

Ecological site EX049X01X204

Shallow Foothill

Palmer Divide

Last updated: 5/08/2025
Accessed: 09/22/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 049X–Southern Rocky Mountain Foothills

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 049X–Southern Rocky Mountain Foothills Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 49 comprises approximately 11,130 square miles running north to south, from south-central Wyoming (27 percent), the length of the Front Range of Colorado (58 percent), and into north-central New Mexico (15 percent). Almost half of this area occurs in the Southern Rocky Mountains and Wyoming Basin provinces in the Rocky Mountain system. The remainder is in the Colorado Pediment, Raton, and High Plains Section of the Great Plains Province of the Interior Plains. The northern part consists of the Laramie Mountains. The central and southern parts generally are bounded on the east by the Great Plains and on the west by the Southern Rocky Mountains (excerpts from USDA Ag. Handbook 296). Average elevation ranges from 5,000 to 8,000 feet. Annual precipitation ranges from 10 to 35 inches.

LRU notes

Land Resource Unit (LRU) 49X_01 (shaded area of the location map) is a transition zone between the mountains and plains and describes the central Colorado foothills of the Front Range, including the Palmer Divide. It includes the cities of Highlands Ranch, Castle Rock, and Kiowa in Douglas and Elbert counties. The Black Forest-Palmer Divide area is central, while Cheyenne Mountain State Park at Colorado Springs is the southernmost extent in El Paso County. It is characterized by Gambel oak (*Quercus gambelii*) and ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), with ponderosa pine increasing at higher elevations. The ponderosa pine transitions into grasslands on the drier eastern fringes as it transitions into the plains. This area was historically used for ranching, limited farming, logging, mining, and quarry activities. The area experienced an influx of people during the Colorado gold rush of 1859. Because of its proximity to Denver and Colorado Springs, many of the original ranches and small towns have been converted to subdivisions, small acreages, and suburbs. Some cities, such as Castle Rock, have zoned "open space" for recreation.

Classification relationships

NRCS: Major Land Resource Area 49, Southern Rocky Mountain Foothills (United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006).

Ecological site concept

The Shallow Foothill site is a run-off site that receives no extra water beyond normal precipitation, and has basalt, limestone, or sandstone bedrock within 20 inches of the surface. The subsurface textures are generally loamy. Fragments of gravel, cobble, and stone size are common on the soil surface and throughout the profile. Fragments range from 0 to 75 percent by volume. This site occupies uplands, foothills, valleys, and piedmonts in parts of the Southern Rocky Mountain Foothills and the Palmer Divide region of the Great Plains. It is found on slopes ranging from 3 to 70 percent at elevations of 5,500 to 6,700 feet. The soils are well-drained with permeability ranging from very slow to moderately rapid. The fine-earth fractions of the surfaces textures are typically sandy loams or loams. The subsurface textures are generally loamy. .

Associated sites

EX049X01X210	Sandy Foothill Palmer Divide This site is often adjacent but positioned lower on the slope than the Shallow site.
--------------	--

EX049X01X202	Loamy Foothill Palmer Divide This site is often adjacent but positioned lower on the slope than the Shallow site.
---------------------	---

Similar sites

EX049X01X214	Gravelly Foothill Palmer Divide This site does not have bedrock within 20 inches of the soil surface.
R049XY213CO	Cobbly Foothill This site does not have bedrock within 20 inches of the soil surface.
R049XB212CO	Shaly Foothill This site has bedrock composed of shale.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Pinus ponderosa</i> (2) <i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Cercocarpus montanus</i> (2) <i>Quercus gambelii</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Andropogon gerardii</i> (2) <i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>

Legacy ID

R049XC204CO

Physiographic features

This site occurs on uplands, foothills, valleys, and piedmonts in parts of the Southern Rocky Mountain Foothills and the Palmer Divide region of the Great Plains.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Foothills > Hill (2) Piedmont > Ridge (3) Foothills > Valley (4) Piedmont slope > Upland slope
Runoff class	Medium to very high

Elevation	1,680 – 2,040 m
Slope	0 – 70 %
Water table depth	150 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Table 3. Representative physiographic features (actual ranges)

Runoff class	Medium to very high
Elevation	1,680 – 2,040 m
Slope	0 – 70 %
Water table depth	150 cm

Climatic features

The average annual precipitation is 14 to 19 inches per year, but can vary from 11 to 20 inches depending upon the year and location within the LRU. Approximately 60 to 75 percent of the annual precipitation occurs during the growing season from late-April to late-September. The Rocky Mountains to the west intercept much of the precipitation from Pacific storms during the winter. Snowfall can vary from 39 inches to 75 inches, depending upon elevation and location. Snowfall averages 63 inches annually across the LRU. Wind speeds average 10 miles per hour annually. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime, and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 60 miles per hour.

The average length of the freeze-free period is 142 days, but varies from 111 to 172 days in 5 out of 10 years. The average date of first freeze (28 degrees or below) in the fall is September 22, and the average last freeze in the spring is May 17. July is the hottest month and January is the coldest. Summer temperatures are moderate, with average highs in the low 80s and occasionally reaching the mid 90s.

Summer nights are comfortably cool, with lows averaging in the 50s. Higher elevations can receive a dusting of snow in early September. Severe cold is normally of short duration. Summer humidity is low and evaporation is high.

Cool season plants generally begin growth from April 1 to April 15. Native warm season plants typically begin growth about May 1 to May 15. Cool season plants generally continue to grow through the summer and fall, in comparison to the warmer and drier eastern plains where cool season plants exhibit summer dormancy.

Table 4 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	100-120 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	130-140 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	360-480 mm

Frost-free period (actual range)	100-130 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	130-140 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	280-510 mm
Frost-free period (average)	110 days
Freeze-free period (average)	140 days
Precipitation total (average)	430 mm

- (1) CASTLE ROCK [USC00051401], Castle Rock, CO
- (2) COLORADO SPRINGS MUNI AP [USW00093037], Colorado Springs, CO
- (3) PARKER 6 E [USC00056326], Parker, CO
- (4) ELIZABETH 2NW [USC00052631], Elizabeth, CO
- (5) RUSH 1N [USC00057287], Rush, CO

Influencing water features

This site is not associated with any water features.

Wetland description

This site is not associated with wetlands.

Soil features

The soils of this site are typically shallow and formed in residuum of sedimentary rocks such as sandstone, limestones, shales, and conglomerates. These soils occur predominantly on hills, ridges, hogbacks, and uplands. These soils are well-drained with permeability ranging from very slow to moderately rapid. The available water capacity is low. The soil surface usually ranges from two to 10 inches thick. The soils are typically four to 20 inches above hard bedrock although some soils have a thin layer of weathered bedrock above a hard rock layer. The fine-earth fractions of the surfaces textures are typically sandy loams or loams. The subsurface textures are generally loamy. Fragments of gravel, cobble, and stone size are common on the soil surface and throughout the profile. Fragments range from zero to 75 percent by volume in these soils.

The soil taxonomic order is predominantly mollisols with dark surfaces and high organic matter in the surface and upper subsoil. Entisols and Alfisols with less organic matter also occur on this site. The soil moisture regime is typically ustic (aridic subgroup) with some aridic (ustic subgroup) areas.

The soil temperature regime is usually mesic. The pH of these soils typically ranges from 5.6 to 8.4.

Exposed areas of bedrock are common on these sites. Where slopes are gentle, water flow paths should be broken, irregular in appearance or discontinuous with numerous debris dams or vegetative barriers and exhibit slight to no evidence of rills, wind scoured areas or pedestaled plants. As slopes become steeper, expect to find evidence water flow patterns and pedestaled plants. Sub-surface soil layers, where not affected by bedrock, are non-restrictive to water movement and root penetration.

Major soil series correlated to this ecological site include: Baller (variant) very cobbly sandy loam, Bernal sandy loam La Porte (variant) channery loam, Lavina loam, Rizoza loam (El Paso), Rooney very cobbly sandy loam(Golden Area), Rooney extremely cobbly sandy loam, and Tarryall gravelly loam.

Other soil series that have been correlated to this site include: Argiustolls-Loamy, Fortwingate loam, Penrose channery loam, and Stapleton sandy loam.

Figure 7. Rooney Series

Table 5. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum – limestone, sandstone, and shale
Surface texture	(1) Cobbly, very cobbly, extremely cobbly loam (2) Very stony sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Sandy over loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	10 – 50 cm
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	0 – 40 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 40 %
Available water capacity (0-50.8cm)	2.54 – 10.16 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-50.8cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-50.8cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-50.8cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-50.8cm)	5.6 – 8.4

Table 6. Representative soil features (actual values)

Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderately rapid
Soil depth	10 – 50 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 40 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 40 %
Available water capacity (0-50.8cm)	2.54 – 10.16 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-50.8cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-50.8cm)	0 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-50.8cm)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-50.8cm)	5.6 – 8.4

Ecological dynamics

The Shallow Foothills ecological site is characterized by the Reference, Conifer Dominated, and Deciduous Shrub Dominated states. Transitions between states and their respective communities are primarily driven by natural disturbance regimes, the most significant being periodic drought, grazing that results in chronic defoliation (both natural and agricultural), and fire. The timing and magnitude of these disturbances affect the various stages of the vegetative communities as described in the state and transition model.

The historical fire frequency of the the Palmer Divide LRU ranges from 6 to 10 years (Guyette, et al). The impact of fire over the past 50 years has been relatively insignificant due to wildfire suppression and the lack of acceptance of prescribed fire as a management tool. Prolonged drought, coupled with fire suppression, has increased the frequency and intensity of periodic wildfires in the area.

Timber harvest for fuel and lumber has significantly influenced the appearance of the site today.

Much of this ecological site has been fragmented due to urban development. State and Transition Model Information: A state and transition Model (STM) diagram for this ecological site is depicted in this section. Thorough descriptions of each state, transition, plant community, and pathway are found after the state and transition model. This STM is based on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, and interpretations. While based on the best available information, the STM may change over time as knowledge of ecological processes increases.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 7. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Warm Season Tall Bunchgrass			224-426	
	big bluestem	ANGE	<i>Andropogon gerardii</i>	185-247	–
	Indiangrass	SONU2	<i>Sorghastrum nutans</i>	39-123	–
	switchgrass	PAVI2	<i>Panicum virgatum</i>	22-84	–
3	Warm Season Mid Bunchgrass			112-224	
	little bluestem	SCSC	<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>	62-185	–
	sideoats grama	BOCU	<i>Bouteloua curtipendula</i>	62-185	–
	mountain muhly	MUMO	<i>Muhlenbergia montana</i>	62-123	–
	sand dropseed	SPCR	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	0-11	–
5	Cool Season Mid Rhizomatous			56-185	
	Montana wheatgrass	ELAL7	<i>Elymus albicans</i>	22-123	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	11-62	–
	spike fescue	LEKI2	<i>Leucopoa kingii</i>	11-22	–
8	Warm Season Short Bunchgrass			28-101	
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	22-84	–
	hairy grama	BOHI2	<i>Bouteloua hirsuta</i>	0-11	–
	Fendler threeawn	ARPUL	<i>Aristida purpurea var. longiseta</i>	0-11	–
9	Cool Season Mid Bunchgrass			22-101	
	Scribner needlegrass	ACSC11	<i>Achnatherum scribneri</i>	11-56	–
	needle and thread	HECO26	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	11-34	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	0-22	–
10	Upland Sedges			22-67	
	sun sedge	CAINH2	<i>Carex inops ssp. heliophila</i>	11-34	–
	Geyer's sedge	CAGE2	<i>Carex geyeri</i>	11-22	–
12	Cool Season Short Bunchgrass			11-56	
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	11-22	–
	squirreltail	ELELE	<i>Elymus elymoides ssp. elymoides</i>	0-11	–
	muttongrass	POFE	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	0-11	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-11	–
Shrub/Vine					
2	Shrubs			112-280	
	alderleaf mountain mahogany	CEMO2	<i>Cercocarpus montanus</i>	62-185	–
	Gambel oak	QUGA	<i>Quercus gambelii</i>	62-185	–
	American plum	PRAM	<i>Prunus americana</i>	11-62	–
	chokecherry	PRVI	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	11-62	–
	skunkbush sumac	RHTR	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	11-62	–
	wax currant	RICE	<i>Ribes cereum</i>	11-39	–
	creeping barberry	MARE11	<i>Mahonia repens</i>	11-22	–
6	Other Shrubs			17-179	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (>.5m)</i>	11-62	–
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	0-22	–
	western sandcherry	PRPUB	<i>Prunus pumila var. besseyi</i>	0-22	–

	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	0-22	–
	broom snakeweed	GUSA2	<i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i>	0-11	–
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	<i>Artemisia frigida</i>	0-11	–
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNAG	<i>Ericameria nauseosa ssp. nauseosa var. glabrata</i>	0-11	–
	mountain ninebark	PHMO4	<i>Physocarpus monogynus</i>	0-11	–
	plains pricklypear	OPPO	<i>Opuntia polyacantha</i>	0-11	–
	Saskatoon serviceberry	AMAL2	<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	0-11	–
	soapweed yucca	YUGL	<i>Yucca glauca</i>	0-11	–
Tree					
4	Trees			67-191	
	ponderosa pine	PIPO	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	62-185	–
	Rocky Mountain juniper	JUSC2	<i>Juniperus scopulorum</i>	11-62	–
	common hackberry	CEOC	<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	11-22	–
	twoneedle pinyon	PIED	<i>Pinus edulis</i>	11-22	–
Forb					
7	Forbs			67-112	
	dotted blazing star	LIPU	<i>Liatris punctata</i>	22-62	–
	Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)	2FORB	<i>Forb (herbaceous, not grass nor grass-like)</i>	11-62	–
	white heath aster	SYER	<i>Symphyotrichum ericoides</i>	11-22	–
	little sunflower	HEPU3	<i>Helianthus pumilus</i>	11-22	–
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	11-22	–
	winged buckwheat	ERAL4	<i>Eriogonum alatum</i>	11-22	–
	Fremont's geranium	GECAF	<i>Geranium caespitosum var. fremontii</i>	0-11	–
	ragwort	SENEC	<i>Senecio</i>	0-11	–
	hairy false goldenaster	HEVI4	<i>Heterotheca villosa</i>	0-11	–
	larkspur	DELPH	<i>Delphinium</i>	0-11	–
	white sagebrush	ARLU	<i>Artemisia ludoviciana</i>	0-11	–
	beardtongue	PENST	<i>Penstemon</i>	0-11	–
	upright prairie coneflower	RACO3	<i>Ratibida columnifera</i>	0-11	–
	sulphur-flower buckwheat	ERUM	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>	0-11	–
	textile onion	ALTE	<i>Allium textile</i>	0-11	–
11	Leguminous Forbs			11-67	
	purple prairie clover	DAPUP	<i>Dalea purpurea var. purpurea</i>	11-34	–
	Drummond's milkvetch	ASDR3	<i>Astragalus drummondii</i>	0-11	–
	lupine	LUPIN	<i>Lupinus</i>	0-11	–
	slimflower scurfpea	PSTE5	<i>Psoraleidium tenuiflorum</i>	0-11	–
	twogrooved milkvetch	ASBI2	<i>Astragalus bisulcatus</i>	0-11	–

Table 8. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 11. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 12. Community 3.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following stocking rates are estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community described in this ecological site description. Steepness of slope and density of shrubs affect accessibility. Because of this, a field visit to take inventory is always recommended. Plant Community (PC) Production Stocking Rate* (lbs./acre) (AUM/acre) 1.1 PC 1100 0.30 1.3 PC 900 0.25 1.2 PC 70 0.19 Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangelands in this area provide yearlong forage under prescribed grazing for cattle, sheep, horses and other herbivores. During the dormant period, livestock may need supplementation based on reliable forage analysis.

Hydrological functions

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group A. Infiltration is moderate to high and runoff potential for this site is moderate depending on ground cover. Areas where ground cover is less than 50 percent have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to NRCS Section 4, National Engineering Handbook (NEH-4) for runoff quantities and hydrologic curves).

Recreational uses

This site provides hunting, horseback riding, hiking, photography, bird watching, and other opportunities. The wide varieties of plants that bloom and fall foliage have an aesthetic value that appeal to many.

Wood products

Fence posts, firewood, and lumber are potential wood products.

Other products

Landscape rock.

Other information

NRCS Field Offices: Colorado: Byers, Colorado Springs, Franktown, Metro (Lakewood), Simla Counties: Arapahoe, Douglas, Elbert, El Paso, Jefferson

Inventory data references

A minimum of 20 low or medium intensity observations are required to meet verification level status. This ESD is citing NRI and 417 data to meet these minimums. Individual observations are listed in EDIT and are viewable behind the login. A corresponding tracking sheet is available in the MLRA office that links the list to the actual observations used in analysis

References

Guyette, R.P., M.C. Stambaugh, D.C. Dey, and R. Muzika. 2012. **Predicting Fire Frequency with Chemistry and Climate**. Ecosystems 15:322–335.

Other references

Abella, Scott R., 2008. Managing Gambel Oak in southwestern ponderosa pine forests: The status of our knowledge. Faculty Publications (SEPA). Paper 349.University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Allen, Robert B., Robert K. Peet and William L. Baker., 1991. Gradient analysis of latitudinal variation in southern Rocky Mountain forests. Journal of Biogeography 18, 123-139

Andrews, R. and R. Righter. 1992. Colorado Birds. Denver Museum Nat. Hist., Denver, CO. 442 pp.

Armstrong, D.M. 1972. Distribution of mammals in Colorado. Univ. Kansas Museum Nat. Hist. Monograph #3. 415 pp.

Banzhaf, William H., et al., 1986. Gambel Oak in Colorados Front Range. Potentials of noncommercial forest biomass for energy. Excerpt from Univ. of Ariz. School of Renewable Natural Resources. Technical Bulletin No. 256

Colorado Breeding Bird Atlas. 1998. Hugh Kingery, Ed., Dist. CO Wildlife Heritage Found., P.O. Box 211512, Denver, CO, 80221. 636 pp.

Colorado Climate Center. 2010. Monthly Data. Data Access. <http://ccc.atmos.colostate.edu/dataaccess.php>

Ecological Society of America, 2011. Ecological Applications, 21(6), pp. 2210–2222.

Fitzgerald, J. P., Meaney, C. A., and Armstrong, D. M. 1994. Denver Museum of Natural History and University Press of Colorado. Mammals of Colorado

Hammerson, G. A. 1999. University Press of Colorado. Amphibians and Reptiles in Colorado A Colorado Field Guide

High Plains Regional Climate Center, University of Nebraska, 830728 Chase Hall, Lincoln, NE 68583-0728. (<http://hpcc.unl.edu>)

Jackson, Donald., 1966 The journals of Zebulon Montgomery Pike with letters & related documents. Univ. of Oklahoma Press, First Edition

Kingery, H. E. 1998. Colorado Breeding Bird Atlas, Colorado Bird Atlas Partnership and Colorado Division of Wildlife

NOAA Western Regional Climate Center, 2215 Raggio Parkway Reno, NV 89512 (<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu>)

Peet, Robert K., 1978. Forest vegetation of the Colorado Front Range; Patterns of species diversity. Vegetatio Vol. 37, 2: 65-78

Rennicke, J. 1990. Colorado Wildlife. Falcon Press, Helena and Billings, MT and CO Div. Wildlife, Denver CO. 138 pp.

Richardson., 1915. G.B. Geologic atlas of the United States, Castle Rock Folio. U.S. Geologic Survey

Soil Survey Staff, USDA-NRCS Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online at <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/index.html>. Accessed [April 3, 2013].

Soil Survey Staff, USDA-NRCS U.S. General Soil Map (STATSGO2). Available online at <http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov>. Accessed [April 3, 2013].

USDA, NRCS. Grazing Lands Technology Institute, Revision 1, December 2003, issued September 1997. National Range and Pasture Handbook at [http://www.co.nrcs.usda.gov/Home / Land Use / Range & Pasture / National Range and Pasture Handbook](http://www.co.nrcs.usda.gov/Home/LandUse/Range%20and%20Pasture/NationalRangeandPastureHandbook).

USDA, NRCS. National Soil Information System, Information Technology Center, 2150 Centre Avenue, Building A, Fort Collins, CO 80526. <http://nasis.nrcs.usda.gov>

USDA, NRCS. 2013. The PLANTS Database (<http://plants.usda.gov>, 6 September 2013). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.

USDA-Soil Conservation Service (SCS). Soil Survey of Arapahoe County, Colorado. Issued 1971 in cooperation with Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. US Government Printing Office

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of Castle Rock Area, Colorado. Issued 1974 in cooperation with Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. US Government Printing Office

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of Elbert County, Colorado, Western Part. Issued 1979 in cooperation with Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. US Government Printing Office

USDA-SCS. Soil Survey of El Paso County Area, Colorado. Issued 1980 in cooperation with Colorado Agricultural Experiment Station. US Government Printing Office

USDA-NRCS Agriculture Handbook 296, issued 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin

NOAA Western Regional Climate Center, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, NV 89512 (<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu>)

Soil Survey Staff, USDA-NRCS Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available online at <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/index.html>. Accessed [April 3, 2013].

Soil Survey Staff, USDA-NRCS U.S. General Soil Map (STATSGO2). Available online at <http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov>. Accessed [April 3, 2013].

Tania Schoennagel, Rosemary L. Sherrif, and Thomas T. Veblin. Fire history and tree recruitment in the Colorado Front Range upper montane zone: implications for forest restoration

USDA, FS. (www.fs.fed.us/database)

USDA, NRCS. National Range and Pasture Handbook, September 1997

USDA, NRCS. National Soil Information System, Information Technology Center, 2150 Centre Avenue, Building A, Fort Collins, CO 80526. (<http://nasis.nrcs.usda.gov>)

USDA, NRCS. National Water and Climate Center, 101 SW Main, Suite 1600, Portland, OR 97204-3224. (<http://wcc.nrcs.usda.gov>)

USDA, NRCS. 2013. The PLANTS Database (<http://plants.usda.gov>, 6 September 2013). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.

Contributors

Revised by Doug Whisenhunt

D.A. Nosal; H.A. Sprock; B.P. Berlinger, K.A. Diller, Lee A. Neve

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 5/08/2025

Acknowledgments

Project Staff: Ben Berlinger, Rangeland Management Specialist (ret.), NRCS-ACES, Rocky Ford, CO Kimberly Diller, Ecological Site Inventory Specialist, NRCS MLRA SSO, Pueblo CO Lee Neve, Project Leader (ret.), NRCS SSO, Pueblo, CO Laura Craven, Project Leader, NRCS SSO, Pueblo, CO Partners/Contributors: Dan Nosal, Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Franktown, CO Joshua Tashiro, Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Canon City, CO Josh Saunders, Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS, Fort Morgan, CO Andy Steinert, Project Leader, NRCS SSO, Fort Morgan, CO Program Support: Rachel Murph, State Rangeland Management Specialist, NRCS SO, Lakewood, CO Eugene Backhaus, State Resource Conservationist, NRCS SO, Lakewood, CO Non-discrimination statement In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotope, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English. To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	02/07/2025
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
