

Ecological site F131DY006AR

Clayey Terrace

Last updated: 9/22/2023

Accessed: 09/11/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 131D–Southern Mississippi River Terraces

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 131D, Southern Mississippi River Terraces, is located in Arkansas (88 percent) and Louisiana (12 percent). It is in two separate areas that together make up about 2,945 square miles. The towns of Lonoke and Stuttgart, Arkansas, are in the northeastern part of the MLRA, which is called the Grand Prairie. Bastrop, Louisiana, is in the southwestern part of the MLRA.

Classification relationships

USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006. -Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 131D

Ecological site concept

The Clayey Terrace is a grassland prairie. The site has clayey-textured soils that shape its plant community. The vegetation is adapted to the wetness during the cooler months and dry conditions during the warmer months.

Associated sites

F131DY002AR	Clayey Flood Plain Similar textures, but located on a lower floodplain landform.
F131DY003AR	Sodic Terrace Sites are on the same landform, but have a sodic horizon.
F131DY004AR	Loess Terrace Sites are on the same landform, but have a fragipan in the subsurface.
F131DY005AR	Loamy Prairie Terrace Sites are on the same landform, but have loamy textures.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
------	---------------

Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>

Physiographic features

These sites are along the perimeters of late Pleistocene-aged terraces. Slope ranges from 0 to 8 percent. A high water table may exist during the winter and early spring.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Geomorphic position, terraces	(1) Riser (2) Tread
Slope shape across	(1) Linear
Slope shape up-down	(1) Convex
Landforms	(1) Coastal plain > Terrace
Runoff class	Negligible to very high
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	20 – 70 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Water table depth	60 – 200 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation is 53 inches, which increases from north to south. Most of the rainfall occurs as frontal storms in spring and early summer. Some high-intensity, convective thunderstorms occur in summer. The average seasonal snowfall is five inches. Temperatures range from highs in the low 90's during the summer to lows in the low 30's during the winter. The frost-free period averages 221 days, while the freeze-free period averages 246 days.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	220 days
Freeze-free period (average)	250 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,350 mm

- (1) DES ARC [USC00031968], Des Arc, AR
- (2) STUTTGART 9 ESE [USC00036920], Stuttgart, AR
- (3) ARKANSAS POST [USC00030240], Gillett, AR
- (4) CROSSETT 2 SSE [USC00031730], Crossett, AR
- (5) SAINT CHARLES [USC00036376], Clarendon, AR

Influencing water features

The sites can exhibit a high water table, especially during the winter and early spring.

Wetland description

This site has hydric soils. Onsite investigation is necessary to determine exact local conditions.

Soil features

The ecological site consists of very deep, somewhat poorly to moderately well drained, very slowly permeable to impermeable soils that formed in silty and clayey fluvial sediments. Gore and Grubbs are the two soils correlated to the site. Their taxonomic classification is a fine, mixed, active, thermic Vertic Paleudalf and a fine, mixed, active, thermic Albaquic Hapludalf, respectively.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Fluviomarine deposits – igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Very slow
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified

Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	5.08 – 12.7 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	4.5 – 10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The information in this ecological site description (ESD), including the state-and-transition model (STM), was developed using archeological and historical data, professional experience, and scientific studies. The information is representative of a complex set of plant communities. Not all scenarios or plants are included. Key indicator plants, animals, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

Introduction - The Southern Mississippi River Terrace (MLRA 131D) region is on smooth terraces and floodplains along the Mississippi River and its major tributaries south of its confluence with the Ohio River. The geologic material in the region consists of very thick deposits of sandy to clayey alluvium of Pleistocene to Holocene age. This material was deposited by the rivers with local relief is typically less than 15 feet and elevation ranging from 50 to 250 feet.

Geology - Bedrock in this area consists of Tertiary and Cretaceous sands formed as beach deposits during the retreat of the Cretaceous ocean from the midsection of the United States. Alluvial deposits from flooding and lateral migration of the rivers crossing this area typically lie above the bedrock. These sediments form Pleistocene-age alluvial terraces. Silty alluvium underlies most of the area. Clayey sediments are in old channel scars. The Pleistocene terraces are part of the Prairie Terrace complex. A minor portion of the area is in the Deweyville and Montgomery terrace formation. These terraces have a base of red alluvium capped by one to several feet of brownish alluvium.

Biological Resources - This area as a whole, supports hardwoods and pines. The Grand Prairie originally supported tall prairie grasses interlaced with hardwood timber. Cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda*) and Shumard oak (*Quercus shumardii*) are widely distributed. Tuliptree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), and black walnut (*Juglans*

nigra) are important species on the flood plains. Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) and shortleaf pine (*Pinus echinata*) are on a wide variety of sites, mainly the eroded soils on uplands and ridges. Other hardwood species that commonly grow in this area are white oak (*Quercus alba*), basswood (*Tillia* sp.), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), water oak (*Quercus nigra*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*), sycamore (*Plantanus occidentalis*), sassafras (*Sassafras albidum*), southern red oak (*Quercus falcata*), chinkapin oak (*Quercus muehlenbergii*), American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), and hickory (*Carya* sp.).

Land Use - Land use varies throughout the MLRA consisting of 42 percent cropland, 4 percent grassland, 47 percent forest, 3 percent urban development, 3 percent water, and 1 percent other. Scattered tracts of forests and farms make up nearly all of this area. Rice, soybeans, and wheat are the main crops. In most areas furrow or flood irrigation is used throughout the growing season. Hardwood timber is harvested on some forested wetlands, and most forested areas are managed for wildlife. Bait fish are produced commercially in ponds that are contained by levees. The area is in a major migratory flight path and hunting waterfowl is a popular recreation activity.

Conservation - The major soil resource concerns are management of soil moisture, erosion control, and maintenance of the content of organic matter and productivity of the soils. Depletion of ground water through excessive pumping is a major concern in the Grand Prairie area. Conservation practices on cropland generally include nutrient management, crop residue management, and alternative tillage systems, especially no-till systems that reduce the need for tillage. In many areas land leveling or shaping optimizes the control of surface water. Other major cropland management practices are control of competing vegetation and insects through aerial or ground spraying of herbicides and insecticides and fertility management programs that make use of chemical fertilizers.

State and transition model

Figure 5. STM

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Inventory data references

This site description was developed as part of the provisional ecological site initiative using historic soil survey manuscripts, available range site descriptions, and low intensity field sampling.

Other references

Allen, J. A., B. D. Keeland, J. A. Stanturf, and A. F. Kennedy Jr. 2001. A guide to bottomland hardwood restoration. Technical report, USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0011.

Louisiana Natural Heritage Program. 2009. The Natural Communities of Louisiana. Baton Rouge, LA, U.S.A. Data current as of August 2009.

NatureServe. 2013. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA, U.S.A. Data current as of 12 July 2013.

Randall, J. M., and J. Marinelli. 1996. Invasive plants: weeds of the global garden. Volume 149. Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database.

Stanturf, J. A., S. H. Schoenholtz, C. J. Schweitzer, and J. P. Shepard. 2001. Achieving restoration success: Myths in bottomland hardwood forests. Restoration Ecology, 9:189-200.

Stringham, T. K., W. C. Krueger, and P. L. Shaver. 2003. State and transition modeling: An ecological process approach. Journal of Range Management 56:106-113.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (Version 2.0). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center, Environmental Laboratory ERDC/EL TR-10-20.

USDA-NRCS Ag Handbook 296 (2006).

Contributors

Tyson Hart
Marc Bordelon

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/22/2023

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	11/23/2021
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
-