

Ecological site F089XY014WI

Moist Loamy Lowland Forest

Last updated: 9/27/2023

Accessed: 08/17/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 089X–Wisconsin Central Sands

The Wisconsin Central Sands (MLRA 89) corresponds closely to Central Sand Plains Ecological Landscape published by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR, 2015). Much of the following brief overview of this MLRA is borrowed from that publication. The Wisconsin Central Sands MLRA is entirely in Wisconsin. The total land area is 2,187,100 acres (3,420 square miles, 8858 square kilometers). It is bordered to the east by Johnstown-Hancock end moraines, which were pushed to their extent by the west side of the Green Bay Lobe (Clayton & Attig, 1999). It is bordered to the southwest by highly eroded, unglaciated valleys and ridges. The dominant feature of this MLRA is the remarkably flat, sandy plain, composed of lacustrine deposits and outwash sand, that was once the main basin of Glacial Lake Wisconsin. It also features extensive pine and oak barrens and wetland complexes. Glacial Lake Wisconsin was fed primarily by glacial meltwater from the north and east. The lake deposited silt overlain by tens of meters of sand (Clayton & Attig, 1989). The silty layers are closer to the surface in some areas, where they impede drainage and contribute to the formation of extensive wetland complexes. It is believed that Glacial Lake Wisconsin drained within several days after a breach in the ice dam that supported it. The catastrophic flood that followed flowed to the south and carved the scattered buttes and mesas protruding from the sandy plain in the southern portion of this MLRA. Before vegetation established after glacial recession, strong winds formed aeolian sand dunes that now support xeric pine and oak stands within the Wisconsin Central Sands. The surface of the northwestern portion is mostly undulating. The sandy surface sediment was mostly deposited by meltwater during the Wisconsin glaciation. Gentle hills are a result of underlying bedrock topography. Valleys and floodplains are formed by stream action. The underlying bedrock controls the water table elevation and contributes to the formation of numerous wetlands. Historically, the Wisconsin Central Sands were dominated by large wetland complexes, sand prairies, and oak forests, savannas, and barrens. Some pine and hemlock forests were found in the northwest portion. The Wisconsin Central Sands was subject to frequent fires, leading to today's need for prescribed burns to maintain a representation of fire-dependent communities.

Classification relationships

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): Wisconsin Central Sands (89) USFS Subregions: Central Wisconsin Sand Plain (222Ra) Small sections occur in the Neillsville Sandstone Plateau (222Rb) Subregions.

Ecological site concept

The Moist Loamy Uplands ecological site is found on depressions and drainageways on lake plains, stream terraces, and pediments, primarily along the southwest boundary where MLRA 89 borders the Driftless region. These sites are characterized by very deep, somewhat poorly drained soils formed in loamy alluvium and silty lacustrine deposits. Some sites have underlying sandy alluvium or clayey lacustrine deposits. Precipitation, runoff from adjacent uplands, and groundwater discharge are the primary sources of water. Soils range from strongly acid to moderately alkaline. Moist Loamy Uplands differs from other sites by its deep loamy deposits and somewhat poorly drained soils. The deep deposits set this site apart from Moist Loamy Bedrock Uplands. Other somewhat poorly drained sites have sandy or clayey textures. Loamy textures tend to have higher pH and available water capacity than sand, but less than clay. The somewhat poor drainage sets this site apart from other loamy sites. While soils are classified as somewhat poorly drained, this condition does not appear to dominate the landscape. Plant communities are characterized primarily by mesophytic species while a few members of typically wetter communities occur only sporadically. The exact nature of pre-European settlement vegetation is difficult to assess at the scale of this Ecological Site. Natural disturbances and activities of native peoples no doubt produced a mosaic of plant community types. However, based on our understanding of ecological characteristics of species currently occupying these sites we can make estimates of the nature of ecological states and transitions that we might expect under current conditions. Seven tree species have been recorder in our sampling of two representative sites: Trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), red maple (*A. rubrum*), white oak (*Q. alba*), white ash (*Fraxinus Americana*), ironwood (*Ostrya virginiana*), boxelder (*Acer negundo*) and sugar maple (*A. saccharum*).

Associated sites

F089XY001WI	Organic Poor Fen Acidic Poor Fens sites consist of deep herbaceous organic materials. They are very poorly drained and remain saturated throughout the year. They are strongly to extremely acidic. These sites are wetlands. These sites are found primarily in the western half of the Wisconsin Central Sands MLRA. They may occur lower in the drainage sequence and are much wetter than Moist Loamy Uplands.
F089XY002WI	Organic Swamps Mucky Swamps sites consist of herbaceous organic materials sometimes underlain by sandy to loamy mineral soil. They are very poorly drained and remain saturated throughout much of the year. These sites are wetlands. They may occur lower in the drainage sequence and are much wetter than Moist Loamy Uplands.
F089XY004WI	Loamy-Clayey Floodplain Forest Loamy Floodplains are found exclusively on floodplains in loamy alluvium underlain by sandy alluvium. Soils are somewhat poorly to poorly drained and are subject to flooding. These sites occur primarily along tributaries to the Yellow River in central Wood County and along the Lemonweir River. They may be adjacent to Moist Loamy Uplands.
F089XY020WI	Loamy-Clayey Upland Forest Loamy Uplands consist of deep sandy deposits underlain by clayey lacustrine deposits or loamy alluvium underlain by sandy outwash. They are moderately well to somewhat excessively drained. They occur higher on the drainage sequence and are drier than Moist Loamy Uplands.

Similar sites

F089XY013WI	Moist Loamy-Clayey Bedrock Upland Forest Moist Loamy Bedrock Uplands form in loamy alluvium or loess underlain by loamy or clayey residuum weathered from interbedded sandstone and shale. Bedrock is found within 48 inches (122 cm) of the surface. These soils are somewhat poorly drained and are subject to neither flooding nor ponding. These sites are found in northern portion of the Wisconsin Central Sands MLRA where depth to bedrock is shallow. Some of the vegetative communities supported by these sites are also found on Moist Loamy Uplands.
F089XY004WI	Loamy-Clayey Floodplain Forest Loamy Floodplains are found exclusively on floodplains in loamy alluvium underlain by sandy alluvium. Soils are somewhat poorly to poorly drained and are subject to flooding. These sites occur primarily along tributaries to the Yellow River in central Wood County and along the Lemonweir River. Their vegetative communities may be similar to those of Moist Loamy Uplands.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Acer rubrum</i> (2) <i>Acer saccharum</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Cornus</i>

Herbaceous	(1) <i>Impatiens capensis</i>
------------	-------------------------------

Physiographic features

These sites formed in depressions and drainageways on stream terraces and glacial lake basins. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent. Elevation ranges from 705 to 1,394 feet (215 to 425 meters) above sea level. These sites are not subject to ponding or flooding. Some sites have an apparent seasonally high water table (endosaturation) at depth of 18 to 24 inches (46 to 61 cm), but the water table can drop to 60 inches (150) cm during dry conditions. Surface runoff is low.

Figure 1. Distribution of Moist Loamy Uplands in the Wisconsin Central Sands MLRA (89).

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Slope shape across	(1) Linear
Slope shape up-down	(1) Concave
Hillslope profile	(1) Footslope
Landforms	(1) Lake plain (2) Terrace
Runoff class	Low
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	220 – 430 m
Slope	0 %
Water table depth	50 – 60 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The continental climate of the Wisconsin Central Sands is typical of the southern half of the state – cold winters and warm summers. Precipitation is well-distributed throughout the year with a slight peak in the summer months. Snowfall covers the ground from late fall to early spring. The soil moisture regime of MLRA 89 is udic (humid climate). The soil temperature regime is mostly frigid, with a small portion of mesic in the southern tip. Neither precipitation nor temperature vary greatly across this MLRA. More so than latitude, local topography seems to be an important predictor of growing season length, with fewer growing degree days in lower-lying areas.

This site is represented by two NOAA weather stations – Black River Falls Sewage and Mauston 1 SE, recorded 1981 to 2010. Neither of

these stations are located on the site itself.

The average annual precipitation for this ecological site is 33 inches. The average annual snowfall is 48 inches. The annual average maximum and minimum temperatures are 56°F and 35°F, respectively.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	100-120 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	140-150 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	840-860 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	100-120 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	130-150 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	840-860 mm
Frost-free period (average)	110 days
Freeze-free period (average)	140 days
Precipitation total (average)	840 mm

- (1) MAUSTON 1 SE [USC00475178], Mauston, WI
- (2) NECEDAH [USC00475786], Necedah, WI

Influencing water features

Water is received through precipitation, runoff from adjacent uplands, groundwater discharge, and, rarely, stream inflow. Water levels are greatly influenced by precipitation rates and runoff from upland sites. Water leaves the site primarily through runoff, evapotranspiration, and groundwater recharge. Permeability of these sites is impermeable or moderately slow. Hydrologic group is A or C/D.

Soil features

These sites are represented by the Curran, Dunnville variant, Hoop, Kibbie, Korobago, and Sooner soil series. The Curran series is classified as a Udollic Endoaqualf; Dunnville variant is an Aquic Hapludoll; Hoop, Kibbie, and Sooner are Aquollic Hapludalfs; Korobago is an Aquic Eutrudept.

These sites formed in loamy alluvium underlain by either sandy alluvium or clayey lacustrine deposits. Soils are somewhat poorly drained. They do not meet hydric soil requirements. The surface texture of these sites is sandy loam or very fine sandy loam. Subsurface textures consist of silt loam, silty clay, very fine sand, and fine sand. Soil pH ranges from strongly acid to moderately alkaline with values of 5.5 to 7.9. Surface fragments are absent. Subsurface fragments less than 3 inches may be present up to 7 percent volume. Carbonates can be present up to 18 percent beginning at 29 inches (74 cm).

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium (2) Lacustrine deposits
Surface texture	(1) Sandy loam (2) Silt loam
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderately slow
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-152.4cm)	13.84 – 28.17 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 20 %
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.5 – 7.9
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-198.1cm)	0 – 10 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-198.1cm)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

Soils on this Ecological Site can support a relatively large number of deciduous tree species, while conifers, except for white pine, are typically absent. By far, the most successful species, in terms of regeneration capacity and potential as a permanent component of the community, is red maple. Boxelder is a common associate in young stands, but it is a relatively small tree and does not compete well in the overstory with the maples. In the past, trembling aspen sometimes invaded cut-over and burned sites, but such conditions seldom occur today, due to efficient fire prevention and suppression. White oak and red oak are occasional associate in early-successional stands, while ironwood is a commonly present in older communities due to its high shade tolerance.

It is almost certain that present species composition on this ecological site is largely due to past fire disturbance. Soil characteristics and understory flora suggest that shade-tolerant mesic hardwoods are well suited for occupying these sites but are currently rare or absent simply because of lack of adequate seed source. We can expect that with time and absence of fire disturbance sugar maple, basswood and white ash will again attain dominance in these forest communities.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Inventory data references

Plot and other supporting inventory data for site identification and community phases is located on a NRCS North Central Region shared and one drive folder. University Wisconsin-Stevens Point described soils, took photographs, and inventoried vegetation data at community phases within the reference state. The data sources include WI ESD Plot Data Collection Form - Tier 2, Relieve Method, NASIS pedon description, NRCS SOI 036, photographs, and Kotar Habitat Types.

Other references

Clayton, L., & Attig, J. W. (1989). Glacial Lake Wisconsin (Vol. 173). Geological Society of America.

Clayton, L., Attig, J. W., & Mickelson, D. M. (1999). Tunnel channels formed in Wisconsin during the last glaciation. Special Papers-Geological Society of America, 69-82.

Cleland, D.T.; Avers, P.E.; McNab, W.H.; Jensen, M.E.; Bailey, R.G., King, T.; Russell, W.E. 1997. National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units. Published in, Boyce, M. S.; Haney, A., ed. 1997. Ecosystem Management Applications for Sustainable Forest and Wildlife Resources. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT. pp. 181-200.

Curtis, J.T. 1959. Vegetation of Wisconsin: an ordination of plant communities. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison. 657 pp.

Finley, R. 1976. Original vegetation of Wisconsin. Map compiled from U.S. General Land Office notes. U.S. Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station, St. Paul, Minnesota.

NatureServe. 2018. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. U.S.A. Data current as of 28 August 2018.

Kotar, J., J. A. Kovach, and T. L. Burger. 2002. A Guide to Forest Communities and Habitat Types of Northern Wisconsin. Second edition. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, Madison.

Kotar, J., and T. L. Burger. 2017. Wetland Forest Habitat Type Classification System for Northern Wisconsin: A Guide for Land Managers and landowners. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, PUB-FR-627 2017, Madison.

Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2001. The original U.S. public land survey records: their use and limitations in reconstructing pre-European settlement vegetation. Journal of Forestry 99:5–10.

Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2005. Severe wind and fire regimes in northern forests: historical variability at the regional scale. Ecology 86(2):431–445.

Schulte, L.A., and D.J. Mladenoff. 2005. Severe wind and fire regimes in northern forests: historical variability at the regional scale. Ecology 86(2):431–445.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land Resource and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 2015. The ecological landscapes of Wisconsin: An assessment of ecological resources and a guide to planning sustainable management. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, PUB-SS-1131 2015, Madison.

Contributors

Jacob Prater, Associate Professor at University of Wisconsin Stevens Point
John Kotar, Ecological Specialist, independent contract
Bryant Scharenbroch, Assistant Professor at University of Wisconsin Stevens Point
Joel Gebhard, University of Wisconsin Stevens Point
Shelly Stein, University of Wisconsin Stevens Point

Approval

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 9/27/2023

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/27/2023
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
