

Ecological site F131CY004LA

Wet Clay Bottomland

Last updated: 9/22/2023
Accessed: 09/14/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 131C–Red River Alluvium

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 131C, the Red River Alluvium, is in Louisiana (86 percent) and Arkansas (14 percent). It makes up about 2,410 square miles. The eastern half of the city of Shreveport and the towns of Alexandria and Bossier City, Louisiana, are in this MLRA. Interstate 20 crosses this area and intersects Interstate 49 in Shreveport. Interstate 30 crosses the northern tip of the area, in Arkansas. Small areas of the Kisatchie National Forest are along the southwest edge of this MLRA.

Classification relationships

USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006. -Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 131C

Ecological site concept

The ecological site has very deep, very poorly drained soils that are ponded throughout a portion of the year. A wetland plant community exists because it is the lowest position on the landscape, along with the flooding and ponding regimes.

Associated sites

F131CY005LA	Clayey Flood Plain Site is not as wet and does not pond for as long.
-------------	--

Similar sites

F131BY004AR	Clay Cap Flood Plain Site is very similar but in a different MLRA
-------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Taxodium distichum</i>
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

These level to depressional soils are in backswamps, sloughs and abandon oxbows of the Arkansas River, Red River, and their tributaries. Slopes range form 0 to 1 percent. These soils are typically ponded with water, 0.5 to 5 feet, for 10 or more months in a normal year.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial plain > Flood plain (2) Alluvial plain > Backswamp
Runoff class	Negligible
Flooding duration	Long (7 to 30 days) to very long (more than 30 days)
Flooding frequency	Frequent
Ponding duration	Long (7 to 30 days) to very long (more than 30 days)
Ponding frequency	Frequent
Elevation	30 – 80 m
Slope	0 %
Ponding depth	20 – 150 cm
Water table depth	0 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation is 60 inches, which increases from north to south. Most of the rainfall occurs as frontal storms in spring and early summer. Some high-intensity, convective thunderstorms occur in summer. The total amount of the precipitation that occurs as snow ranges from less than one percent in the southern part of the area to five percent in the northern part. Temperatures range from highs in the low 90's during the summer to lows in the mid 30's during the winter. The frost-free period averages 246 days, while the freeze-free period averages 276 days.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	250 days
Freeze-free period (average)	280 days

Precipitation total (average)	1,520 mm
-------------------------------	----------

- (1) BUNKIE [USC00161287], Bunkie, LA
- (2) ROBSON [USC00167924], Shreveport, LA
- (3) ALEXANDRIA 5 SSE [USC00160103], Alexandria, LA
- (4) ALEXANDRIA [USC00160098], Alexandria, LA
- (5) COLFAX [USC00161941], Cloutierville, LA
- (6) LSU DEAN LEE RSCH STN [USC00165630], Alexandria, LA
- (7) RED RIVER RSCH STN [USC00167738], Bossier City, LA

Influencing water features

The sites have a hydric soil rating. Site are inundated from 0.5 to 5 feet up to 10 months in a normal year.

Wetland description

Soil associated with this site are hydric. An on site investigation is necessary to determine local wetland conditions.

Soil features

The Yorktown series consists of very deep, very poorly drained, very slowly permeable soils that formed in clayey alluvium. Only Yorktown is correlated to this ecological site. The taxonomic classification is a very-fine, smectitic, nonacid, thermic Vertic Epiaquept.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – igneous and sedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Clay (2) Silty clay
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Very poorly drained
Permeability class	Very slow
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	10.16 – 12.7 cm

Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.6 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The information in this ecological site description (ESD), including the state-and-transition model (STM), was developed using archeological and historical data, professional experience, and scientific studies. The information is representative of a complex set of plant communities. Not all scenarios or plants are included. Key indicator plants, animals, and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

Introduction - Almost all of the Red River Alluvium (MLRA 131C) is in the West Gulf Coastal Plain Section of the Coastal Plain Province of the Atlantic Plain. The southern end is in the Mississippi Alluvial Plain Section of the same province and division. The landforms in the area are level or depressional to very gently undulating alluvial plains, backswamps, oxbows, natural levees, and terraces. Landform shapes range from convex on natural levees and undulating terraces to concave in oxbows. Landform shapes differentiate water-shedding positions from water-receiving positions, both of which have a major effect on soil formation and hydrology. Average elevations start at about 40 feet in the southern part of the area and gradually rise to about 270 feet in the northwestern part. Maximum local relief is about 10 feet, but relief is considerably lower in most of the area.

Geology - Bedrock in this area consists of Tertiary and Cretaceous sands formed as beach deposits during the retreat of the Cretaceous ocean from the midsection of the United States. Alluvial deposits from flooding and lateral migration of the Red River typically lie above the bedrock. These sediments are sandy to clayey fluvial deposits of Holocene to late Pleistocene age and are many feet thick. In some areas late Pleistocene terrace deposits are within several feet of the present surfaces, but they do not crop out in this MLRA. The geologic history of the area is greatly influenced by a large logjam that formed in the Red River channel in the middle part of the area during the late 18th century and the early 19th century. At the time of its largest extent, the logjam obstructed the river and its tributary outlets for a distance of 160 miles downstream from the Arkansas state boundary. Backwater flooding, reformation of natural levees, and crevasse splays caused by this logjam played a major role in covering large parts of the area with a mantle of recent clayey to sandy material. Destruction of the logjam in the late 1800's resulted in the drainage of many large lakes that had formed.

Biological Resources - This area once consisted entirely of bottomland hardwood deciduous forest and mixed hardwood and cypress swamps. The major tree species in the native plant communities in the areas of bottomland hardwoods formerly were and currently are water oak (*Quercus nigra*), Nuttall oak (*Quercus texana*), cherrybark oak (*Quercus pagoda*), pecan (*Carya illinoensis*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), and hickory (*Cary sp.*). The major tree species in the native plant communities in the swamps formerly were and currently are bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum*), water tupelo (*Nyssa aquatica*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), and black willow (*Salix nigra*). The important native understory species are palmetto

(Sabal minor), greenbrier (Smilax sp.), wild grape (Vitis sp.), and poison ivy (Toxicodendron radicans) in the areas of bottomland hardwoods and buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis), lizardtail (Saururus cernuus), waterlily (Nymphaea sp.), sedges (Carex sp.), and rushes (Juncus sp.) in the swamps.

Land use - Land use varies throughout the MLRA, consisting of 78 percent wildlife and waterfowl habitat, 10 percent forest, 10 percent water, and 2 percent other. Scattered tracts of forested wetlands make up nearly all of this area. Migratory waterfowl are harvested throughout the area. Hardwood timber is harvested on some forested wetlands, and most forested areas are managed for wildlife and waterfowl. Throughout the area, catfish are produced commercially on farm ponds that are contained by levees.

Conservation - The major resource concerns are control of surface water and water quality. Conservation practices in wildlife and waterfowl habitat is water quality, wildlife species enhancement, and encroachment of invasive plant species. Other major wildlife management practices are control of competing vegetation, maintaining wildlife and song bird buffers which provide cover and feeding areas for small game animals and birds. Introduction of pollinator areas.

State and transition model

Figure 5. STM

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Inventory data references

This site description was developed as part of the provisional ecological site initiative using historic soil survey manuscripts, available range site descriptions, and low intensity field sampling.

Other references

Allen, J. A., B. D. Keeland, J. A. Stanturf, and A. F. Kennedy Jr. 2001. A guide to bottomland hardwood restoration. Technical report, USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0011.

Louisiana Natural Heritage Program. 2009. The Natural Communities of Louisiana. Baton Rouge, LA, U.S.A. Data current as of August 2009.

NatureServe. 2013. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA, U.S.A. Data current as of 12 July 2013.

Randall, J. M., and J. Marinelli. 1996. Invasive plants: weeds of the global garden. Volume 149. Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database.

Stanturf, J. A., S. H. Schoenholtz, C. J. Schweitzer, and J. P. Shepard. 2001. Achieving restoration success: Myths in bottomland hardwood forests. Restoration Ecology, 9:189-200.

Stringham, T. K., W. C. Krueger, and P. L. Shaver. 2003. State and transition modeling: An ecological process approach. Journal of Range Management 56:106-113.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region (Version 2.0). U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Engineer Research and Development Center, Environmental Laboratory ERDC/EL TR-10-20.

USDA-NRCS Ag Handbook 296 (2006).

Contributors

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/22/2023

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	12/18/2020
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
