

Ecological site R047XA325UT

Upland Loamy Shale (low sagebrush)

Last updated: 2/05/2025
Accessed: 08/30/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 047X–Wasatch and Uinta Mountains

MLRA 47 occurs in Utah (86 percent), Wyoming (8 percent), Colorado (4 percent), and Idaho (2 percent). It encompasses approximately 23,825 square miles (61,740 square kilometers). The northern half of this area is in the Middle Rocky Mountains Province of the Rocky Mountain System. Parts of the western edge of this MLRA are in the Great Basin Section of the Basin and Range Province of the Intermontane Plateaus. The MLRA includes the Wasatch Mountains, which trend north and south. The steeply sloping, precipitous Wasatch Mountains have narrow crests and deep valleys. Active faulting and erosion are a dominant force in controlling the geomorphology of the area. The mountains in this area are primarily fault blocks that have been tilted up. Alluvial fans at the base of the mountains are recharge zones for the basin fill aquifers. An ancient shoreline of historic Bonneville Lake is evident on the footslopes along the western edge of the area. Rocks exposed in the mountains are mostly Mesozoic and Paleozoic sediments. The average precipitation is from 12 to 16 inches in the valleys and can range up to 73 inches in the mountains. Peak precipitation occurs in the winter months. The average annual temperature is 30 to 50 degrees Fahrenheit (-1 to 15 C). The freeze-free period averages 140 days and ranges from 60 to 220 days, generally decreasing in length with elevation. The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Entisols, Inceptisols, and Mollisols. The lower elevations are dominated by a frigid temperature regime, while the higher elevations experience cryic temperature regimes. The soil moisture regime is typically xeric. The minerology is generally mixed and the soils are very shallow to very deep, generally well drained, and loamy or loamy-skeletal.

LRU notes

This LRU includes the Wasatch Mountains which tend to run north and south. These steeply sloping, precipitous mountains have narrow crests and deep valleys. They are primarily fault blocks that have been tilted up. The alluvial fans located at the base of these mountains are important recharge zones for valley aquifers.

Classification relationships

Modal Soil: Ellett SIL – loamy, mixed (calc.), frigid shallow, Xeric Torriorthents

Ecological site concept

The soils of this site formed in colluvium and slope alluvium derived mostly from shale. Soils are very shallow to shallow and well-drained. Depth to shale ranges from 10 to 20 inches. Small rock fragments may or may not be present on the soil surface. The soil profile usually contains small rock fragments and soft shale fragments. Permeability is moderate. Available water-holding capacity is 1.7 to 2.6 inches. These soils are usually calcareous and have a pH range of 7.9 to 9.0. The soil moisture regime is xeric bordering on aridic and the soil temperature regime is frigid. The dominant plants on this site are low sagebrush and bluebunch wheatgrass with a mix of low growing forbs.

Associated sites

R047XA320UT	Upland Shallow Loam (Wyoming big sagebrush) Sites generally occur adjacent to each other.
-------------	---

Similar sites

R047XA301UT	Upland Clay Loam (early sagebrush) Sites have similar floristic characteristics, however this site has less of a shale influence.
-------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Artemisia arbuscula</i> ssp. <i>arbuscula</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>

Physiographic features

This site occurs on foothills and mountain slopes. Elevation ranges from 6,200 to 7,700 feet. Slopes are mostly between 10 and 30 percent. Runoff is high and flooding and ponding do not affect the site.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hillslope (2) Mountain slope
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,890 – 2,350 m
Slope	10 – 30 %
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 12 to 16 inches. Effective moisture for plant growth falls in winter and spring. Summer dry periods cause many herbaceous species to enter dormancy. The frost free period ranges from 65 to 80 days, and the freeze free period ranges from 85 to 110 days.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	

Precipitation total (characteristic range)	310-410 mm
Frost-free period (average)	80 days
Freeze-free period (average)	110 days
Precipitation total (average)	360 mm

Influencing water features

Due to its landscape position, this site is not influenced by streams or wetlands.

Wetland description

N/A

Soil features

The soils of this site formed in colluvium and slope alluvium derived mostly from shale. Soils are very shallow to shallow and well-drained. Depth to shale ranges from 10 to 20 inches. Small rock fragments may or may not be present on the soil surface. The soil profile usually contains small rock fragments and soft shale fragments. Permeability is moderate. Available water-holding capacity is 1.7 to 2.6 inches. These soils are usually calcareous and have a pH range of 7.9 to 9.0. The soil moisture regime is xeric bordering on aridic and the soil temperature regime is frigid.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Colluvium – shale (2) Slope alluvium – shale (3) Residuum – shale
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Gravelly loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy (2) Loamy-skeletal
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow to moderate
Depth to restrictive layer	30 – 50 cm
Soil depth	30 – 50 cm

Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 20 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	4.32 – 6.6 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 30 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.9 – 10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-101.6cm)	10 – 40 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-101.6cm)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

It is impossible to determine in any quantitative detail the Historic Climax Plant Community (HCPC) for this ecological site because of the lack of direct historical documentation preceding all human influence. In some areas, the earliest reports of dominant plants include the cadastral survey conducted by the General Land Office, which began in the late 19th century for this area (Galatowitsch 1990). However, up to the 1870s the Shoshone Indians, prevalent in northern Utah and neighboring states, grazed horses and set fires to alter the vegetation for their needs (Parson 1996). In the 1860s, Europeans brought cattle and horses to the area, grazing large numbers of them on unfenced parcels year-long (Parson 1996). Itinerant and local sheep flocks followed, largely replacing cattle as the browse component increased.

Below is a State and Transition Model diagram to illustrate the “phases” (common plant communities), and “states” (aggregations of those plant communities) that can occur on the site. Differences between phases and states depend primarily upon observations of a range of disturbance histories in areas where this ESD is represented. These situations include grazing gradients to water sources, fence-line contrasts, patches with differing dates of fire, herbicide treatment, tillage, etc. Reference State 1 illustrates the common plant communities that probably existed just prior to European settlement.

The major successional pathways within states, (“community pathways”) are indicated by arrows between phases. “Transitions” are indicated by arrows between states. The drivers of these changes are indicated in codes decipherable by referring to the legend at the bottom of the page and by reading the detailed narratives that follow the diagram. The transition between Reference State 1 and State 2 is considered irreversible because of the naturalization of exotic species of both flora and fauna, possible extinction of native species, and climate change. There may have also been accelerated soil erosion.

When available, monitoring data (of various types) were employed to validate more subjective inferences made in this diagram. See the complete files in the office of the State Range Conservationist for more details.

The plant communities shown in this State and Transition Model may not represent every possibility, but are probably the most prevalent and recurring plant communities. As more monitoring data are collected, some phases or states may be revised, removed, and/or new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities.” According to the USDA NRCS National Range & Pasture Handbook (USDA-NRCS 2003), Desired Plant Communities (DPC's) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including descriptions of a plant community is to capture the current knowledge at the time of this revision.

State and transition model

Figure 1. State and Transition Model R047XA325UT

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Shrub/Vine					
0	Dominant Shrubs			251-368	
	little sagebrush	ARARA	<i>Artemisia arbuscula ssp. arbuscula</i>	135-179	–
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVIV4	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus ssp. viscidiflorus var. viscidiflorus</i>	27-45	–
	slender buckwheat	ERMI4	<i>Eriogonum microthecum</i>	27-45	–
	spineless horsebrush	TECA2	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	27-45	–
	stemless mock goldenweed	STAC	<i>Stenotus acaulis</i>	18-27	–
3	Sub-Dominant Shrubs			63-117	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (>.5m)</i>	27-45	–
	black sagebrush	ARNO4	<i>Artemisia nova</i>	9-18	–
	Wyoming big sagebrush	ARTRW8	<i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis</i>	9-18	–
	shadscale saltbush	ATCO	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	0-18	–
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	0-18	–
Grass/Grasslike					
0	Dominant Grasses			269-386	
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	135-179	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	90-135	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	27-45	–
1	Sub-Dominant Grasses			90-161	
	Grass, annual	2GA	<i>Grass, annual</i>	27-45	–
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	27-45	–
	Letterman's needlegrass	ACLE9	<i>Achnatherum lettermanii</i>	9-18	–
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	9-18	–
	muttongrass	POFE	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	9-18	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	9-18	–
Forb					
0	Dominant Forbs			54-90	
	spiny phlox	PHHO	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	27-45	–
2	Sub-Dominant Forbs			0-126	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	0-9	–
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-9	–
	common yarrow	ACMI2	<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	0-9	–

	pussytoes	ANTEN	Antennaria	0-9	–
	Colorado spiny milkvetch	ASKEC	Astragalus kentrophyta var. coloradoensis	0-9	–
	arrowleaf balsamroot	BASA3	Balsamorhiza sagittata	0-9	–
	Wyoming Indian paintbrush	CALI4	Castilleja linariifolia	0-9	–
	Douglas' dustymaiden	CHDO	Chaenactis douglasii	0-9	–
	bastard toadflax	COUM	Comandra umbellata	0-9	–
	tapertip hawksbeard	CRAC2	Crepis acuminata	0-9	–
	tufted cryptantha	CRCA7	Cryptantha caespitosa	0-9	–
	larkspur	DELPH	Delphinium	0-9	–
	Gordon's ivesia	IVGO	Ivesia gordonii	0-9	–
	oblongleaf bluebells	MEOB	Mertensia oblongifolia	0-9	–
	yellow owl's-clover	ORLU2	Orthocarpus luteus	0-9	–
	lobeleaf groundsel	PAMU11	Packera multilobata	0-9	–
	low beardtongue	PEHU	Penstemon humilis	0-9	–
	tuber starwort	PSJA2	Pseudostellaria jamesiana	0-9	–

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 2.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 11. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 12. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

This site does not provide much forage for livestock. Up to 40 percent of the annual production may be attributed to non-forage plants. This site is used by cattle and sheep in spring, summer, and fall. Wild animals and birds using this site are cottontail, rabbit, coyote, mule deer, elk, sage grouse, and hawk.

Recreational uses

This site is valued for open space. Several species of forbs and shrubs provide varied colored blossoms in the spring. Recreation activities include hiking, hunting, horseback riding and motorbiking.

Wood products

None

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used.

Other references

Galatowitsch, S.M. 1990. Using the original land survey notes to reconstruct pre-settlement landscapes in the American West. Great Basin Naturalist: 50(2): 181-191. Keywords: [Western U.S., conservation, history, human impact]

Parson, R. E. 1996. A History of Rich County. Utah State Historical Society, County Commission, Rich County, Utah. Keywords: [Rich County, Utah, Historic land use, European settlements]

USDA-NRCS. 2003. National Range and Pasture Handbook. in USDA, editor, USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service-Grazing Lands Technology Institute. Keywords: [Western US, Federal guidelines, Range pasture management]

Contributors

JHB
Sarah Quistberg
M. Dean Stacy

Approval

Kendra Moseley, 2/05/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Sarah Quistberg, Jamison Jewkes, Shane Green
Contact for lead author	Shane Green, State Rangeland Management Specialist (801)524-4567
Date	02/24/2014
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** No rills present at low slopes. Very minor rill development may occur as slope increases. If rills are present, they should be widely spaced and not connected. The presence of surface and subsurface rock fragment should reduce rill formation.

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns should not be present.

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Plants may show minor pedestaling on their down slope side. Terracettes should be few and stable.

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** 20 – 30%. (Soil surface is typically covered with 50% rock).

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** No gullies present.

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Very little evidence of active wind generated soil movement. Wind caused blowouts and depositions are not present, but may be present after a fire.

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Some down slope redistribution caused by water. Some litter removal may occur in flow channels with deposition occurring at points of obstruction. Litter movement will increase with slope.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** This site should have a soil stability rate of 4 under plant canopies and 3 to 4 in the interspaces with an average of 3-4 using a soil stability kit. The presence of surface rock also reduces site erosion.

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil surface varies from 5 to 8 inches. Structure is fine granular. Color typically varies from pale brown (10YR6/3) to dark reddish brown (5YR3/4). Soils are shallow to bedrock.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Bunchgrasses and shrubs are important for increasing infiltration and reducing runoff. When perennial grasses decrease, reducing ground cover and increasing bare ground, runoff will increase and infiltration will be reduced.

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None. Soils have bedrock at approximately 10 inches.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups** (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: non sprouting shrubs (low sagebrush) > Perennial bunchgrasses (bluebunch wheatgrass, indian ricegrass)

Sub-dominant: sprouting shrubs (rabbitbrush) > forbs (slender buckwheat, spiny phlox)

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence** (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
Slight decadence in the principle shrubs could occur near the end of the long fire cycle. Very little mortality in other plants should be apparent.
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production** (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
750 #/acre on an average year
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species** (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Cheatgrass, Russian thistle, tumble mustard
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All perennial plants should have the ability to reproduce in all years, except in extreme drought years.
-