

USDA icon Natural Resources
Conservation Service

Ecological site R078CY068OK

Sandy Bottomland

Last updated: 9/15/2023

Accessed: 09/18/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 078C—Central Rolling Red Plains, Eastern Part

MLRA 78C is characterized by moderately dissected, rolling plains with prominent ridges and valleys and numerous terraces adjacent to dissecting streams. Loamy and clayey soils are generally deep, well drained, and developed in soft, calcareous sandstones, siltstones, and shales in red beds of Permian age. Characteristic red soils have formed in most of the area because of the underlying Permian red-bed sedimentary rocks.

LRU notes

NA

Classification relationships

This ecological site is correlated to soil components at the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) level which is further described in USDA Ag Handbook 296.

Ecological site concept

These sites occur on flood plains with coarse textured soils. Unlike the Subirrigated Bottomland ecological site, the Sandy Bottomland sites lack subsurface water with 60 inches. The dominate reference vegetation is tallgrasses intermixed with forbs and some woody species. These woody species include sandplum, sumac, and cottonwood. In the absence of fire, woody species can begin to dominate the site. Long term abusive grazing practices can damage the productive tallgrass species and lead to plant community with an abundance of less palatable species.

Similar sites

R078CY094TX	Clayey Bottomland 23-30" PZ Clayey Bottomland is found on similar physiographic position, but with clayey soils.
R078CY103TX	Loamy Bottomland 23-31" PZ Sometimes adjacent on river bottoms: similar vegetation but with loamy soils.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Populus deltoides</i>
------	------------------------------

Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Panicum virgatum</i> (2) <i>Andropogon gerardii</i>

Physiographic features

These soils are on nearly level to broad flood plains of the Central Rolling Red Plains. Slopes are 0 to 2 percent. Elevation ranges from 700 to 2500 feet. These soils frequently to rarely flood; duration is brief or very brief during months of March to October.

Figure 1. Sandy Bottomland

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) River valley > Flood plain (2) River valley > Draw (3) River valley > Valley floor
Runoff class	Negligible
Flooding duration	Very brief (4 to 48 hours) to brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	Rare to frequent
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	210 – 760 m
Slope	0 %
Water table depth	150 – 180 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

MLRA 78C lies within the subtropical sub-humid climate regime. This regime is characterized by rapid changes in temperature; marked extremes, both daily and annual; and rather erratic rainfall. The weather is alternately influenced by cold dry air from the Arctic Circle, and warm moist air from the Gulf of Mexico.

Seasonal changes are gradual. Spring is a season of variable weather and relatively high precipitation with prevailing winds from the southwest. Summers are generally hot with low humidity. Fall has long periods of pleasant weather interspersed with moderate to heavy rains. Winter is open and moderate to cold with winds from the north and infrequent snows.

Wind speeds average more than eleven miles an hour with prevailing southern winds. Rather strong winds can occur in all months of the

year. While strong gusty winds occur, severe dust storms are rare.

Approximately 75 percent of the rainfall occurs during the warm season, and much of it comes in storms of high intensity and short duration in May and June. These rains can be particularly erosive on sites where vegetation is sparse. Occasional droughts are to be expected. Lack of rainfall and hot, dry winds often curtail forage production during July and August.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	140-180 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	180-200 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	660-740 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	140-190 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	170-210 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	610-810 mm
Frost-free period (average)	160 days
Freeze-free period (average)	190 days
Precipitation total (average)	690 mm

- (1) ASHLAND [USC00140365], Ashland, KS
- (2) WAYNOKA [USC00349404], Waynoka, OK
- (3) VERNON [USC00419346], Vernon, TX
- (4) FORT SUPPLY 3SE [USC00343304], Fort Supply, OK
- (5) REYDON 2SSE [USC00347579], Reydon, OK
- (6) CARNEGIE 5 NE [USC00341504], Carnegie, OK
- (7) CHATTANOOGA [USC00341706], Chattanooga, OK
- (8) WILMORE 16SE [USC00148914], Coldwater, KS
- (9) MANGUM [USC00345509], Mangum, OK

Influencing water features

These sites occur on flood plains and are subjected to periodic flooding and run off water from adjacent uplands.

Wetland description

NA

Soil features

Soils are mapped for each county within the MLRA. Mapunits are representations of the major soil series component(s) and named accordingly. Each Mapunit is spatially represented on a digital soils map as polygons of different shapes and sizes. Within these Mapunits, there are often minor soil series components included. These minor components are soils that occur within a Mapunit polygon

but are of small extent (15% or less of the Mapunit area). However, it is difficult to separate these minor soils spatially due to the scale of soil mapping.

Ecological sites are correlated at the component level of the soil survey. Therefore, a single Mapunit may contain multiple Ecological Sites just as it may contain multiple soil components. This is important to understand when investigating soils and Ecological Sites. A soil survey Mapunit may be correlated to a single Ecological Site based on the major component; however, there may be inclusional areas of additional Ecological Sites which are correlated to the minor components of that particular soil Mapunit.

Representative soil components for this site include:
Lincoln

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – quartzite
Surface texture	(1) Fine sandy loam (2) Loamy fine sand (3) Fine sand
Family particle size	(1) Sandy
Drainage class	Well drained to somewhat excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid to rapid
Soil depth	150 – 200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	2.79 – 18.29 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified

Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	6.1 – 10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The information contained in the State and Transition Diagram (STD) and the Ecological Site Description was developed using archeological and historical data, professional experience, and scientific studies. The information presented is representative of a very complex set of plant communities. Not all scenarios or plants are included. Key indicator plants, animals and ecological processes are described to inform land management decisions.

The Sandy Bottomland Ecological Site developed on sandy fluvial alluvium of Recent Age adjacent to rivers and streams. The reference plant community of the site developed under the prevailing subtropical, sub-humid climate over time along with the soils defining the site. Occasional severe flooding events also influenced the ecological development of the site by disrupting vegetation and depositing sand and silt bars. The topographic position adjacent to the stream, sandy soil, shallow water table and occasional flooding encouraged the development of tall grass vegetation. The reference community is assumed to have been a Tallgrass Community (1.1) characterized by tall grasses, some midgrasses, abundant forbs and scattered woody species. The trees and shrubs occupied locations protected from frequent and intense fires that occurred throughout the region before European settlement (Frost 1994). It is believed that the endemic woody plants historically provided less than five percent canopy cover.

The demise of the Native American Indians, expansion of the livestock industry and cessation of periodic intense fires changed the ecological dynamics of the vegetation on the Sandy Bottomland site. After European settlement in the mid 1800's, the frequency and intensity of fire diminished and continuous overgrazing by cattle and sheep began a transition of the reference plant community towards a woodland plant state. Although recent climatic warming trends and increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide may be enhancing vegetation change (Morgan, et. al. 2008) the major forces influencing transition from the historic climax plant community to a woodland state are continuous overgrazing by livestock and the decrease in frequency and intensity of fire (Archer1998).

Overstocking and continuous yearlong grazing causes changes in the plant community structure and function of the Tallgrass Community (1.1). When defoliation by livestock exceeds the ability of the vegetation to sustain optimum growth, the more palatable, and generally more productive, species decline in productivity and density. The more palatable and accessible tall grasses and forbs give way to more grazing resistant species. As regression occurs, the tall grasses such as big bluestem, switchgrass, eastern gamagrass and Indiangrass are replaced initially by little bluestem, purpletop, Virginia wildrye, tall dropseed, giant sandreed, Texas wintergrass and vine mesquite. The better quality forbs, such as Illinois bundleflower and Engelmann daisy, are replaced by less palatable species. Cottonwood and will are major tree species found. The woody species that had been kept in check by fire and grass competition increase in size and density. The site also becomes open to invasion of species from adjacent sites. The change in structure of the grassland vegetation brings about a new plant community, the Tallgrass/Midgrass Community (1.2).

The Tallgrass/Midgrass Community (1.2) is characterized by a mixture of tall grasses and Midgrasses being encroached by endemic or invading woody and weedy plants. Little bluestem and giant sandreed increase initially. Little bluestem may persist on recent sand deposits until woody plants become dominant. Common bermudagrass is also common on sandy deposits. Baccharis, salt cedar and mesquite invade and can produce 10 to 20 percent of the annual production. Most climax forb species are still present, but weedy species are invading.

If the combination of heavy continuous grazing by livestock and wildlife continues, as it did on most areas of this site through the middle of the twentieth century, less palatable grasses, forbs, shrubs and trees become dominant to the detriment of taller and more palatable species. Tall dropseed, purpletop tridens, sand lovegrass and knot-root bristleggrass replace switchgrass, Indiangrass, eastern gamagrass, and big/sand bluestem. Alkali sacaton, inland saltgrass, salt cedar and baccharis invade salty areas. Loss of herbaceous cover and increased bare ground precludes effective burning and encourages accelerated erosion. Salty areas and silt deposits from floods increase. Soil and litter movement will occur during floods and water infiltration into the soil decreases.

When woody plant canopy exceeds 20 percent and the woody plants are old enough or large enough to resist control by prescribed burning, the transition into a woodland state is complete. Woodland ecological processes begin to compete with grassland ecological

processes. Once this threshold is crossed, proper grazing management and prescribed burning alone cannot return the Mixed-grass/Mixed-brush Community (2.1) to a grassland state.

The endemic trees, such as pecan, cottonwood and hackberry can, but seldom do, dominate the overstory in the Mixed-grass/Mixed-brush Community (2.1). The overstory trees, especially cottonwood, often decline because of man's activities. However, native invasive species such as mesquite, western soapberry and invader species such as Siberian elm and salt cedar often become pests. Once established, the shrubs can become dominant, even without grazing, if they are not controlled. Occasionally more saline conditions are produced, resulting in increased amounts of alkali sacaton and inland saltgrass. Salt cedar is particularly invasive where saline conditions develop. Midgrass and forb diversity and production continues to decline while shrubs, cool-season species, shade tolerant grasses and weedy annuals increase as the canopy becomes denser. The herbaceous component is further reduced through shading and competition from woody vegetation.

Continued overgrazing by livestock and deer, along with periodic droughts, eventually brings about a plant community dominated by woody plants. Baccharis, mesquite and salt cedar completely take over the sandiest portions of the site, forming dense jungles of brush. Alkali sacaton, inland saltgrass, seep muhly and annuals become the primary grasses of the deteriorated state. This plant community type is identifiable as a Mixed-brush/Mixed-grass/Annuals Community (2.2). Open sandy and salty areas are often interspersed within the plant community. The understory and interspaces support only remnants of climax vegetation, generally in low vigor and productivity due to shading and competition for water and nutrients. Summer grazing followed by winter rest frequently encourages cool-season species such as Texas wintergrass. The woodland plant community is so well established that it cannot be returned to a grassland state without extensive energy and management inputs.

Restoring the Mixed-brush/Mixed-grass/Annuals Community (2.2) to the grassland state requires extensive accelerated management practices, such as brush control, range planting, prescribed grazing and prescribed burning. The unique characteristics of the Sandy Bottomland Site and the great differences from adjacent sites make special management necessary. It also is important as part of the regions riparian system. Its condition and management can influence downstream water quantity and quality. Often it may be beneficial to fence this site to manage or limit access by grazing animals. Haying of the tall grasses found in the Grassland State (1.0), or planted after brush control, is an alternative to grazing. With extensive accelerated practices, time and proper management, this plant community can again resemble the reference community in productivity and functioning of ecological processes. Generally, the Tallgrass/Midgrass (1.2) or Mixed-grass/Mixed-brush (2.1) Communities will provide excellent habitat for livestock and wildlife.

State and Transition Diagram:

A State and Transition Diagram for the Sandy Bottomland (R078CY068OK) site is depicted below. Thorough descriptions of each state, transition, and pathway follow the model. Experts base this model on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, and interpretations. It is likely to change as knowledge increases. Plant communities will differ across the MLRA because of the natural variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The Reference Plant Community is not necessarily the management goal; other vegetative states may be desired plant communities as long as the Range Health assessments are in the moderate and above category.

The biological processes on this site are complex. Therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context. The species lists are representative and are not botanical descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are not intended to cover every situation or the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the site.

Composition by dry weight and percent canopy cover are provided to describing the functional groups. Most observers find it easier to visualize or estimate percent canopy for woody species (trees and shrubs).

The following diagram suggests some pathways that the vegetation on this site might take. There may be other states not shown on the diagram. This information is intended to show what might happen in a given set of circumstances. It does not mean that this would happen the same way in every instance. Local professional guidance should always be sought before pursuing a treatment scenario.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
0	Tallgrass			280-729	
1	Tallgrass			1681-4371	
	switchgrass	PAVI2	<i>Panicum virgatum</i>	280-673	–
	Indiangrass	SONU2	<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>	252-616	–
	giant dropseed	SPGI	<i>Sporobolus giganteus</i>	252-616	–
	big bluestem	ANGE	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>	252-616	–

	giant sandreed	CAGI3	<i>Calamovilfa gigantea</i>	0-616	–
	eastern gamagrass	TRDA3	<i>Tripsacum dactyloides</i>	252-616	–
	purpletop tridens	TRFL2	<i>Tridens flavus</i>	196-616	–
	prairie cordgrass	SPPE	<i>Spartina pectinata</i>	0-112	–
2	Midgrass			280-729	
	sideoats grama	BOCU	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	50-123	–
	Arizona cottontop	DICA8	<i>Digitaria californica</i>	45-123	–
	Texas cupgrass	ERSE5	<i>Eriochloa sericea</i>	0-123	–
	vine mesquite	PAOB	<i>Panicum obtusum</i>	50-123	–
	alkali sacaton	SPAI	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	45-118	–
	sand lovegrass	ERTR3	<i>Eragrostis trichodes</i>	45-118	–
	Indian woodoats	CHLA5	<i>Chasmanthium latifolium</i>	56-112	–
	splitbeard bluestem	ANTE2	<i>Andropogon ternarius</i>	0-56	–
3	Shortgrass			0-11	
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	0-11	–
	fall witchgrass	DICO6	<i>Digitaria cognata</i>	0-11	–
	saltgrass	DISP	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	0-11	–
4	Cool Season			280-729	
	Canada wildrye	ELCA4	<i>Elymus canadensis</i>	78-191	–
	Texas wintergrass	NALE3	<i>Nassella leucotricha</i>	67-179	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	67-179	–
	Texas bluegrass	POAR	<i>Poa arachnifera</i>	67-179	–
	sedge	CAREX	<i>Carex</i>	22-112	–
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	<i>Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum</i>	0-11	–
Forb					
5	Forb			140-364	
	white sagebrush	ARLUM2	<i>Artemisia ludoviciana ssp. mexicana</i>	6-22	–
	partridge pea	CHFA2	<i>Chamaecrista fasciculata</i>	6-22	–
	whitemouth dayflower	COER	<i>Commelina erecta</i>	6-22	–
	bundleflower	DESMA	<i>Desmanthus</i>	6-22	–
	ticktrefoil	DESMO	<i>Desmodium</i>	6-22	–
	beeblossom	GAURA	<i>Gaura</i>	6-22	–
	Maximilian sunflower	HEMA2	<i>Helianthus maximiliani</i>	6-22	–
	coastal indigo	INMI	<i>Indigofera miniata</i>	6-22	–
	dotted blazing star	LIPU	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	6-22	–
	blazingstar	MENTZ	<i>Mentzelia</i>	6-22	–
	Nuttall's sensitive-briar	MINU6	<i>Mimosa nuttallii</i>	6-22	–
	fogfruit	PHYLA	<i>Phyla</i>	6-22	–
	senna	SENN	<i>Senna</i>	6-22	–
	awnless bushsunflower	SICA7	<i>Simsia calva</i>	6-22	–
	Canada goldenrod	SOAL6	<i>Solidago altissima</i>	6-22	–
	false gaura	STLI2	<i>Stenosiphon linifolius</i>	6-22	–
	white heath aster	SYERE	<i>Symphotrichum ericoides var. ericoides</i>	6-22	–
	Baldwin's ironweed	VEBA	<i>Vernonia baldwinii</i>	6-22	–
	vervain	VERBE	<i>Verbena</i>	6-22	–
Shrub/Vine					

6	Shrub/Vine			84-219	
	sand sagebrush	ARFI2	<i>Artemisia filifolia</i>	0-28	–
	grape	VITIS	<i>Vitis</i>	6-28	–
	Virginia creeper	PAQU2	<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i>	6-22	–
	western poison ivy	TORY	<i>Toxicodendron rydbergii</i>	6-22	–
	bundleflower	DESMA	<i>Desmanthus</i>	6-22	–
	Maximilian sunflower	HEMA2	<i>Helianthus maximiliani</i>	6-22	–
	awnless bushsunflower	SICA7	<i>Simsia calva</i>	6-22	–
	jointfir	EPHED	<i>Ephedra</i>	0-20	–
	stretchberry	FOPU2	<i>Forestiera pubescens</i>	8-20	–
	pricklypear	OPUNT	<i>Opuntia</i>	8-20	–
	plum	PRUNU	<i>Prunus</i>	8-20	–
	sumac	RHUS	<i>Rhus</i>	8-20	–
	bully	SIDER2	<i>Sideroxylon</i>	8-20	–
	greenbrier	SMILA2	<i>Smilax</i>	8-20	–
	yucca	YUCCA	<i>Yucca</i>	8-20	–
	Texas Hercules' club	ZAH12	<i>Zanthoxylum hirsutum</i>	0-20	–
	willow baccharis	BASA	<i>Baccharis salicina</i>	8-20	–
	Carolina coralbead	COCA	<i>Cocculus carolinus</i>	6-17	–
Tree					
7	Tree			56-146	
	cottonwood	POPUL	<i>Populus</i>	11-34	–
	black willow	SANI	<i>Salix nigra</i>	11-28	–
	western soapberry	SASAD	<i>Sapindus saponaria var. drummondii</i>	11-28	–
	elm	ULMUS	<i>Ulmus</i>	11-28	–
	pecan	CAIL2	<i>Carya illinoensis</i>	11-28	–
	hackberry	CELT1	<i>Celtis</i>	11-28	–

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Many types of wildlife used the Sandy Bottomland Ecological Site. Being associated with flood plains and water courses, it probably received concentrated animal use at times. Bison probably utilized the site heavily during migrations prior to European settlement. Grassland insects, reptiles, birds and mammals frequent the site, either as their base habitat or from the adjacent sites. Small mammals include many kinds of rodents, jackrabbit, cottontail rabbit, raccoon, skunk, opossum and armadillo. Predators include coyote, fox and bobcat. Game birds, songbirds, and birds of prey were indigenous or frequent users. Most are still plentiful. Bison and pronghorn antelope, however, are no longer present. White-tailed deer utilize the Sandy Bottomland site in its various states. Deer, turkey and quail particularly favor the habitat provided by the Mixed-grass/Mixed-brush (2.1) plant community. In addition of food, cover and water, the trees along the stream provide important roost sites for turkey. The site is very suited to primary grass eaters such as cattle. As retrogression occurs and woody plants invade it becomes better habitat for a mixture of cattle, sheep, goats, deer and other wildlife because of the browse and cool season grasses. Sheep and goats are seldom pastured in the MLRA, however. Any livestock should be stocked in proportion to the available grass, forb and browse forage, keeping deer competition for forbs and browse in mind. If the animal numbers are not kept in balance with herbage and browse production through grazing management and good wildlife population

management, the late Mixed-brush/Mixed-grass/Annuals phase will have little to offer as wildlife habitat except cover.

Hydrological functions

The Sandy Bottomland Ecological Site is found on nearly level flood plains. It may receive water from surrounding soils and the site may be briefly covered by water during flooding events. Soil moisture holding capacity is low and percolation is generally rapid contributing to downstream flow. The deep sandy soils are not highly fertile, but because the water table is close to the surface, they are conducive to tall grass vegetation, and high herbage production during above average moisture years. Under reference condition, the grassland vegetation probably intercepted and utilized much of the incoming rainfall in the soil profile. Litter and soil movement was slight. Standing plant cover, duff and organic matter decrease as the Tallgrass Community (1.1) transitions to the Tallgrass/Midgrass Community (1.2). These processes continue in the spaces between woody plants in the Mixed-grass/Mixed-brush Community (2.1) and the Mixed-brush/Mixed-grass/Annuals Community (2.2). Once the woodland matures, the hydrologic and ecological processes, nutrient cycling and energy flow stabilize within the woody plant canopy.

Recreational uses

The Sandy Bottomland site, in conjunction with surrounding sites, is well suited for many outdoor recreational uses including recreational hunting, hiking, camping, equestrian and bird watching. This site along with adjacent upland sites provides diverse scenic beauty and many opportunities for recreation and hunting. Fall colors are especially noteworthy on this site.

Wood products

Mesquite is sometimes used for posts and charcoal. Wood from all the trees can be used for firewood or specialty products.

Other products

Jams and jellies are made from fruit bearing species, such as plum. Seeds are harvested from many HCPC plants for commercial sale. Grasses and forbs may be harvested by the dried-plant industry for sale in dried flower arrangements. Honeybees are utilized to harvest honey from the many flowering plants, such as mesquite.

Inventory data references

Information presented has been derived from RSD's for Sandy Bottomland PE 26-46 (3-1-74) and PE 26-44 (11-2-71) , an undated NRCS draft Ecological Site Description for Sandy Bottomland PE 31-44, 78C, literature, personal experience, field observations and personal contacts with range-trained personnel. Photos by: J.L. Schuster. Photo taken 8-20-07 Wilbarger County, TX Special thanks to the following for assistance and guidance with development of this ESD: Reggie Quiett and Cody Bauman NRCS Vernon, TX, Mark Moseley NRCS, San Antonio, Texas and Justin Clary NRCS Temple, Texas.

Other references

1. Archer S. 1994. Woody plant encroachment into southwestern grasslands and savannas: rates, patterns and proximate causes. In Ecological Implications of Livestock Herbivory in the West, pp.13-68. Edited by M. Vavra, W. Laycock, R. Pieper, Society for Range Management Publication. , Denver, CO.
2. Brown, J.K. and J.K. Smith (Editors). 2000. Wildland fire in Ecosystems; effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden. UT: U.S.D.A., Forest Service, Rocky Mtn. Sta. 257p.
3. Frost, C. C. 1998. Pre-settlement fire frequency regions of the United States: A first approximation. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings No. 20
4. Milchunas, D.G. 2006. Responses of Plant Communities to grazing in the southwestern United States. USDA-Forest Service. Rocky Mtn. Sta. GTR. 169
5. Thurow T.L., 1991. Hydrology and erosion. Chapter 6 in: Grazing Management: An Ecological Perspective Edited by: R.K. Heitschmidt and J.W. Stuth. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.
6. USDA/NRCS Soil Survey Manuals for Knox, Baylor, Wilbarger Texas.
7. Plant symbols, common names and scientific names according to USDA/NRCS Texas Plant List (Unpublished)
8. Bestelmeyer, B. T., J.R. Brown, K. M. Havsted, R. Alexander, G. Chavez and J. E. Hedrick. 2003. Development and use of state-and-transition models for rangelands. J. Range Management. 56(2): 114-126.
9. Morgan, J.A., J.D. Derner, D.G. Milchunas and E. Pendall. 2008. Management Implications of Climate Change for Great Plains Rangelands. In Rangelands 30(3):18-22 June 2008.
10. Gee, K. L., M. D. Porter, S. Demaris, F. C. Bryant, and G. V. Vreede. (1994). White-tailed deer: Their Foods and Management in the Cross Timbers. Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation Publication., Box 2180, Ardmore, Oklahoma, 73402 and Texas Tech University, Department of Natural Resources, Lubbock, Texas 79409.

Contributors

Dr. Joe Schuster, Range And Wildlife Habitat Consultants, LLC, Bryan, Tx.
Joe McEntire
Steve Glasgow
PES Edits by Tyson Morley, MLRA Soil Scientist, Altus, Oklahoma

Approval

Bryan Christensen, 9/15/2023

Acknowledgments

Site Development and Testing Plan Future work, as described in a Project Plan, to validate the information in this Provisional Ecological Site Description is needed. This will include field activities to collect low, medium and high intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of that data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. A final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews of the ESD will be needed to produce the final document. Annual reviews of the Project Plan are to be conducted by the Ecological Site Technical Team.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Lem Creswell, RMS, NRCS, Weatherford, Texas Steve Glasgow, GLS, NRCS, Stillwater, OK
Contact for lead author	100 USDA Suite 206 Stillwater, Ok 74074 405.742.1235
Date	07/09/2004
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills: None

2. Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are common and follow old stream meanders. Deposition or erosion is uncommon for normal rainfall but may occur during intense rainfall events

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals or terracettes would have been uncommon for this site.

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Expect no more than 10% bare ground randomly distributed throughout.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Some gullies may be present on side drains into perennial and intermittent streams. Gullies should be vegetated and stable.

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None to slight.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Little or no litter movement or deposition during normal rainfall events; however, litter of all sizes may move long distances depending on obstructions under intense storm events.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil surface in HCPC is resistant to erosion. Stability range is expected to be 5-6.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Ap: 0 to 9 inches; brown silt loam, weak fine and medium granular structure. A1: 9 to 14 inches; reddish brown silt loam, weak fine and medium granular structure. A2: 14 to 30 inches; reddish brown silt loam, weak fine and medium granular structure.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Under HCPC, the bottomland of warm season tall and midgrasses with trees and forbs with adequate litter and little bare ground provides for maximum infiltration and little runoff under normal rainfall events.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses

Sub-dominant: Warm-season midgrasses

Other: Cool-season grasses > Forbs > Trees

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
Perennial grasses will naturally exhibit a minor amount (less than 5%) of senescence and some mortality every year.
-
14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Dominant litter is herbaceous.
-
15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
2500 to 6500 pounds per acre.
-
16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Honey mesquite, eastern redcedar, Prickly pear, Bermudagrass, Johnsongrass and King Ranch bluestem.
-
17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All perennial species should be capable of reproducing every year unless disrupted by extended drought, overgrazing, wildfire, insect damage, or other events occurring immediately prior to, or during the reproductive phase.
-