA [USC00227921], Coldwater, MS
- (5) COLLIERVILLE [USC00401950], Collierville, TN
- (6) NEWBERN [USC00406471], Newbern, TN
- (7) UNION CITY [USC00409219], Union City, TN
- (8) JACKSON INTL AP [USW00003940], Pearl, MS
- (9) BROOKPORT DAM 52 [USC00110993], Paducah, IL
- (10) MURRAY [USC00155694], Murray, KY
- (11) LEXINGTON [USC00225062], Lexington, MS
- (12) COVINGTON 3 SW [USC00402108], Covington, TN
- (13) GILBERTSVILLE KY DAM [USC00153223], Gilbertsville, KY
- (14) HOLLY SPRINGS 4 N [USC00224173], Holly Springs, MS
- (15) VICKSBURG MILITARY PK [USC00229216], Vicksburg, MS
- (16) YAZOO CITY 5 NNE [USC00229860], Yazoo City, MS
- (17) MILAN EXP STN [USC00406012], Milan, TN
- (18) PADUCAH [USW00003816], West Paducah, KY
- (19) BARDWELL 2 E [USC00150402], Bardwell, KY
- (20) LOVELACEVILLE [USC00154967], Paducah, KY
- (21) CANTON 4N [USC00221389], Canton, MS
- (22) OAKLEY EXP STN [USC00226476], Raymond, MS

- (23) BOLIVAR WTR WKS [USC00400876], Bolivar, TN

Influencing water features

This site does not flood and is not influenced by a hydrologic regime.

Soil features

Please note that the soils listed in this section of the description may not be all inclusive. There may be additional soils that fit the site's concepts. Additionally, the soils that provisionally form the concepts of this site may occur elsewhere, either within or outside of the MLRA and may or "may not" have the same geomorphic characteristics or support similar vegetation. Some soil map units and soil series included in this "provisional" ecological site were used as a "best fit" for a particular soil – landform catena during a specific era of soil mapping, regardless of the origin of parent material or the location of MLRA boundaries. Therefore, the listed soils may not be typical for MLRA 134 or a specific location, and the associated soil map units may warrant further investigation in a joint ecological site inventory – soil survey project. When utilizing this provisional description, the user is encouraged to verify that the area of interest meets the appropriate ecological site concepts by reviewing the soils, landform, vegetation, and physical location. If the site concepts do not match the attributes of the area of interest, please review the Similar or Associated Sites listed in the Supporting Information section of this description to determine if another site may be a better fit for your area of interest.

The soils of this site are deep, moderately well drained and formed in loess on level to moderately steep uplands and terraces. A distinguishing feature for the majority of these soils is the presence of a fragipan that generally perches water during wet seasons, typically late winter into early spring. Depth to the fragipan varies but generally ranges from 14 to 35 inches. Permeability is moderate above the fragipan and moderately slow to slow in the fragipan. Dominant slopes on this site range from 0 to 5 percent but may extend upwards to 8 percent, locally. Rate of runoff ranges from low to medium.

The principal or dominant soils of this site are the Loring (Fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Oxyaquic Fragiudalfs), Grenada (Fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Oxyaquic Fraglossudalfs), and Providence (Fine-silty, mixed, active, thermic Oxyaquic Fragiudalfs) series.

Loring and Grenada soils formed in loess greater than 48 inches. Loring has a single clay maximum in the Bt horizon above the fragipan, and Grenada soils are bisqual and have a glossic horizon. The Providence series is the only soil of this site that formed in a much thinner mantle of loess or silty materials. Providence soils formed in a mantle of silty materials about 2 feet thick and the underlying sandy and loamy sediments. Depth to the discontinuity with more than 15 percent fine sand and coarser material ranges from 24 to 48 inches of the surface.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Silty clay loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Moderately well drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderate
Soil depth	20 – 80 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified

Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	11.18 – 21.59 cm
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The core concepts of this site are drawn from occurrences situated on ancient, loess-capped fluvial terraces that are above the active floodplain (i.e., the 100-year flood zone). The ages of these broad terraces may vary, but those that are currently adjacent to active floodplains today, probably date to the Pleistocene. In addition to terrace occurrences, this site is mapped on level to nearly level toeslopes of valley basins. Slope gradients vary but rarely do they extend beyond 8 percent; dominant slopes range from 2 to 5 percent.

The moderately well drained soils of this site occur on higher elevations and landforms of an otherwise level to nearly level landscape. Landforms represented are generally classed as “rises” on terrace treads. The higher physiographic features of this site coupled with soil properties create conditions suitable for the establishment of upland plant communities within a fairly low-lying environment. A key characteristic of these soils is a relatively shallow depth to a slowly permeable subsoil layer. Although the soils are quite wet during wetter periods of the year, they tend to become droughty by late summer due to evapotranspiration. This site is anticipated to support a slightly drier plant community than its associate, the Northern Loess Terrace, which is generally very productive.

The pre-settlement plant community of this ecological site was removed more than a century ago, and there are no extant examples of that system remaining. It is possible that various vegetation types and management conditions existed on this site prior to settlement. Broad, level landscapes situated beside active floodplains would have presented exemplary conditions for habitation. Areas that supported human populations would have exerted tremendous influences on the composition and structure of local plant communities. Food, clothing, building, and cultural materials needed for subsistence were cultivated and harvested from surrounding environments. Favored mast and fruit producing trees, in addition to numerous shrubs, vines, and herbs, were selectively produced and managed (Delcourt and Delcourt, 2004). Fire was a critical tool for managing these areas, especially in keeping vegetation growth in check. This complex backdrop of human subsistence and influences on the surrounding landscape must have contributed to a “shifting mosaic” of biological communities as human populations moved about, increased, and waned. Plant communities of this site likely consisted of closed canopy forests, open woodlands and fire-maintained prairies.

Today, nearly the entire distribution of this site has been cleared and is under some form of agriculture production. Minor uses of this site include forest production or timberland and a few localities are in pasture and/or forage production.

Determining and ascribing reference conditions for this site is very challenging. With no example of the pre-settlement plant community remaining (which was likely a mosaic of prairie, woodland, and forest), reference conditions of this site are arbitrarily chosen to reflect the dominant plants that occur in a few existing woodlots. Such compartments have sustained a number of impacts over the past two

centuries, but many of the native plant species occupying these areas are considered to be close correlates of the natural vegetation of this site.

In addition to the reference state, four alternate states represent the range of conditions and land uses associated with this site. One of these states, Conservation, is provided to illustrate a conservation alternative to the more intensive management activities on this site. That state involves a discontinuation of production and the decision to establish native vegetation, whether the establishment is predominantly woodland or an herbaceous community.

Following this narrative, a “provisional” state and transition model is provided that includes the “perceived” reference state and several alternative (or altered) vegetation states that have been observed and/or projected for the Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace ecological site. This model is based on limited reconnaissance, literature, expert knowledge, and interpretations. Plant communities will differ due to natural variability in soils and physiography. Depending on objectives, the reference plant community may not necessarily be the management goal.

The environmental and biological characteristics of this site are complex and dynamic. As such, the following diagram suggests pathways that the vegetation on this site might take, given that the modal concepts of climate and soils are met within an area of interest. Specific locations with unique soils and disturbance histories may have alternate pathways that are not represented in the model. This information is intended to show the possibilities within a given set of circumstances and represents the initial steps toward developing a defensible description and model. The model and associated information are subject to change as knowledge increases and new information is garnered. This is an iterative process. Most importantly, local and/or state professional guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

State and transition model

Figure 5. STM - Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace

Figure 6. Legend - Northern Loess Fragipan Terrace

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 5.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Other references

Braun, E.L. 1950. Deciduous Forests of Eastern North America. Hafner Press, New York. 596 p.

Chapman, S.S, G.E. Griffith, J.M. Omernik, J.A. Comstock, M.C. Beiser, and D. Johnson. 2004. Ecoregions of Mississippi (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,000,000).

Delcourt P.A. and H.R. Delcourt. 2004. Prehistoric Native Americans and Ecological Change: human ecosystems in Eastern North America since the Pleistocene. Cambridge University Press, New York. 203 p.

Estes, D. personal communication. Botanist, Ecologist, and Professor. Austin Peay State University. Clarksville, TN.

Green, Jonathan D., W.W. Witt, and J.R. Martin. 2006. Weed management in grass pastures, hayfields, and other farmstead sites. University of Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service, Publication AGR-172.

Griffith, G.E., J.M. Omernik, S. Azevedo. 1998. Ecoregions of Tennessee (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, VA., U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,000,000).

Heineke, T.E. 1987. The Flora and Plant Communities of the Middle Mississippi River Valley. Doctoral Dissertation, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL. 669 p.

LANDFIRE. 2009. LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Models. Biophysical Setting 46-47. (2009, February and March – last update). Homepage of the LANDFIRE Project, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; U.S. Department of Interior, [Online]. Available: <http://www.landfire.gov/index.php> (Accessed: 1 July 2014).

McNab, W.H.; Cleland, D.T.; Freeouf, J.A.; Keys, Jr., J.E.; Nowacki, G.J.; Carpenter, C.A., comps. 2005. Description of ecological subregions: sections of the conterminous United States [CD-ROM]. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 80 p.

NatureServe. 2009. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA, U.S.A. Data current as of 06 February 2009.

Rhodes, G.N., Jr., G.K. Breeden, G. Bates, and S. McElroy. 2005. Hay crop and pasture weed management. University of Tennessee, UT Extension, Publication PB 1521-10M-6/05 (Rev). Available: https://extension.tennessee.edu/washington/Documents/hay_crop.pdf.

[USDA-NRCS] United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296.

[USDA-NRCS] United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2010. Conservation Practice Standard: Prescribed Grazing. Practice Code 528. Updated: September 2010. Field Office Technical Guide, Notice 619, Section IV. [Online] Available: efotg.sc.gov.usda.gov/references/public/ne/ne528.pdf.

[USDA-NRCS] United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2016. Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online: <https://soilseries.sc.gov.usda.gov/osdname.asp>. (Accessed: 17 May 2016).

Woods, A.J., J.M. Omernik, W.H. Martin, G.J. Pond, W.M. Andrews, S.M. Call, J.A. Comstock, and D.D. Taylor. 2002. Ecoregions of Kentucky (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs): Reston, VA., U.S. Geological Survey (map scale 1:1,000,000).

Contributors

Barry Hart

Approval

Matthew Duvall, 3/20/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	08/31/2026
Approved by	

Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
