

Ecological site R084AY095OK

Subirrigated Bottomland

Last updated: 9/21/2023
Accessed: 09/03/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 084A–North Cross Timbers

MLRA 84A “North Cross Timbers” is characterized by rolling to hilly uplands dominated by Oak trees with bedrock outcrops and narrow stream valleys. It is believed that the Cross Timbers ecosystem is one the least disturbed forest types remaining in the Eastern US. Major rivers in this MLRA include the Verdigris River in Kansas and the Arkansas, Cimarron, and South Canadian Rivers in Oklahoma. The western parts of this MLRA are underlain by sandstone and shale of Permian age, while the eastern parts are underlain by sandstone and shale of Pennsylvanian age. There are also occurrences of Pleistocene age stream terraces along the rivers.

Classification relationships

This ecological site is correlated to soil components at the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) level which is further described in USDA Ag Handbook 296. Level IV EPA Ecoregions 27o “Crosstimbers Transition”, 29a “Northern Crosstimbers”, and 29h “Northwestern Crosstimbers”.

Ecological site concept

The Subirrigated Bottomland ecological site consists of coarse-loamy floodplain soils that are occasionally to frequently flooded. These soils contain a high water table, typically within 40 inches of the surface for most of the year. The vegetation of the reference state is a bottomland savannah with a mixture of trees, shrubs, and grasses. In the reference state, the woody species are limited by periodic fires or alternative brush management. When fire is removed from the ecosystem and no alternative brush control is implemented, woody species may take over the site. This process will transition the site to an alternative ecological state. There are also many of these sites that have been farmed for a short period and planted back to introduced perennial vegetation. There is a high amount of variability within this ecological site due to the effects of subsurface water on the vegetative dynamics. Certain plants will be more prolific during wetter periods while others will increase in abundance as the site periodically dries out. This ecological site is of small extent throughout MLRA 84A. It occurs primarily on small floodplains and backwater areas off of small creeks and tributaries. Most commonly the soil associated with this ecological site is mapped as a minor component of a soil Mapunit. Therefore, these sites are often small inclusions within a management unit (pasture/field). While these sites are small in scale, they may still warrant special management considerations due to the unique vegetation and potential use by domestic animals and wildlife species.

Associated sites

R084AY050OK	Loamy Bottomland Similar landscapes within MLRA 84A. Sandy Loam soils. Does not have high water table.
-------------	--

Similar sites

R084AY050OK	Loamy Bottomland Similar landscapes within MLRA 84A. Sandy Loam soils. Does not have high water table.
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Salix Nigra</i> (2) <i>Carya illinoensis</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i> (2) <i>Amorpha fruticosa</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Panicum virgatum</i> (2) <i>Spartina pectinata</i>

Physiographic features

Subirrigated sites are on nearly level, broad flood plains of the Northern Cross Timbers. Slopes range from 0 to 2 percent.

Figure 1. 084AY095

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial plain > Flood plain
Runoff class	Medium to high
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	Occasional to frequent
Elevation	240 – 370 m
Slope	0 %
Water table depth	20 – 110 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Climate is moist sub-humid with annual precipitation ranging from 30 to 45 inches. There will be noticeable differences in precipitation and temperatures from north to south and east to west. The most intense rainfall occurs in late spring and early summer while warm season vegetation is growing rapidly. Frost free and freeze free days increase from north to south. Precipitation increases from west to east.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	170-190 days
--	--------------

Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	200-210 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	940-1,070 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	170-190 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	200-210 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	940-1,070 mm
Frost-free period (average)	180 days
Freeze-free period (average)	210 days
Precipitation total (average)	990 mm

- (1) SEMINOLE [USC00348042], Seminole, OK
- (2) CHANDLER [USC00341684], Chandler, OK
- (3) BLANCHARD 2 SSW [USC00340830], Blanchard, OK
- (4) BRISTOW [USC00341144], Bristow, OK

Influencing water features

The usual high water table enables growth of lush vegetation and is typically not affected by frequent dry periods during the growing season like other sites in this area. Wetl soil characteristics can be easily distinguished on this site and some obligate wetland plants can be found, but typically the site is dominated by facultative plants. These sites act as a filter for overland flow and can easily become saturated during heavy periods of rainfall especially during the spring. Evaporation is minimized by tall and dense plant growth that shades the soil surface. The site contributes to the stability of the overall riparian system that occurs along major streams and rivers. While the subirrigated soils normally are subject to endosaturation (water moving vertically upward or horizontally into the profiles), flood events may produce periods episaturation (surface water becoming perched above a layer of low permeability) and lead to a temporary increase in hydrophitic vegetation. These sites are characterized by their high water tables with water at or near the surface during winter and spring.

Wetland description

Site specific analysis should be conducted by trained experts in determining the presence of wetlands.




Figure 8.

Soil features

Representative soils for this site include:
Tribbey fine sandy loam

The Tribbey series consists of very deep, somewhat poorly drained, moderately rapid permeable soils that formed in loamy and sandy alluvium of Recent age. These nearly level to very gently sloping soils are on narrow flood plains in the Cross Timbers (MLRA-84A).

Note: There may be inclusions of other soils that because of mapping scale are not divided out. These may include some areas of the Pulaski series (Loamy Bottomland 084AY050OK) and the Port or Ashport series (Loamy Bottomland 080AY050OK).

Soils are mapped for each county within the MLRA. Mapunits are representations of the major soil series component(s) and named accordingly. Each Mapunit is spatially represented on a digital soils map as polygons of different shapes and sizes. Within these Mapunits, there are often minor soil series components included. These minor components are soils that occur within a Mapunit polygon but are of small extent (15% or less of the Mapunit area). However, it is difficult to separate these minor soils spatially due to the scale of soil mapping.

Ecological sites are correlated at the component level of the soil survey. Therefore, a single Mapunit may contain multiple Ecological Sites just as it may contain multiple soil components. This is important to understand when investigating soils and Ecological Sites. A soil survey Mapunit may be correlated to a single Ecological Site based on the major component; however, there may be inclusional areas of additional Ecological Sites which are correlated to the minor components of that particular soil Mapunit.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – sandstone and shale
Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam (2) Loam (3) Loamy fine sand

Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid
Soil depth	150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	12.19 – 30.48 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.5 – 7.5
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-2.5cm)	Not specified

Table 5. Representative soil features (actual values)

Drainage class	Not specified
Permeability class	Not specified

Soil depth	Not specified
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.5 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-2.5cm)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

Like many sites in the Great Plains this ecological site is maintained by the interaction between fire and grazing. These fires restrict growth of woody species and limited woody canopy.

Unlike some of the similar sites in the adjoining MLRA's this site is typically smaller in nature and may not occur directly adjacent to water bodies that it is formed by. The size of this site when compared to the surrounding landscape is typically small in nature and as such can have a tendency to become overgrazed due to the abundance of palatable forage plants for domestic livestock. Cattle naturally are attracted to this site because of the palatable forage but also because of the amount of time that may be spent loafing in these sites because of available water in areas along with the shade it can provide.

Fire and grazing stimulates regrowth of the dominant plants as well as suppress shrub and tree species from becoming the prevailing plants on the site where they can become established. The dominant deep rooted tallgrasses such as switchgrass and gamagrass can thrive with periodic fire. Cordgrass also occurs in the wettest areas and can easily regrow after fire aided by its dense root system. Woody species such as button bush, cottonwood, pecan, and persimmon can be controlled with fires and allow a resetting of the plant community dynamics. The use of fire on these sites may be more mosaic in look as compared to the associated sites due to surface

water.

Typically these sites would have a water table within the upper 40 inches during at least 9 months out of the year and in many cases year around. The subsurface water would have provided the plants that frequent the site any needed water during the growing season for all but the warmest and driest months of the year. Natural hydrology of these sites has been lost in many cases due to a variety of human induced causes, including farming, dredging and filling in some cases. Many of the upland sites associated with this ecological site have been altered by the removal of the historic tree population which has been replaced by an even stand of young trees which shades out many of the herbaceous plants that would have slowed overland flow of water and increased infiltration.

Plants that are associated with this site are able to grow in wet and sometimes saturated soils and typically are highly productive due to available plant water during most of the year. Plant diversity is typically extremely high and many plants are found on this site that are unlikely to be found on other ecological sites within this major land resource area (MLRA). The plant community is a mix of grasses, forbs, legumes, shrubs and trees and provide excellent wildlife habitat where they occur. There are many different functional groups of plants present on the site also which is likely due to the variability of available water due to fluctuations in the water table throughout the season. The soils can be highly variable in their range of characteristics and as such the plant communities can fluctuate widely within a mapped soil.

A State and Transition Model for the Subirrigated Bottomland Ecological Site (084AY095) is depicted below. Thorough descriptions of each state, transition, plant community, and pathway follow the model. Experts base this model on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, and interpretations. It is likely to change as knowledge increases.

Plant communities will differ across the MLRA because of the naturally occurring variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The Reference Plant Community is not necessarily the management goal; other vegetative states may be desired plant communities as long as the Range Health assessments are in the moderate and above category. The biological processes on this site are complex. Therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context. The species lists are representative and are not botanical descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are not intended to cover every situation or the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the site.

Both percent species composition by weight and percent canopy cover are described as are other metrics. Most observers find it easier to visualize or estimate percent canopy for woody species (trees and shrubs). Canopy cover can drive the transitions between communities and states because of the influence of shade and interception of rainfall. Species composition by dry weight is used for describing the herbaceous community and the community as a whole. Woody species are included in species composition for the site. Calculating similarity index requires use of species composition by dry weight.

The following diagram suggests some pathways that the vegetation on this site might take. There may be other states not shown on the diagram. This information is intended to show what might happen in a given set of circumstances. It does not mean that this would happen the same way in every instance. Local professional guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Tallgrasses			3363-4820	
	big bluestem	ANGE	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>	616-1009	0
	switchgrass	PAVI2	<i>Panicum virgatum</i>	616-1009	0
	Indiangrass	SONU2	<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>	448-729	0
	eastern gamagrass	TRDA3	<i>Tripsacum dactyloides</i>	448-729	0
	prairie cordgrass	SPPE	<i>Spartina pectinata</i>	280-504	0
	Florida paspalum	PAFL4	<i>Paspalum floridanum</i>	280-504	0
	purpletop tridens	TRFL2	<i>Tridens flavus</i>	112-252	0
	Grass, native	2GN	<i>Grass, native</i>	112-252	0
2	Cool Season Grasses			448-785	
	deertongue	DICL	<i>Dichanthelium clandestinum</i>	45-157	0
	Heller's rosette grass	DIOL	<i>Dichanthelium oligosanthos</i>	45-157	0
	Canada wildrye	ELCA4	<i>Elymus canadensis</i>	90-157	0
	Virginia wildrye	ELVI3	<i>Elymus virginicus</i>	0-112	0

	Indian woodoats	CHLA5	<i>Chasmanthium latifolium</i>	67-112	0
	Grass, native	2GN	<i>Grass, native</i>	45-78	0
3	Other Perennial Grasses			673-1065	
	little bluestem	SCSC	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	168-280	0
	bushy bluestem	ANGL2	<i>Andropogon glomeratus</i>	78-135	0
	beaked panicgrass	PAAN	<i>Panicum anceps</i>	78-135	0
	longspike tridens	TRST2	<i>Tridens strictus</i>	67-112	0
	Grass, native	2GN	<i>Grass, native</i>	67-112	0
	thin paspalum	PASE5	<i>Paspalum setaceum</i>	34-67	0
	broomsedge bluestem	ANVI2	<i>Andropogon virginicus</i>	28-56	0
	fall witchgrass	DICO6	<i>Digitaria cognata</i>	28-56	0
	marsh bristlegrass	SEPA10	<i>Setaria parviflora</i>	28-56	0
	dropseed	SPORO	<i>Sporobolus</i>	28-56	0
	foxtail barley	HOJU	<i>Hordeum jubatum</i>	0-34	0
	nimblewill	MUSC	<i>Muhlenbergia schreberi</i>	0-34	0
4	Grasslike			448-785	
	sedge	CAREX	<i>Carex</i>	168-336	0
	flatsedge	CYPER	<i>Cyperus</i>	0-168	0
	bulrush	SCHOE6	<i>Schoenoplectus</i>	0-112	0
	bulrush	SCIRP	<i>Scirpus</i>	0-112	0
	rush	JUNCU	<i>Juncus</i>	45-78	0
Forb					
5	Forbs			1177-1681	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	56-140	0
	sunflower	HELIA3	<i>Helianthus</i>	56-140	0
	common boneset	EUPE3	<i>Eupatorium perfoliatum</i>	28-112	0
	lateflowering thoroughwort	EUSE2	<i>Eupatorium serotinum</i>	28-112	0
	goldenrod	SOLID	<i>Solidago</i>	34-101	0
	vervain	VERBE	<i>Verbena</i>	28-84	0
	ticktrefoil	DESMO	<i>Desmodium</i>	17-67	0
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	0-56	0
	tickseed	COREO2	<i>Coreopsis</i>	0-45	0
	Carolina elephantsfoot	ELCA3	<i>Elephantopus carolinianus</i>	0-39	0
	false boneset	BREU	<i>Brickellia eupatorioides</i>	0-39	0
	harvestlice	AGPA6	<i>Agrimonia parviflora</i>	0-39	0
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	<i>Ambrosia psilostachya</i>	22-39	0
	Indianhemp	APCA	<i>Apocynum cannabinum</i>	0-39	0
	white sagebrush	ARLU	<i>Artemisia ludoviciana</i>	22-39	0
	milkweed	ASCLE	<i>Asclepias</i>	22-39	0
	aster	SYMPH4	<i>Symphyotrichum</i>	22-39	0
	stinging nettle	URDI	<i>Urtica dioica</i>	0-39	0
	Baldwin's ironweed	VEBA	<i>Vernonia baldwinii</i>	0-39	0
	annual marsh elder	IVAN2	<i>Iva annua</i>	0-39	0
	azure blue sage	SAAZ	<i>Salvia azurea</i>	22-39	0
	Texas star	SACA3	<i>Sabatia campestris</i>	0-39	0
	prairie gentian	EUSTO	<i>Eustoma</i>	0-39	0

	turkey tangle fogfruit	PHNO2	<i>Phyla nodiflora</i>	0-39	0
	groundcherry	PHYSA	<i>Physalis</i>	0-39	0
	knotweed	POLYG4	<i>Polygonum</i>	22-39	0
	crownbeard	VERBE2	<i>Verbesina</i>	0-39	0
	scurfpea	PSORA2	<i>Psoralegium</i>	0-34	0
	blackeyed Susan	RUHI2	<i>Rudbeckia hirta</i>	0-34	0
	American licorice	GLLE3	<i>Glycyrrhiza lepidota</i>	0-34	0
	Nuttall's sensitive-briar	MINU6	<i>Mimosa nuttallii</i>	0-34	0
	prairie acacia	ACAN	<i>Acacia angustissima</i>	0-34	0
	wild indigo	BAPT1	<i>Baptisia</i>	0-34	0
	prairie fleabane	ERST3	<i>Erigeron strigosus</i>	0-34	0
	Queen Anne's lace	DACA6	<i>Daucus carota</i>	0-34	0
	prairie clover	DALEA	<i>Dalea</i>	0-34	0
	Illinois bundleflower	DEIL	<i>Desmanthus illinoensis</i>	11-34	0
	copperleaf	ACALY	<i>Acalypha</i>	0-28	0
	milkvetch	ASTRA	<i>Astragalus</i>	0-17	0

Shrub/Vine

6	Shrub/Vine			785-1121	
	common buttonbush	CEOC2	<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>	336-560	0
	false indigo bush	AMFR	<i>Amorpha fruticosa</i>	112-224	0
	Carolina coralbead	COCA	<i>Cocculus carolinus</i>	0-56	0
	Oklahoma blackberry	RUOK	<i>Rubus oklahomus</i>	0-56	0
	saw greenbrier	SMBO2	<i>Smilax bona-nox</i>	22-56	0
	coralberry	SYOR	<i>Symphoricarpos orbiculatus</i>	22-56	0
	eastern poison ivy	TORA2	<i>Toxicodendron radicans</i>	22-56	0
	grape	VITIS	<i>Vitis</i>	22-56	0
	Shrub, other	2S	<i>Shrub, other</i>	0-22	–

Tree

7	Trees			785-1121	
	pecan	CAIL2	<i>Carya illinoensis</i>	224-336	10-30
	black willow	SANI	<i>Salix nigra</i>	168-280	0
	American sycamore	PLOC	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	112-224	0
	eastern cottonwood	PODE3	<i>Populus deltoides</i>	112-224	0
	common persimmon	DIVI5	<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	0-22	0
	green ash	FRPE	<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	0-22	0
	Tree, deciduous, broadleaf	2TDB	<i>Tree, deciduous, broadleaf</i>	0-22	–
	sugarberry	CELA	<i>Celtis laevigata</i>	0-11	0
	common hackberry	CEOC	<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	0-11	0
	American elm	ULAM	<i>Ulmus americana</i>	0-11	0

Table 7. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

This site is suited for the production of domestic livestock and provides habitat for native wildlife and certain species of exotic wildlife. Cow-calf operations are the primary livestock enterprise although stocker cattle are also grazed. Sustainable stocking rates have declined drastically over the past 100 years because of deterioration of the reference state. Initial starting stocking rates should be determined with the landowner or decision maker based on the merits of the existing plants for the desired animals. Many species will utilize the Subirrigated Bottomland site for at least a portion of their habitat needs but rely on a more extensive landscape to meet all their needs. Some animals may only utilize one plant community of the Subirrigated Bottomland site to fulfill their habitat needs. Smaller mammals include many kinds of rodents, jackrabbit, cottontail rabbit, raccoon, skunks, opossum, and armadillo. Mammalian predators include coyote, fox, and bobcat. Many species of snakes and lizards are native to the site. Many species of birds are found on this site including game birds, songbirds, and birds of prey. Major game birds that are economically important are Rio Grande turkey, bobwhite quail, and mourning dove. Turkey prefers plant communities with substantial amounts of shrubs and trees interspersed with grassland. Quail prefer plant communities with a combination of low shrubs, bunch grass, bare ground, and low successional forbs. The different species of songbirds vary in their habitat preferences. In general, habitat that provides a diversity of grasses, forbs, shrubs, vines and trees, and a complex of grassland, savannah, shrubland, and woodland will support a variety and abundance of songbirds. Birds of prey are important to keep the numbers of rodents, rabbits, and snakes in balance. The different plant communities of the site will sustain different species of raptors.

Hydrological functions

State 1 In the Savannah state, the mixture of grasses and forbs, along with the relatively flat landscape, allow for rapid infiltrating of rainfall and drainage from overland flow. However, due to the landscape position, somewhat poorly drained soils, and proximity to water courses, some of these sites are subjected to periodic flood events. While these areas do not pond water for extended periods of time, the surface water coupled with the high water table can promote the growth of hydrophitic vegetation. State 2 Once the site has transitioned to a Woodland State, the overall hydrology of the site will be altered. While the site will still receive runoff from overland flow, much of the rainfall is intercepted in tree canopies and does not make it into the herbaceous understory. Additionally, the shading created by the overstory of woody species can create a more mesophytic microclimate and offset the reduction in rainfall passage to the understory. This allows certain shade tolerant species to thrive within this state. State 3 Under cultivation, the hydrology, soil health, and soil structure have been altered. The degree of infiltration varies from site to site and can still be relatively high due to the coarse textured soils. With the re-establishment of perennial grasses to the site, the hydrology may be somewhat restored to that of the grassland state. This may take a significant amount of time depending on the prior cultivation practices and species of grass used in re-establishment.

Recreational uses

These sites are often used for recreational hunting, bird watching, and many other outdoor activities.

Wood products

Some tree species associated with this ecological site are preferred for use as firewood, smoking wood, and other non-commercial uses.

Other products

NA

Other information

NA

Inventory data references

The concepts, plant community data, and interpretations for this site description were initiated in the early 2000s by Oklahoma ECS staff. The initial work was based on information contained within Oklahoma Range site Descriptions developed on the 1960s along with additional field inventories and professional knowledge. The information was then reviewed, revised, and field verified as part of a FY2014 ESD development project by the Stillwater Soil Survey office in collaboratiion with Oklahoma NRCS Ecological Sciences staff.

References

Bestelmeyer, B., J.R. Brown, K.M. Havstad, B. Alexander, G. Chavez, and J.E. Herrick. 2003. Development and Use of State and Transition Models for Rangelands. *Journal of Range Management* 56:114–126.

Frost, C.C. 1998. Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Plant Conservation Program. North Carolina Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Raleigh, NC.

Other references

Fuhlendorf, S. D., Engle, D. M., Kerby, J. A. Y., & Hamilton, R. (2009). Pyric herbivory: rewilding landscapes through the recoupling of fire and grazing. *Conservation Biology*, 23(3), 588-598.

Harlan, J. R. (1957). Grasslands of Oklahoma.

National Soil Information System (NASIS). Accessed 2013

Shantz, H. L. (1923). The natural vegetation of the Great Plains region. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 13(2), 81-107.

Shiflet, T. N. (1994). Rangeland cover types of the United States (Vol. 152). Denver, CO, USA: Society for Range Management.

Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>. Accessed [5/5/2014].

USDA NRCS Plants Database. Online.

USDA-SCS Oklahoma Range Site Descriptions(1960s)

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of Lincoln County, Oklahoma. 1970

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of Payne County, Oklahoma. 1987

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of Cleveland County, Oklahoma. 1987

Contributors/Reviewers

Brandon Reavis(QC) - Range Management Specialist, OK

Harry Fritzler - Range Management Specialist, OK

Denise Turner - Range Management Specialist, OK

Mark Moseley(QA) - Ecological Site Specialist - Soil Survey Region 9

Contributors

Colin Walden, Soil Survey Region 9, Stillwater, OK

Brandon Reavis, State RMS, Stillwater, OK

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/21/2023

Acknowledgments

Site Development and Testing Plan: Future work, as described in a Project Plan, to validate the information in this Provisional Ecological Site Description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low, medium and high intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document. Annual reviews of the Project Plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jack Eckroat, Steve Glasgow, Harry Fritzler
Contact for lead author	100 USDA Suite 206 Stillwater, OK 74074
Date	04/01/2005
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** There are few, if any, rills (only following flood events) and there is no active headcutting and sides are covered with vegetation.

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** There is some evidence of soil deposition (only after a flood event). Water generally flows evenly over the entire landscape.

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** There should not be any evidence of erosional pedestals or terracettes on this site.

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** There is <5% bare ground on this site. Bare areas are small and not connected.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None. Drainages are represented as natural, stable, vegetated channels

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Uniform distribution of litter. Litter rarely moves >12 inches only following high intensity storms or flood events.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Surface soil is stabilized (Stability Score 5-6). Stability scores based on a minimum of 6 samples tested.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil surface horizon intact. A--0 to 10 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) fine sandy loam, red (2.5YR 5/6) dry; weak fine granular structure; slightly hard, very friable; faint stratification; slightly alkaline; clear smooth boundary. (4 to 14 inches thick) Refer to description for specific component sampled.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Infiltration is moderately rapid and uniform. Runoff is very low. Reference plant community composition and distribution is intact. (Tallgrass dominated)

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): There is no compaction layer.

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Tallgrasses

Sub-dominant: Midgrasses Cool-Season Perennial Grasses = Forbs =Trees = Shrubs

Other: Shortgrasses, Annuals

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): There may be some plant mortality and decadence on the perennial grasses, especially in the absence of fire and herbivory, but usually <5% depending on recent precipitation patterns.

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter should cover 50-75% of the area between plants with accumulations of <1 inch deep. Depending on time since fire.

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Normal production is 7000 – 10,000 pounds per year.

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native).** List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Invasives might include: eastern redcedar, locust, sericea lespedeza, annuals and non-natives.
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All plants capable of reproducing at least every year. Both seedheads and vegetative rhizomes/tillers should be evaluated.
-