

# Ecological site R047XC478UT

## Mountain Windswept Ridge (mountain big sagebrush)

Last updated: 2/11/2025  
Accessed: 08/16/2026

---

### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 047X–Wasatch and Uinta Mountains

MLRA 47 occurs in Utah (86 percent), Wyoming (8 percent), Colorado (4 percent), and Idaho (2 percent). It encompasses approximately 23,825 square miles (61,740 square kilometers). The northern half of this area is in the Middle Rocky Mountains Province of the Rocky Mountain System. The southern half is in the High Plateaus of the Utah Section of the Colorado Plateaus Province of the Intermontane Plateaus. Parts of the western edge of this MLRA are in the Great Basin Section of the Basin and Range Province of the Intermontane Plateaus. The MLRA includes the Wasatch Mountains, which trend north and south, and the Uinta Mountains, which trend east and west. The steeply sloping, precipitous Wasatch Mountains have narrow crests and deep valleys. Active faulting and erosion are a dominant force in controlling the geomorphology of the area. The Uinta Mountains have a broad, gently arching, elongated shape. Structurally, they consist of a broadly folded anticline that has an erosion-resistant quartzite core. The Wasatch and Uinta Mountains have an elevation of 4,900 to about 13,500 feet (1,495 to 4,115 meters). The mountains in this area are primarily fault blocks that have been tilted up. Alluvial fans at the base of the mountains are recharge zones for the basin fill aquifers. An ancient shoreline of historic Bonneville Lake is evident on the footslopes along the western edge of the area. Rocks exposed in the mountains are mostly Mesozoic and Paleozoic sediments, but Precambrian rocks are exposed in the Uinta Mountains. The Uinta Mountains are one of the few ranges in the United States that are oriented west to east. The southern Wasatch Mountains consist of Tertiary volcanic rocks occurring as extrusive lava and intrusive crystalline rocks. The average precipitation is from 8 to 16 inches (203 to 406 mm) in the valleys and can range up to 73 inches (1854 mm) in the mountains. In the northern and western portions of the MLRA, peak precipitation occurs in the winter months. The southern and eastern portions have a greater incidence of high-intensity summer thunderstorms; hence, a significant amount of precipitation occurs during the summer months. The average annual temperature is 30 to 50 degrees Fahrenheit (-1 to 15 C). The freeze-free period averages 140 days and ranges from 60 to 220 days, generally decreasing in length with elevation. The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Aridisols, Entisols, Inceptisols, and Mollisols. The lower elevations are dominated by a frigid temperature regime, while the higher elevations experience cryic temperature regimes. Mesic temperature regimes come in on the lower elevations and south facing slopes in the southern portion of this MLRA. The soil moisture regime is typically xeric in the northern part of the MLRA, but grades to ustic in the extreme eastern and southern parts. The minerology is generally mixed and the soils are very shallow to very deep, generally well drained, and loamy or loamy-skeletal.

### LRU notes

E47C is the Uinta Mountains portion of MLRA 47 that run east and west which includes the Uinta Wilderness and The Flaming Gorge National Recreation Area and towns such as Evanston, Wyoming, Hanna and Tabiona, Utah. Structurally these mountains consist of a broadly folded anticline that has an erosion resistance quartzite core. The Duchesne River and many other tributaries to the Green River run through this range, as well as the headwaters of the Bear River. The lower elevations are dominated by a frigid temperature regime, while the higher elevations experience cryic temperature regimes. The soil moisture regime is typically ustic. The minerology is generally mixed and the soils are very shallow to very deep, generally well drained, and loamy, sandy or sandy-skeletal.

### Ecological site concept

The soils of this site formed mostly in colluvium and or slope alluvium derived from limestone and sandstone over residuum weathered from calcareous sandstone. Surface soils are very channery loam in texture. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile and can make up more than 35 percent of the soil volume. These soils are shallow, well-drained, and have moderate to moderately slow permeability. pH is slightly to moderately alkaline.. Available water-holding capacity ranges from 0.9 to 1.6 inches of water in the upper 20 inches of soil. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches annually.

### Associated sites

|             |                                                                                                  |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R047XC475UT | <b>Mountain Windswept Ridge (black sagebrush)</b><br><br>Sites can occur adjacent to each other. |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

### Similar sites

|             |                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R047XC446UT | <b>Mountain Shallow Loam (mountain big sagebrush)</b><br><br>Sites have similar soil and floral characteristics, however this site doesn't typically occur on windswept ridges. |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Table 1. Dominant plant species

|            |                                               |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Tree       | Not specified                                 |
| Shrub      | (1) <i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. vaseyana</i> |
| Herbaceous | Not specified                                 |

### Physiographic features

This ecological site typically occurs on mountain slopes with slopes normally range from 8 to 70 percent but may occasionally be steeper. Slope steepness, aspect and elevation will influence the vegetative floristics of this site. Sites are typically located between 7,300 to 10,000 feet in elevation. Runoff is medium.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

|                    |                                    |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Landforms          | (1) Mountain slope                 |
| Runoff class       | Medium                             |
| Flooding frequency | None                               |
| Ponding frequency  | None                               |
| Elevation          | 2,230 – 3,050 m                    |
| Slope              | 10 – 70 %                          |
| Aspect             | Aspect is not a significant factor |

### Climatic features

The climate of this site characterized by cold, snowy winters and cool summers. The average annual precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches. March thru May and August, are typically the wettest months with June and July being the driest. The most reliable sources of moisture for plant growth are the snow that accumulates over the winter, and spring rains. Summer thunderstorms are intermittent and

sporadic in nature, and thus, are less reliable sources of moisture to support vegetative growth on this site.

**Table 3 Representative climatic features**

|                                            |            |
|--------------------------------------------|------------|
| Frost-free period (characteristic range)   |            |
| Freeze-free period (characteristic range)  |            |
| Precipitation total (characteristic range) | 410-560 mm |

### Influencing water features

Due to its landscape position, this site is not influenced by streams or wetlands.

### Wetland description

N/A

### Soil features

The soils of this site formed mostly in colluvium and or slope alluvium derived from limestone and sandstone over residuum weathered from calcareous sandstone. Surface soils are very channery loam in texture. Rock fragments may be present on the soil surface and throughout the profile and can make up more than 35 percent of the soil volume. These soils are shallow, well-drained, and have moderate to moderately slow permeability. pH is slightly to moderately alkaline. Available water-holding capacity ranges from 0.9 to 1.6 inches of water in the upper 20 inches of soil. The soil moisture regime is mostly ustic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. Precipitation ranges from 16 to 22 inches annually.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

|                            |                                                                                                                                |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parent material            | (1) Colluvium – limestone and sandstone<br>(2) Slope alluvium – limestone and sandstone<br>(3) Residuum – calcareous sandstone |
| Surface texture            | (1) Very channery loam                                                                                                         |
| Family particle size       | (1) Loamy-skeletal                                                                                                             |
| Drainage class             | Well drained                                                                                                                   |
| Permeability class         | Moderately slow to moderate                                                                                                    |
| Depth to restrictive layer | 30 – 50 cm                                                                                                                     |
| Soil depth                 | 30 – 50 cm                                                                                                                     |

|                                                         |                |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Surface fragment cover ≤3"                              | 20 – 30 %      |
| Surface fragment cover >3"                              | 10 – 20 %      |
| Available water capacity<br>(Depth not specified)       | 2.29 – 4.06 cm |
| Calcium carbonate equivalent<br>(Depth not specified)   | 10 – 20 %      |
| Electrical conductivity<br>(Depth not specified)        | Not specified  |
| Sodium adsorption ratio<br>(Depth not specified)        | Not specified  |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water)<br>(Depth not specified)      | 7.7 – 8.4      |
| Subsurface fragment volume ≤3"                          | 0 – 30 %       |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3"<br>(Depth not specified) | 30 %           |

## Ecological dynamics

It is impossible to determine in any quantitative detail the Reference State for this ecological site because of the lack of direct historical documentation preceding all human influence. In some areas, the earliest reports of dominant plants include the cadastral survey conducted by the General Land Office, which began in the late 19th century for this area. However, up to the 1870s the Shoshone Indians, prevalent in northern Utah and neighboring states, grazed horses and set fires to alter the vegetation for their needs. Europeans brought cattle and horses to the area, grazing large numbers of them on unfenced parcels year-long. Itinerant and local sheep flocks followed, largely replacing cattle as the browse component increased.

Below is a State and Transition Model diagram to illustrate the “phases” (common plant communities), and “states” (aggregations of those plant communities) that can occur on the site. Differences between phases and states depend primarily upon observations of a range of disturbance histories in areas where this ESD is represented. These situations include grazing gradients to water sources, fence-line contrasts, patches with differing dates of fire, herbicide treatment, tillage, etc. Reference State 1 illustrates the common plant communities that probably existed just prior to European settlement.

The major successional pathways within states, (“community pathways”) are indicated by arrows between phases. “Transitions” are indicated by arrows between states. The drivers of these changes are indicated in codes decipherable by referring to the legend at the bottom of the page and by reading the detailed narratives that follow the diagram. The transition between Reference State 1 and State 2 is considered irreversible because of the naturalization of exotic species of both flora and fauna, possible extinction of native species, and

climate change. There may have also been accelerated soil erosion.

When available, monitoring data (of various types) were employed to validate more subjective inferences made in this diagram. See the complete files in the office of the State Range Conservationist for more details.

The plant communities shown in this State and Transition Model may not represent every possibility, but are probably the most prevalent and recurring plant communities. As more monitoring data are collected, some phases or states may be revised, removed, or new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities.” According to the USDA NRCS National Range & Pasture Handbook (USDA-NRCS 2003), Desired Plant Communities (DPC's) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including descriptions of a plant community is to capture the current knowledge at the time of this revision.

#### State 1: Reference State

##### Community Phase 1.1: Reference State

The Reference State is a description of this ecological site just prior to Euro-American settlement but long after the arrival of Native Americans. The description of the Reference State was determined by NRCS Soil Survey Type Site Location information and familiarity with rangeland relict areas where they exist. The least modified plant community would have been co-dominated by mountain big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp. *vaseyana*) and a mixture of herbaceous species (1.1). The primary disturbance factor prior to European colonization would have been wildfire (1.1a), which would have removed the sagebrush and allowed the herbs to dominate for a time (1.2). As the time elapsed since the last wildfire grew longer (1.2a), mountain big sagebrush would have increased, and the herbaceous component would have decreased correspondingly. A more complete list of species by lifeform for the Reference State is available in the accompanying tables in the “Plant Community Composition by Weight and Percentage” section of this document.

##### Community Phase 1.1: mountain big sagebrush-steppe/ rich & productive herbaceous component

This plant community would have been characterized by the presence of mountain big sagebrush with a rich and productive herbaceous layer.

##### Community Pathway 1.1a:

Wildfire would remove sagebrush, allowing the herbs to dominate for a time.

##### Community Phase 1.2: herb dominated

This phase would have been dominated by herbaceous species and having few, if any, mountain big sagebrush present.

##### Community Pathway 1.2a:

Over time, sagebrush would increase, and the herbaceous understory would decrease slightly.

#### Transition T1a: (State 1 to State 2)

The simultaneous introduction of exotic species, both plants and animals, possible extinctions of native flora and fauna, and climate change has caused State 1 to transition to State 2. Reversal of such historic changes (i.e. a return pathway) back to State 1 is not practical.

#### State 2: Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State

##### Community Phase 2.1: Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State

State 2 is identical to State 1 in form and function, with the exception of the presence of non-native plants and animals, possible extinctions of native species, and a different climate. State 2 is a description of the ecological site shortly following Euro-American settlement. This State can be regarded as the current potential. This State varies in the amount of mountain big sagebrush present based upon the time elapsed since the last wildfire. The least modified plant community is a mountain big sagebrush-steppe, characterized by the co-dominance shrubs and herbaceous species. Mountain big sagebrush is the dominant shrub, but other minor shrubs may be present. There is a rich and diverse mixture of herbs as well. Dominant grasses include slender wheatgrass, bluebunch wheatgrass, and Letterman's needlegrass, and forbs include sticky purple geranium, shortstem buckwheat, and lupines, among others (2.1). A small component of non-natives will also be present. Wildfire (2.1a) will remove sagebrush and allow the community to become dominated by herbaceous species for a time (2.2). As the length of time elapsed since the last wildfire grows longer (2.2a), sagebrush will re-establish, and the herbs will decrease slightly. This State is maintained by periodic wildfire and by a healthy, productive, and diverse plant community that can provide native seed sources and promotes soil stability, water infiltration, and soil moisture retention. These sites are more resistant to accelerated soil erosion because of the self-armoring of many of these gravelly soils. The resiliency of this State will be maintained by reducing or altering seasons of use and number of livestock. Conversely, this State's resiliency will be negatively impacted by continuous season-long livestock use.

##### Community Phase 2.1: Mountain big sagebrush-steppe/ rich & productive herbaceous component

This plant community is characterized by co-dominance of mountain big sagebrush and a rich and productive understory of herbs.

##### Community Pathway 2.1a:

Wildfire will remove sagebrush, allowing the herbs to dominate for a time.

## Community Phase 2.2: herb dominated

This phase is dominated by herbaceous species and having few, if any, mountain big sagebrush present.

### Community Pathway 2.2a:

Over time, sagebrush will increase, and the herbaceous understory will decrease slightly.

Transition T2a: from State 2 to State 3 (Mountain Big Sagebrush-Steppe/ Introduced Non-natives State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)

Lack of fire and continued heavy livestock grazing during the growing season of grasses will cause State 2 to transition into the Mountain Big sagebrush Super-dominance State (State 3). The approach to this transition is indicated by a loss of the perennial grass understory, an increase in the shrub component relative to the grasses, and evidence of soil loss. The trigger causing this transition is heavy growing season grazing.

### State 3: Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State

#### Community Phase 3.1: Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State

This State is characterized by a super-dominance of mountain big sagebrush with a markedly diminished grass component which occurs in the absence of fire and with continued heavy impacts from livestock grazing. The stability of this State is maintained by the lack of a healthy, productive and diverse herb component capable of providing native seed source, soil stabilization, and soil moisture retention, and by an abundant seed source for mountain big sagebrush. The resiliency of this State will be maintained by decreased grazing during the growing season of grasses. Conversely, the resiliency of this State will be negatively impacted by continued heavy growing season livestock use.

#### Community Phase 3.1: abundant Mountain big sagebrush / diminished perennial herbs

This plant community is characterized by a dramatic increase in mountain big sagebrush with substantial reduction in the perennial herbaceous component as compared to State 2.

Transition T3a: from State 3 to State 4 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Yellow Rabbitbrush State)

Wildfire or brush management, either by mechanical means or prescribed fire, will temporarily remove the mountain big sagebrush. However, an increase in yellow rabbitbrush (*Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*) is expected in most circumstances. The herbaceous component will also increase after fire or brush beating. The approach to this transition is indicated by an increase in rabbitbrush seedlings. The transition is triggered by wildfire or mechanical removal of sagebrush accompanied by heavy grazing.

Transition T3b: from State 3 to State 5 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Native Perennial Grass State)

Brush management using 2, 4-D or 2, 4-5T will remove both the shrub and forb components, leaving the grasses. This transition is triggered by herbicide application.

Transition T3c: from State 3 to State 6 (Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State to Introduced Grassland State)

This transition occurs when a decision is made to increase forage production by tilling and re-seeding with intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), or orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*) –all introduced (non-native) species.

### Restoration Pathway: R3a

Prescribed grazing during the non-growing season of the grasses and forbs will allow the native perennial herbaceous species to re-establish, returning the community to a mountain big sagebrush-steppe (State 2).

### State 4: Yellow Rabbitbrush State

#### Community Phase 4.1: Yellow Rabbitbrush State

This State is characterized by having an abundance of yellow rabbitbrush and forb species and a reduced amount of mountain big sagebrush. This State occurs when the sagebrush is removed by fire or mechanical means from an area where it was previously super-dominant. This State is maintained by lack of sagebrush seedling establishment. It could also be maintained by periodic sagebrush removal by fire.

#### Community Phase 4.1: yellow rabbitbrush & forbs abundant/ mountain big sagebrush reduced

This Phase is characterized by having an abundance of yellow rabbitbrush and forb species and having a reduced amount of mountain big sagebrush.

Transition T4a: from State 4 to State 3 (Yellow Rabbitbrush State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)

Heavy continuous season long grazing will impact the herbaceous component, allowing the shrubs to return to dominance.

### State 5: Native Perennial Bunchgrass State

#### Community Phase 5.1: Native Perennial Bunchgrass State

This State is dominated by native perennial bunchgrasses such as slender wheatgrass, bluebunch wheatgrass, and Letterman's needlegrass. Shrubs and have been reduced and forbs eliminated by 2,4-D™ or 2,4-5T™ application. This State is maintained by the

lack of shrub and forb seed source, and the abundance of native perennial grass seed source.

Community Phase 5.1: increased native perennial grasses/ shrubs reduced/ forbs eliminated

This Phase is characterized by the dominance of perennial native bunchgrasses such as slender wheatgrass, bluebunch wheatgrass, and Letterman's needlegrass. Shrubs and have been reduced and forbs eliminated.

Transition T5a: from State 5 to State 3 (Native Perennial Bunchgrass State to Mountain Big Sagebrush Super-dominance State)

Heavy continuous season long grazing will impact the native graminoids, allowing the shrubs to return to dominance. The approach to this transition is indicated by an increase in sagebrush seedlings. This transition is triggered by heavy growing season livestock grazing.

State 6: Introduced Grassland State

Community Phase 6.1: Introduced Grassland State

This state is characterized by the dominance of seeded grasses such as intermediate wheatgrass, smooth brome, or orchardgrass. This state occurs when a decision is made to increase forage production by tilling and re-seeding introduced grasses. Periodic brush management is required to maintain the grass-dominance of this state. This resiliency of this State can be maintained by sustainable levels of livestock grazing as determined by monitoring. Conversely, continued heavy use will negatively impact the resiliency of this state.

## State and transition model

## Additional community tables

## Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used.

## Other references

Alexander, R. R. 1985. Major habitat types, community types, and plant communities in the Rocky Mountains. USDA- Forest Service Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. General technical report RM-123. 105p.

Alexander 1988. Forest vegetation on National Forests in the Rocky Mountain and Intermountain Regions: Habitat types and community types. USDA- Forest Service Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. General technical report RM-162. 47p.

Galatowitsch, S.M. 1990. Using the original land survey notes to reconstruct pre-settlement landscapes in the American West. Great Basin Naturalist: 50(2): 181-191. Keywords: [Western U.S., conservation, history, human impact]

Parson, R. E. 1996. A History of Rich County. Utah State Historical Society, County Commission, Rich County, Utah. Keywords: [Rich County, Utah, Historic land use, European settlements]

USDA-NRCS. 2003. National Range and Pasture Handbook. in USDA, editor, USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service-Grazing Lands Technology Institute. Keywords: [Western US, Federal guidelines, Range pasture management]

Western Regional Climate Center, Western U.S. Climate Historical Summaries. Available at: <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/summary/Climsmut.html>. Accessed 15 June 2009.

Web Soil Survey, Official Soil Series Descriptions. Available at: <http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/index.html>. Accessed 15 June 2009.

## Contributors

M. Dean Stacy

## Approval

Sarah Quistberg, 2/11/2025

## Rangeland health reference sheet

**Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health** is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

|                                             |                   |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Author(s)/participant(s)                    |                   |
| Contact for lead author                     |                   |
| Date                                        | 08/16/2026        |
| Approved by                                 |                   |
| Approval date                               |                   |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

## Indicators

**1. Number and extent of rills:**

---

**2. Presence of water flow patterns:**

---

**3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:**

---

**4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**

---

**5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:**

---

**6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:**

---

**7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**

---

**8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):**

---



9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

---

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

---

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

---

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

---

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

---

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):

---

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

---

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

---

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:

---