

Ecological site R112XY107KS

Mined Land

Last updated: 11/05/2024
Accessed: 09/19/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 112X–Cherokee Prairies

MLRA 112 (Cherokee Prairies) is in Kansas (48 percent), Oklahoma (29 percent), and Missouri (23 percent) makes up about 20,885 square miles (54,092 square kilometers). This area is in the Osage Plains Section of the Central Lowland Province of the Interior Plains. It is a gently sloping to rolling dissected plains. Elevation ranges from 120 to 1,540 feet (30 to 470 meters). Even though the area is thoroughly dissected, local relief typically is only 3 to 10 feet (1 to 3 meters) and major valleys generally are less than 8 feet (25 meters) below the adjacent uplands. The extent of the major hydrologic unit area is made up of major rivers such as the Neosho, Verdigris, Osage, and Marais des Cygnes. The Harry Truman reservoir lies in the western part of Lake of the Ozarks in Missouri and is on the Osage and Grand Rivers. This area is dominantly underlain by Pennsylvanian and in some areas, Permian and Mississippian sandstone, shale, and limestone bedrock. The northern part of the area has a thin mantle of loess. The dominant soils within this region are Mollisols and Entisols. Alfisols occur in the eastern part of the MLRA. There are small areas of Vertisols throughout the MLRA. It also contains small areas of Vertisols. Soils in this region are developed in residuum, loess, colluvium, and alluvium. These soils were developed under big bluestem, little bluestem, Indiangrass, and switchgrass on the western part of this area. The eastern part of the area and the valleys in the western part support hardwoods, mainly northern red oak, white oak, and shagbark hickory with islands of tall prairie grasses being common. Major wildlife species of this area are deer, cottontail rabbit, fox squirrel, and bobwhite quail. This MLRA is mostly rangeland, hayland, and pasture. More than two-fifths of the area supports pasture grasses and legumes. The western part of this area generally supports tall prairie grasses. Big bluestem, little bluestem, Indiangrass, and switchgrass are the main species. The cropland is used to produce winter wheat, soybeans, corn, grain sorghum, and other feed grains. The forested areas are mainly on steep valley sides and in low-lying areas on flood plains.

Classification relationships

U.S. Department of Agriculture Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 112 - Cherokee Prairies US Forest Service Ecoregions (1994-1995):
Domain name: Humid Temperate Domain Division name: Prairie Division Province name: Prairie Parkland (Temperate) Province
Province code: 251

Ecological site concept

The MLRA 112 Mined Land ecological site is located on previously mined lands predominately in northeastern Oklahoma, southeastern Kansas, and west-central Missouri. Soil characteristics and vegetative communities are variable and influenced by post-mining land management.

Associated sites

R112XY102KS	Clayey Upland The Clayey Upland site is widely distributed in the uplands of MLRA 112. This site is made up of poorly to moderately well drained soils with silt loam to silty clay surface layers, and clayey subsoils. It has a parent material of loess over residuum and residuum from limestone and shale. It is generally on a slope range of 1 to 15 percent.
-------------	---

Similar sites

R112XY103KS	Loamy Upland The Loamy Upland ecological site is on similar landform positions but is not located on formerly mined sites.
--------------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Schedonorus arundinaceus</i>

Physiographic features

This site is characterized by mining spoils and/or earthen fill. Site conditions are variable and highly dependent upon the type of post-mining management. This site is located predominantly on hillslopes, ridges, and interfluves with slopes of 0-50 percent. There is no flooding or ponding frequency. Site elevation ranges from 492-1050 feet.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hills > Hillslope (2) Plains > Hillslope (3) Plains > Interfluve (4) River valley > Ridge
Runoff class	Medium to high
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	150 – 320 m
Slope	0 – 50 %
Water table depth	180 cm
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

MLRA 112 (Cherokee Prairies) has a continental climate marked by strong seasonality. In winter, dry-cold air masses periodically swing south from the northern plains and Canada. If they invade reasonably humid air, snowfall and rainfall result. In summer, moist, warm air masses swing north from the Gulf of Mexico and can produce abundant amounts of rain, either by fronts or by convective processes. In

some summers, high pressure stagnates over the region, creating extended droughty periods. Spring and fall are transitional seasons when abrupt changes in temperature and precipitation may occur due to successive, fast-moving fronts separating contrasting air masses. Seasonality in precipitation is very pronounced due to strong continental influences. June precipitation, for example, averages three to four times greater than January precipitation. During years when precipitation comes in a fairly normal manner, moisture is stored in the top layers of the soil during the winter and early spring, when evaporation and transpiration are low. During the summer months the loss of water by evaporation and transpiration is high, and if rainfall fails to occur at frequent intervals, drought will result. Drought directly influences ecological communities by limiting water supplies, especially at times of high temperatures and high evaporation rates.

Representative climatic features shown in Table 3 were derived from the climate stations selected for use within the MLRA.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	170-190 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	190-200 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	1,040-1,140 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	130-200 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	160-220 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	1,040-1,140 mm
Frost-free period (average)	170 days
Freeze-free period (average)	190 days
Precipitation total (average)	1,090 mm

- (1) PRYOR [USC00347309], Pryor, OK
- (2) TULSA INTL AP [USW00013968], Tulsa, OK
- (3) FT SCOTT [USC00142835], Fort Scott, KS
- (4) CLAREMORE 2 ENE [USC00341828], Claremore, OK
- (5) CLINTON [USC00231711], Clinton, MO
- (6) ELM [USC00232568], Bates City, MO
- (7) GIRARD [USC00143074], Girard, KS
- (8) MIAMI [USC00345855], Miami, OK
- (9) NOWATA [USC00346485], Nowata, OK

Influencing water features

This site is not influenced by riparian or wetland features.

Soil features

Series included in this group are Barge, Brazilton, Coalvale, Foyil, Kanima, and Talala. These soils have been disturbed through mining and current site capability has been influenced by varying methods of post-mining management. The soils in this groups are Entisols, and the taxonomic groups include Udarents and Udorthents. Soils are moderately well drained to well drained with permeability ranging from very slow to moderate. Soil depth is 72 inches or greater; however, Talala and Foyil soils have a densic material layer between 11-23

inches.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Dredge spoils (2) Mine spoil or earthy fill (3) Coal extraction mine spoil
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Silty clay loam (3) Gravelly clay loam (4) Gravelly silty clay loam (5) Very fine sandy loam
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to well drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderate
Depth to restrictive layer	30 – 180 cm
Soil depth	180 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 20 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 40 %
Available water capacity (Depth not specified)	5.08 – 20.32 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (Depth not specified)	3.5 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 50 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 70 %
---	----------

Ecological dynamics

The ecological dynamics of mined lands requires further study as the physiographic and soil characteristics vary from site to site. There is no one universal and definitive reference condition given the variability of soil conditions within this group. Some mined land sites have been successfully transitioned to agricultural uses while others remain as wildlife habitat, recreation areas, or waste sites. Reclamation and restoration inputs post-mining are key criteria to evaluate the potential ecological dynamics of a given site. Conditions somewhat analogous to native soil conditions can occur on highly reclaimed sites.

The species listed within the state and transition model are representative and are not descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are not intended to cover every situation or the full range of conditions. Future field research will increase the detail and development of the Mined Lands state and transition model.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Inventory data references

No field plots have been developed for this site. A review of the scientific literature and professional experience were used to approximate the plant communities for this ecological site. Information for the state-and-transition model was obtained from the same sources. All community phases are considered provisional based on these plots and the sources identified in this ecological site description.

Other references

Caudle, D., H. Sanchez, J. DiBenedetto, C. Talbot, and M. Karl. 2013. Interagency Ecological Site Handbook for Rangelands.

Comer, P.J., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, K. Snow, and J. Teague. 2003 (Date accessed). Ecological Systems of the United States: A Working Classification of U.S. Terrestrial Systems.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). 2018 (Date accessed). Climate Data 1980-2010. <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/land-based-stationdata/find-station>.

Natural Resources Conservation Service. Web Soil Survey 2022 (Date accessed). (<https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/>.)

Society for Rangeland Management. 1994. Rangeland cover types of the United States. Denver, CO.

Soil Survey Division, USDA- NRCS, Official Soil Series Descriptions (OSD). 2022 (Date accessed). <https://soilseries.sc.egov.usda.gov/osdname.aspx>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National Ecological Site Handbook. <https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov>

United States Department of Agriculture. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the

Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin.

USDA-NRCS. 1997. National range and pasture handbook, Chapter 7, rangeland and pastureland hydrology and erosion. Washington D.C.

USDA, NRCS. 2022 (Date accessed). The PLANTS Database. <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Contributors

Anita Arends, Ecological Site Specialist, Springfield, IL

Sheila Staton-Clifton, SSOL, Clinton, MO

Chris Tecklenburg, Regional Ecological Site Specialist, North Central Region

Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 11/05/2024

Acknowledgments

Non-Discrimination Statement In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English. To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at [How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint](#) and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/19/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
