

Ecological site R084BY168TX

Claypan

29-33" PZ

Last updated: 9/21/2023
Accessed: 09/02/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 084B–West Cross Timbers

MLRA 84B is characterized by nearly level to strongly sloping, dissected plains with narrow valleys that deepen eastward. Soils are generally deep and formed in sediments of Cretaceous age. Average annual precipitation is 25 to 35 inches, and elevation ranges from 1000 to 1300 feet.

Classification relationships

This ecological site is correlated to soil components at the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) level which is further described in USDA Ag Handbook 296.

Ecological site concept

These sites occur on loamy soils that have a somewhat dense clay layer below. The reference vegetation consists of native midgrasses with a variety of forbs and few woody species. In the absence of fire, these site may become dominated by brush species, especially mesquite. Many of these claypan sites have been cultivated for crop production and/or have been planted back to introduced pasture species.

Associated sites

R084BY172TX	Sandy 29-33" PZ Deep sandy soils on uplands.
R084BY174TX	Sandy Loam 29-33" PZ Deep sandy loam soils on uplands.

Similar sites

R084BY175TX	Tight Sandy Loam 29-33" PZ Clay or clay loam subsoils over sandstone or siltstone.
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
------	---------------

Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i> (2) <i>Panicum obtusum</i>

Physiographic features

This site occurs on stream terraces, drainageways, and depressions in the West Cross Timbers. Slopes are typically less than 3 percent.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial plain > Stream terrace (2) Hills > Drainageway
Runoff class	Negligible to very high
Elevation	180 – 640 m
Slope	0 %
Water table depth	30 – 80 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The climate is subtropical. Precipitation varies from an average of 33 inches in the eastern part of the Cross Timbers to 29 inches in the western part. Winters are dry and summers are hot and humid. Tropical maritime air masses control the weather during the spring, summer and fall. Large variations in temperature sometimes accompany polar air masses in winter.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	190-200 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	220-230 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	790-840 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	190-200 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	220-230 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	710-860 mm

Frost-free period (average)	200 days
Freeze-free period (average)	220 days
Precipitation total (average)	810 mm

- (1) RISING STAR 1S [USC00417633], Rising Star, TX
- (2) PROCTOR RSVR [USC00417300], Comanche, TX
- (3) MINERAL WELLS AP [USW00093985], Millsap, TX
- (4) BRIDGEPORT [USC00411063], Bridgeport, TX
- (5) PUTNAM [USC00417327], Baird, TX

Influencing water features

These site receive some surface water runoff from adjacent site and also shed water to lower areas. Water infiltration is affected by the amount and composition of the plant community. These sites are not associated with wetlands.

Wetland description

NA




Figure 7.

Soil features

The major soil series for the Claypan 29-33" PZ ecological site are Hassee. These are nearly level to sloping soils on uplands. They have a fine sandy loam or loam surface layer and clayey subsoil.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – clayey shale
Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam (2) Loam
Drainage class	Moderately well drained
Permeability class	Very slow
Soil depth	180 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	20.32 – 22.86 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.6 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %

Ecological dynamics

The reference plant community for the Claypan site is a midgrass prairie. The grasses are primarily sideoats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula* var *curtipendula*) and vine-mesquite (*Panicum obtusum*). Few shrubs are present in reference condition. Where the site was once cultivated, mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) tends to be one of the first woody plants to appear. Where there is a seed source close by, juniper (*Juniperus ashei* and *Juniperus virginiana*) will invade the site. In certain areas juniper has become a significant problem species along with mesquite.

Pre-settlement grazers included bison and deer. The grasses are fairly palatable and nutritious and the site provides year round grazing.

Generally speaking, the soils on this site are less fertile than the Sandy Loam Site but more than the deeper sands of the Loamy Sand and Deep Sand sites. The most limiting soil factor is permeability followed by fertility. In very dry periods, the soils can appear rather droughty. When good rainfall is received, the site produces well.

Fire played a role in the ecology of the site as is true for most of the grasslands. The main effect of fire on this site was to hold woody shrubs and cactus in check. The grass species are considered fire neutral as far as their response to fire. Climate and soils are the most important and limiting factors affecting grass vegetation on the site. Fire stimulated forbs growth if the timing was right and the fires of pre-settlement days were probably more severe due to more fuel being available which could have been more damaging to woody plants. Fire usually creates more diversity in this site for a year or two post-burn. Fire will usually not produce much mortality in older woody plants. After brush has been controlled with herbicides or mechanically, fire can sometimes be used effectively to suppress regrowth. Small juniper can be killed by fire. Fuel loads are often the most limiting factor for the effective use of prescribed fire on this site. In general, the use of fire on mature (larger) or dense stands of woody plants does not result in the same positive effects that burning has in tall/midgrass communities. Woody plant suppression using safe approved herbicides is generally more practical, followed by prescribed fire.

With abusive grazing practices, the vigorous sideoats grama will become lower in vigor while vine mesquite and Texas wintergrass (*Nassella leucotricha*) will increase then secondary successional species such as sand dropseed (*Sporobolus cryptandrus*), and silver bluestem (*Bothriochloa laguriodes* var. *torreyana*) will begin to increase along with an increase of woody plants. The Vine mesquite and Texas wintergrass are tough, resistant species tolerant of some fairly heavy grazing for long periods, but at some point, a threshold is crossed and the ground cover is opened up resulting in bare places where weedy species can establish. Western ragweed (*Ambrosia psilostachya*) and cool-season annuals will quickly invade if the principal species are in a weakened condition. Mesquite and cactus will occupy the site when heavy grazing has occurred over a long period. The greatest contributor to the increase of mesquite is the domestic cow. The seed is consumed by animals after the seed pods ripen in late summer and when passed through the digestive system and excreted in the manure, the seed finds an excellent seedbed complete with moisture and nutrients. Some wildlife species rely heavily on mesquite beans and juniper berries for food and contribute to the spread of these species. It is possible for mesquite beans to lay dormant in the soil for many years and then germinate when ideal conditions occur. Grazing management probably has minimal effect on the proliferation of woody plants, but a good cover of perennial grasses likely minimizes the seed to soil contact needed to establish. Prescribed fire where it can be safely carried out provides a much better method to control the spread of woody plants. Selective individual removal of woody plants is easy and economical when a few plants begin to show up on the site, but the increase may be fairly rapid and the number of woody plants per acre will soon become too numerous for individual control to be feasible. Prescribed grazing with a reasonable stocking rate can sustain the grass species composition and production at a near reference level until the brush canopy is so dense that the shade starts to interfere with photosynthesis. The Claypan Prairie site can be abused to the point that the perennial warm-season grasses thin out and lower succession grasses along with annual forbs begin to dominate. This process of degradation usually takes many years and is further exacerbated by summer drought and above average winter moisture.

Long-term droughts can effect some change in plant communities. Especially when coupled with abusive grazing. Short-term droughts are common and usually do not have a lasting effect in changing stable plant communities, although production will be affected. When a brush canopy becomes established which shades the ground sufficiently it tends to favor cool-season annual species. Once a state of brush and cool-season annuals is reached, recovery to a good perennial grass cover is unlikely without major input with brush management and reseeding. In summary, the change in states of vegetation depend on the type of grazing management applied over many years, and the rate of invasion and establishment of woody species. After the site crosses the threshold to a lower ecological condition, the effects of seasonal moisture and short term dry spells become more pronounced. Plant communities that consist of warm season perennial grass and the associated species of the reference plant community are able to persist and withstand climatic extremes with only minor shifts in the overall plant community.

This site was historically inhabited by grassland wildlife species such as bison, grassland birds and small mammals. Over the years, as the site has changed to a more mixed grass and shrub community, more wildlife species have come to utilize it for habitat. Woody plants provide cover for white-tailed deer and bob-white quail. These wildlife species have both increased along with the brushy plants due to the cover that these plants provide. More forbs are needed to meet these species food requirements and woody plants for browse are important for deer. It is often the objective of many land owners to strike a balance in plant community so that these wildlife species can exist along with domestic livestock. This can be accomplished by a carefully thought out grazing and brush management program. It must be realized that managing at a lower successional level may meet some wildlife species requirements very well, but may not be nearly as productive for grazing purposes, and may not be as capable of satisfying functions such as nutrient cycling, hydrologic protection, plant community stability or soil protection. A proper balance can be achieved with careful planning that considers all resources.

Hydrologically, the site contributes runoff to the various draws, creeks, and streams that are common in the MLRA. If the perennial grass cover is maintained in good vigor, then maximum infiltration occurs and runoff is reduced. More water getting into the ground means a healthier, more productive plant community. If infiltration is minimal, then the effect is an artificially shallow soil with plant roots retreating to near the soil surface. More perennial grass cover means less runoff may result but the runoff that does occur is less laden with sediment. Overall watershed protection is enhanced by a healthy grassland community, as is nutrient cycling.

State and Transitional Pathways:

The following diagram suggests some pathways that the vegetation on this site might take in response to various treatment or natural stimuli over time. There may be other states that are not shown on this diagram. This information is to show that changes in plant community do occur as a result of management and natural factors; and can be changed by implementing certain practices. The plant

communities described are commonly observed on this site. Before making plans for plant community manipulation for specific purposes, consult local professionals.

As a site changes in plant community makeup, the changes may be due to many factors. Change may occur slowly or in some cases, fairly rapidly. As vegetative changes occur, certain thresholds are crossed. This means that once a certain point is reached during the transition of one community to another, a return to the first state may not be possible without the input of some form of energy. This often means intervention with practices that are not part of natural processes. An example might be the application of herbicide to control some woody species in order to reduce its population and encourage more grass and forbs growth. Merely adjusting grazing practices would probably not accomplish any significant change in plant community once certain thresholds are crossed. The amount of energy required to effect change in community would depend on the present vegetative state and the desired change.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Midgrasses			1009-2354	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	336-1121	–
	Arizona cottontop	DICA8	<i>Digitaria californica</i>	336-1121	–
	vine mesquite	PAOB	<i>Panicum obtusum</i>	336-1121	–
2	Midgrasses			252-588	
	buffalograss	BODA2	<i>Bouteloua dactyloides</i>	0-168	–
	silver beardgrass	BOLAT	<i>Bothriochloa laguroides ssp. torreyana</i>	0-168	–
	Texas wintergrass	NALE3	<i>Nassella leucotricha</i>	0-168	–
	composite dropseed	SPCOC2	<i>Sporobolus compositus var. compositus</i>	0-168	–
3	Midgrasses			252-588	
	purple threeawn	ARPU9	<i>Aristida purpurea</i>	0-168	–
	Wright's threeawn	ARPUW	<i>Aristida purpurea var. wrightii</i>	0-168	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	0-168	–
	Drummond's dropseed	SPCOD3	<i>Sporobolus compositus var. drummondii</i>	0-168	–
	sand dropseed	SPCR	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	0-168	–
	white tridens	TRAL2	<i>Tridens albescens</i>	0-168	–
Forb					
4	Perennial Forbs			84-196	
	Drummond's onion	ALDR	<i>Allium drummondii</i>	0-56	–
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	<i>Ambrosia psilostachya</i>	0-56	–
	sagebrush	ARTEM	<i>Artemisia</i>	0-56	–
	bundleflower	DESMA	<i>Desmanthus</i>	0-56	–
	Engelmann's daisy	ENGEL	<i>Engelmannia</i>	0-56	–
	Maximilian sunflower	HEMA2	<i>Helianthus maximiliani</i>	0-56	–
	ratany	KRAME	<i>Krameria</i>	0-56	–
	dotted blazing star	LIPU	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	0-56	–
	Nuttall's sensitive-briar	MINU6	<i>Mimosa nuttallii</i>	0-56	–
	bushsunflower	SIMSI	<i>Simsia</i>	0-56	–
	white heath aster	SYER	<i>Symphyotrichum ericoides</i>	0-56	–
	greenthread	THELE	<i>Thelesperma</i>	0-56	–
Shrub/Vine					

5	Shrubs			84-196	
	clapweed	EPAN	Ephedra antisyphilitica	0-168	–
	lotebush	ZIOB	Ziziphus obtusifolia	0-168	–

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 3.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

This site was habitat to migratory bison herds, deer, turkey, migratory birds and large predators such as wolves, coyotes, mountain lions and black bear. White-tail deer, turkey, bobcats and coyotes along with resident and migratory birds and small mammals find suitable habitat today. Domestic livestock and white-tail deer are the dominant grazers and browsers of the site. As the savannah changes through the various vegetative states towards the Mesquite dominate, the quality of the habitat may improve for some species and decline for others. Management must be applied to maintain a vegetative state in optimum habitat quality for the desired animal species.

Hydrological functions

Peak rainfall periods occur in April, May, June, September and October. Rainfall amounts may be high (3 to 10 inches per event) and events may be intense. The soils of this site are susceptible to erosion and severe erosion occurs where adequate herbaceous cover is not maintained and on heavy use areas such as roads and livestock trails. Periods of 60 plus days of little or no rainfall during the growing season are common. The hydrology of this site may be manipulated with management to yield higher runoff volumes or greater infiltration to groundwater. Management for less herbaceous cover will favor higher surface runoff while dense herbaceous cover favors ground water recharge. Potential movement of soil (erosion), pesticides and both organic and inorganic nutrients (fertilizer) should always be considered when managing for higher volumes of surface runoff.

Recreational uses

Hunting, hiking, camping, equestrian, bird watching and off road vehicle use.

Wood products

Mesquite is used for firewood and barbecue wood.

Other products

None.

Other information

None.

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from limited NRCS clipping data and field observations of range trained personnel: Lemuel Creswell Range Management Specialist (RMS), Comanche; Earl V. Hogan RMS, James Luton RMS, Montague; William Donham,

Agronomist, Granbury; Kent Ferguson RMS, Weatherford.

References

. 2021 (Date accessed). **USDA PLANTS Database**. <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Other references

White-tailed Deer, Their Foods and Management in the Cross Timbers, by Kenneth L. Gee, Michael D Porter, Steve Demarais, Fred C. Bryant, and Gary Van Vreede. A Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation Publication, 1991.

Technical Review:

Homer Sanchez, State Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Temple, Texas
Mark Moseley, State Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Stillwater, Oklahoma
Kent Ferguson, Zone Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Weatherford, Texas
Dr. Jack Eckroat, Grazing Lands Specialist, NRCS, Stillwater, Oklahoma
Justin Clary, Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Temple, Texas

Contributors

Earl V. Hogan
PES edits by Colin Walden, Stillwater Soil Survey Office

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/21/2023

Acknowledgments

Site Development and Testing Plan: Future work, as described in a Project Plan, to validate the information in this Provisional Ecological Site Description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low, medium and high intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document. Annual reviews of the Project Plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Colin Walden, Range Management Specialist, Soil Survey Region 9.
Contact for lead author	colin.walden@ok.usda.gov
Date	01/19/2018
Approved by	
Approval date	

Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production
--	-------------------

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills: Minimal evidence of current or past rill formation.

2. Presence of water flow patterns: Few water flow patterns on steep areas. Short and stable, not incising.

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: No pedestals terracettes present.

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground less than 10 percent. Bare areas small and not connected.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: No gullies present.

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: No wind scoured areas.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter movement less than 3 feet. Vegetative cover should restrict litter movement over long distances. Only herbaceous litter less than .25 inches expected to move.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability scores of 5 or greater expected.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Ap horizon may be present. Other wise A--0 to 7 inches; dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) fine sandy loam.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Presence of native midgrasses and few tallgrasses allow for good infiltration across landscape. However, some runoff still expected due to the nature of the clay subsoils.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction under reference conditions. Beware texture change of Bt horizon not product of compaction.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups** (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Native Midgrasses (Group 1)

Sub-dominant: Native Tallgrasses, Cool Season Grasses, Native Shortgrasses, Native Forbs/Legumes (Group 2-4)

Other: Native Shrubs (Group 5)

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence** (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Possible mortality only during prolonged drought. Less than 5%.
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Litter expected to be at 75% cover at average .25 inch depth.
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production** (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Annual production 2,500 lb/ac. Ranging from 1,500 to 3,500.
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species** (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Mesquite and Juniper(ashe juniper/eastern redcedar) most common invaders.
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** Plants should be capable of reproducing every year with exception of prolonged growing season drought.
-