

Ecological site F120CY009IN

Shallow Loamy Skeletal Uplands

Last updated: 10/01/2024
Accessed: 09/20/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 120C—Kentucky and Indiana Sandstone and Shale Hills and Valleys, Northeastern Part

120C—Kentucky and Indiana Sandstone and Shale Hills and Valleys, Northeastern Part This area is entirely in Indiana and makes up about 1,050 square miles (2,725 square kilometers). **Physiography:** This area is in the Highland Rim Section of the Interior Low Plateaus Province of the Interior Plains. Both large and small tributaries of the Ohio River and the East Fork of the White River dissect the nearly level to very steep uplands in the area. The major streams and rivers have well defined valleys with broad flood plains and numerous stream terraces. The flood plains along the smaller streams are narrow. Summits are narrow and are nearly level to gently sloping. **Geology:** The geologic materials in this area are of Early and Middle Pennsylvanian and Late Mississippian age. The rocks consist mainly of flat-lying, interbedded sandstone, shale, coal, and siltstone with minor areas of limestone. Bedrock outcrops are common on the bluffs along the Ohio River and its major tributaries. The surficial geologic materials consist mainly of a layer of loess, typically less than 3.5 feet (1 meter) thick, on the less eroded parts of the landscape and stratified sediments of Pleistocene age along the Ohio River and its tributaries. Unconsolidated alluvium is deposited in the river valleys. **Soils:** The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Alfisols, Ultisols, and Inceptisols. The soils in the area have a mesic soil temperature regime, an udic or aquic soil moisture regime, and dominantly mixed mineralogy. They formed dominantly in loess and in residuum derived from siltstone and shale. They range from moderately deep to very deep and from somewhat poorly drained to well drained and are loamy, silty, or clayey. Fragiudults (Spickert and Tilsit series) and Hapludults (Wrays series) are the dominant soils on ridgetops and the upper parts of hills and knobs. Halpudalfs (Kurtz series), Hapludults (Gilwood and Gnowbone series), and Dystrudepts (Brownstown series) are on moderately sloping to very steep side slopes. Hapludalfs (Coolville, Rarden, Stonehead, and Wellrock series) are on the gently sloping to moderately steep lower parts of side slopes. Hapludalfs (Elkinsville series), Fragiudalfs (Pekin series), and Fragiaqualfs (Bartle series) are on stream terraces. Dystrudepts (Beanblossom, Cuba, and Steff series) and Endoaqupts (Stendal series) are on flood plains.

Classification relationships

Field inspections are needed to accurately identify the components of this community. Due to the low available water and shallow soils, productivity is low and species would be those able to withstand droughty conditions. **QUERCUS STELLATA, QUERCUS MARILANDICA** / **SCHIZACHYRIUM SCOPARIUM WOODED HERBACEOUS ALLIANCE (V.A.6.N.q)** possible Associations: **Quercus stellata - Quercus marilandica / Schizachyrium scoparium - Silphium terebinthinaceum Wooded Herbaceous Vegetation Post Oak - Blackjack Oak / Little Bluestem - Prairie-dock Wooded Herbaceous Vegetation Post Oak Chert Barrens CEG005134 Quercus marilandica - (Juniperus virginiana) / Schizachyrium scoparium - Danthonia spicata Wooded Herbaceous Vegetation Blackjack Oak - (Eastern Red-cedar) / Little Bluestem - Poverty Oatgrass Wooded Herbaceous Vegetation, Central Shale Glade CEG002428**

Ecological site concept

The Shallow Loamy Skeletal Uplands are located on shallow, loamy, skeletal soils found on hills. Representative soils include: Cincinnati. These sites are limited in size and most commonly found on south facing slopes. Trees on site are usually small, scattered or in patches, and species may vary. *Quercus marilandica*, *Quercus stellata*, *Quercus prinus*, *Pinus virginiana*, and *Juniperus virginiana* may occur. The shrub layer will be sparse but may include *Smilax* spp. and *Vaccinium* spp. The understory will consist of drought tolerant species. Forest (phases influenced by aspect and topography): State 1, Phase 1.1: post oak (*Quercus stellata*) -blackjack oak (*Quercus marilandica*) / / poverty oat grass (*Danthonia spicata*) - little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*) These sites have low available water and shallow soils. Trees are stunted and understory sparse. Field work is needed to identify the location of these sites and correlate accurately to soil mapping. Pasture: State 2, Phase 2.1: *Schedonorus arundinaceus* (tall fescue). Species present would be dependent upon seeding and management. Transitional (abandoned) field. State 3, Phase 3.1: eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) / greenbrier (*Smilax* spp.) / broomsedge bluestem (*Andropogon virginicus*) – tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*) This phase is best described as an old field habitat with a mixture of native and introduced grasses and a variety of native and non-native herbs, forbs, seedlings, and saplings. Species present would depend on adjacent seed sources and the presence of on-going disturbances such as grazing, mowing, etc. Due

to low available water, cropland was not included as a major state in this ecological model.

Associated sites

F120CY008IN	Loamy Skeletal Uplands Loamy Skeletal Uplands
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Quercus stellata</i> (2) <i>Quercus marilandica</i>
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i> (2) <i>Danthonia spicata</i>

Physiographic features

These sites consist of shallow soils on hills.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hill
Runoff class	Medium to very high
Elevation	150 – 310 m
Slope	10 – 70 %
Water table depth	180 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Climate: The average annual precipitation in most of this area is 41 to 47 inches (1,040 to 1,195 millimeters). About 60 percent of the precipitation falls during the freeze-free period. Most of the rainfall occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms in summer. Snowfall is common in winter. The average annual temperature is 52 to 56 degrees F (11 to 14 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages 205 days and ranges from 190 to 220 days. The longer freeze-free periods occur along the Ohio River. (Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. United States Department of Agriculture. Natural Resources Conservation Service. United States Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. Issued 2006.)

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	170 days
--	----------

Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	200 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	1,190 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	170 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	200 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	1,190 mm
Frost-free period (average)	170 days
Freeze-free period (average)	200 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,190 mm

- (1) BLOOMINGTON IN UNIV [USC00120784], Bloomington, IN

Influencing water features

There are no water features associated with this site.

Soil features

These sites are on somewhat excessively drained to well drained, shallow soils. Representative soils include: Cincinnati.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum – sandstone and shale
Surface texture	(1) Channery silt loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to well drained
Soil depth	0 – 50 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified

Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	7.62 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	4.5 – 7.3
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

These sites are limited in size and most commonly found on south facing slopes. Trees on site are usually small, scattered or in patches, and species may vary. *Quercus marilandica*, *Quercus stellata*, *Quercus prinus*, *Pinus virginiana*, and *Juniperus virginiana* may occur. the shrub layer will be sparse but may include *Smilax* spp. and *Vaccinium* spp.

Forest (phases influences by aspect and topography):

State 1, Phase 1.1. post oak (*Quercus stellata*) -blackjack oak (*Quercus marilandica*) / / poverty oat grass (*Danthonia spicata*) - little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*)

These sites have low available water and shallow soils. Trees are stunted and understory sparse. Field work is needed to identify the location of these sites and correlate accurately to soil mapping.

Pasture:

State 2, Phase 2.1: *Schedonorus arundinaceus* (tall fescue). Species present would be dependent upon seeding and management.

Transitional (abandoned) field.

State 3, Phase 3.1: eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) / greenbrier (*Smilax* spp.)/ broomsedge bluestem (*Andropogon virginicus*) – tall fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*)

This phase is best described as an old field habitat with a mixture of native and introduced grasses and a variety of native and non-native herbs, forbs, seedlings, and saplings. Species present would depend on adjacent seed sources and the presence of on-going disturbances such as grazing, mowing, etc.

Due to low available water, cropland was not included as a major state in this ecological model.

State and transition model

Figure 7. 120C, Group 9

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan Future work is needed, as described in a future project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Other references

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C.A. Carpenter, and W.H.McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections for the conterminous United States. [Map. presentation scale 1:3,500,000, colored; A.M. Sloan, cartographer] Gen. Tech. Report WO-76D. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC. (<https://www.fs.fed.us/research/publications/misc/73326-wo-gtr-76d-cleland2007.pdf>)

Comer, P., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, and K. Snow. 2003. Ecological Systems of the United States: A Working Classification of US Terrestrial Systems. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. (https://www.natureserve.org/sites/default/files/pcom_2003_ecol_systems_us.pdf).

NatureServe 2020. NatureServe Explorer: An Online Encyclopedia of Life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. (<http://explorer.natureserve.org>)

Soil Survey Staff-USDA-NRCS [United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service] 2016. National Soils Information Service (NASIS Data Model Version 7.3.4) Lincoln, NE. (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/soils/survey/tools/?cid=nrcs142p2_053552).

USDA-NRCS [United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service]. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051845.pdf).

USNVC [United States National Vegetation Classification]. 2019. United States National Vegetation Classification Database, V2.03. Federal Geographic Data Committee, Vegetation Subcommittee, Washington DC. (<http://usnvc.org>).

Approval

Greg Schmidt, 10/01/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/20/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
