

Ecological site F120AY003KY

Loess Uplands

Last updated: 10/01/2024
Accessed: 09/25/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 120A—Kentucky and Indiana Sandstone and Shale Hills and Valleys, Southern Part

120A—Kentucky and Indiana Sandstone and Shale Hills and Valleys, Southern Part This area is primarily in Kentucky (83 percent) and Illinois (17 percent). A very small part is in Indiana. The area makes up about 8,905 square miles. Physiography: This area is in the Highland Rim Section of the Interior Low Plateaus Province of the Interior Plains. Tributaries of the Ohio River dissect the nearly level to very steep uplands. The major streams and rivers have well defined valleys with broad flood plains and numerous stream terraces. The flood plains along the smaller streams are narrow. Elevation ranges from 345 feet (105 meters) on the flood plain along the Ohio River to about 950 feet (290 meters) on the highest ridges. Local relief varies widely within the area. Soils: Most of the soils are Udalfs. Most of the soils have a mesic soil temperature regime, a udic soil moisture regime, and mixed mineralogy. The soils in the area formed in loess or in sandstone, shale, siltstone, or limestone residuum. Fragiudalfs (Hosmer, Loring, and Zanesville series) and Fraglossudalfs (Sadler and Grenada series), which have a fragipan, and Hapludalfs (Wellston and Frondorf series) are the dominant soils on ridgetops and side slopes. Fragiudults (Tilsit series) and Hapludults (Gilpin and Shelocta series) are in the northern part of the area. Hapludolls (Huntington series), Eutrudepts (Nolin, Lindside, and Chagrin series), and Endoaquepts (Melvin and Newark series) are loamy soils on flood plains along the major streams. Endoaquepts and Epiaqualfs (Karnak and McGary series) are clayey soils in slackwater areas along the major rivers. Dystrudepts (Cuba and Steff series), Eutrudepts (Haymond and Wilbur series), Fluvaquents (Wakeland series), and Endoaquepts (Stendal series) are loamy soils on flood plains of local origin. Hapludalfs (Wheeling and Elk series) and Fragiudalfs (Otwood and Lawrence series) are loamy soils on terraces along the major streams.

Classification relationships

Landfire Biophysical Setting Model 4713050, Southern Interior Low Plateau Dry-Mesic Oak Forest. Acidic Mesophytic forest (Kentucky State Nature Preserves Commission. Natural Communities of Kentucky, 2009)

Ecological site concept

This ecological site is characterized by loess soils within hilly terrain on slopes typically less than 10%. Representative soils include: Alford, Baxter, Menfro, Muren. The communities described in this provisional document reflect plant communities that are likely to be found on these soils and have not been field verified. This PES describes hypotheses based on available data of many different scales and sources and has not been developed utilizing site-specific ecological field monitoring. This PES does not encompass the entire complexity or diversity of these sites. Field studies would be required to develop a comprehensive and science-based native plant restoration plan for these sites. State 1, Phase 1.1: red oak (*Quercus rubra*) – American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*) / flowering dogwood (*Cornus florida*) – paw paw (*Asimina triloba*) / may apple (*Podophyllum peltatum*) State 2, Phase 2.1: Pasture. Plant species dominants: *Schedonorus arundinaceus* (tall fescue). Species present are dependent upon seeding and management. State: 3. Transitional (Abandoned) Field State 3, Phase 3.1: eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) - black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) / berries (*Rubus* spp.) – sumac (*Rhus* spp.) / broomsedge bluestem (*Andropogon virginicus*) -fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*) This phase is best described as an old field habitat with a mixture of native and introduced grasses and a variety of native and non-native herbs, forbs, seedlings, and saplings. All mapunits in this project have slopes 12% or above so cropland was not included as a major state in this ecological model. Restoration of states to the reference community would require long-term, intensive management inputs.

Associated sites

F120AY001KY	Deep Loess Deep Loess
--------------------	-------------------------------------

Similar sites

F120AY001KY	Deep Loess Deep Loess
--------------------	-------------------------------------

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Quercus rubra</i> (2) <i>Fagus grandifolia</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Asimina triloba</i> (2) <i>Cornus florida</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Podophyllum peltatum</i>

Physiographic features

This initial group consists of loess soils on sloping hillsides.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Upland > Hillslope
Runoff class	Medium to high
Elevation	100 – 310 m
Slope	10 – 70 %
Water table depth	50 – 180 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

MLRA climate summary: The average annual precipitation in most of this area is 45 to 54 inches (1,145 to 1,370 millimeters). About 60 percent of the precipitation falls during the freeze-free period. Most of the rainfall occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms in summer. Snowfall is common in winter. The average annual temperature is 55 to 58 degrees F (13 to 14 degrees C). The freeze-free period averages 210 days and ranges from 190 to 230 days. The longer freeze-free periods occur along the Ohio River. From: Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin (U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296, 2006)

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	160-170 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	180-190 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	1,190-1,250 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	160-170 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	180-200 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	1,140-1,250 mm
Frost-free period (average)	170 days
Freeze-free period (average)	190 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,220 mm

- (1) LEITCHFIELD 2 N [USC00154703], Leitchfield, KY
- (2) HENDERSON 8 SSW [USC00153762], Henderson, KY
- (3) DIXON SPRINGS AG CTR [USC00112353], Golconda, IL
- (4) OWENSBORO 1 W [USC00156091], Owensboro, KY

Influencing water features

There are no influencing water features for these sites.

Soil features

This group is loess soils on slopes. Representative soils include: Alford, Baxter, Menfro, Muren.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Loess
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to well drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderate

Soil depth	50 – 200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	12.7 – 22.86 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	4.5 – 7.3
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The communities described in this provisional document reflect plant communities that are likely to be found on these soils and have not been field verified.

State 1, Phase 1.1. red oak (*Quercus rubra*) – American beech (*Fagus grandifolia*) / flowering dogwood (*Cornus florida*) – paw paw (*Asimina triloba*) / may apple (*Podophyllum peltatum*)

State 2, Phase 2.1: Pasture. Plant species dominants: *Schedonorus arundinaceus* (tall fescue. Species present are dependent upon seeding and management. State: 3. Transitional (Abandoned) Field

State 3, Phase 3.1: eastern red cedar (*Juniperus virginiana*) - black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) / berries (*Rubus* spp.) – sumac (*Rhus* spp.) / broomsedge bluestem (*Andropogon virginicus*) -fescue (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*)
This phase is best described as an old field habitat with a mixture of native and introduced grasses and a variety of native and non-native herbs, forbs, seedlings, and saplings.

Due to slopes above 12% for mapunits in this grouping, cropland was not included in this state and transition model.

Restoration of states to the reference community would require long-term, intensive management inputs.

State and transition model

Figure 7. Group3

Additional community tables

Inventory data references

Site Development and Testing Plan Future work is needed, as described in a future project plan, to validate the information presented in this provisional ecological site description. Future work includes field sampling, data collection and analysis by qualified vegetation

ecologists and soil scientists. As warranted, annual reviews of the project plan can be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD are necessary to approve a final document.

Other references

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C.A. Carpenter, and W.H.McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections for the conterminous United States. [Map. presentation scale 1:3,500,000, colored; A.M. Sloan, cartographer] Gen. Tech. Report WO-76D. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC. (<https://www.fs.fed.us/research/publications/misc/73326-wo-gtr-76d-cleland2007.pdf>)

Comer, P., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, and K. Snow. 2003. Ecological Systems of the United States: A Working Classification of US Terrestrial Systems. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. (https://www.natureserve.org/sites/default/files/pcom_2003_ecol_systems_us.pdf).

KSNPC [Kentucky State Natural Preserve Commission] 2009. Natural Communities of Kentucky. Working Draft. Frankfort, KY.

NatureServe 2020. NatureServe Explorer: An Online Encyclopedia of Life [web application]. NatureServe, Arlington, VA. (<http://explorer.natureserve.org>)

Soil Survey Staff-USDA-NRCS [United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service] 2016. National Soils Information Service (NASIS Data Model Version 7.3.4) Lincoln, NE. (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detailfull/soils/survey/tools/?cid=nrcs142p2_053552).

USDA-NRCS [United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service]. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. (https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051845.pdf).

USNVC [United States National Vegetation Classification]. 2019. United States National Vegetation Classification Database, V2.03. Federal Geographic Data Committee, Vegetation Subcommittee, Washington DC. (<http://usnvc.org>).

Approval

Greg Schmidt, 10/01/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/25/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
