Ecological site EX044B01A006
Claypan (Cp)
10-14" PZ Frigid

Last updated: 2/11/2025
Accessed: 06/07/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 044B–Central Rocky Mountain Valleys

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 44B, Central Rocky Mountain Valleys, is nearly 3.7 million acres of southwest Montana and borders two MLRAs: 43B Central Rocky Mountains and 46 Northern and Central Rocky Mountain Foothills. The major watersheds of this MLRA are the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers and their associated headwaters such as the Beaverhead, Big Hole, Jefferson, Ruby, Madison, Gallatin, and Shields Rivers. These waters allow for extensive irrigation for crop production in an area that would generally only be compatible with rangeland and grazing. The Missouri River and its headwaters are behind several reservoirs that supply irrigation water, hydroelectric power, and municipal water. Limited portions of the MLRA are west of the Continental Divide along the Clark Fork River. The primary land use of this MLRA is production agriculture (grazing, small grain production, and hay), and some limited mining. Urban development is high with large expanses of rangeland converted to subdivisions for a rapidly growing population. The MLRA comprises one Land Resource Unit (LRU) and seven-climate based LRU subsets. These subsets are based on Relative Effective Annual Precipitation (REAP) and frost-free days. Each subset expresses a distinct set of plants that differentiate it from other LRU subsets. Annual precipitation ranges from a low of 9 inches to a high of nearly 24 inches. The driest areas tend to be in the valley bottoms of southwest Montana in the rain shadow of the mountains. The wettest portions tend to be near the edge of the MLRA at the border with MLRA 43B. Frost-free days vary widely from less than 30 days in the Big Hole Valley to around 110 days in the warm valleys along the Yellowstone and Missouri Rivers. The plant communities of the MRLA are highly variable, but the dominant community is a cool-season grass and shrub-steppe community. Warm-season grasses have a minimal extent in this MLRA. Most subspecies of big sagebrush are present, to some degree, across the MLRA.

LRU notes

MLRA 44B has one LRU that covers the entire MLRA. The LRU comprises seven climate subsets based on Relative Effective Annual Precipitation (REAP) and frost-free days. Combination of REAP and frost-free days result in a common plant community shared across the LRU subset. Each subset is given a letter designation of A through F for sites that do not receive additional water and Y for sites that receive additional water. LRU 01 Subset A has a REAP of 9 to 14 inches (228.6-355.6 mm) with a range of 70 to 110 frost free-days. This combination of REAP and frost free-days results in a nearly treeless sagebrush steppe landscape. The soil moisture regime is ustic, dry that borders on aridic and has a frigid soil temperature regime.

Classification relationships

Grassland and Shrubland Habitat Types of Western Montana (Mueggler and Stewart, 1980) 1. Stipa comata/Bouteloua gracilis habitat type 2. Agropyron spicatum/Bouteloua gracilis habitat type EPA Ecoregions of Montana, Second Edition (U.S. EPA, 2013) Level I: Northwestern Forested Mountains Level II: Western Cordillera Level III: Middle Rockies & Northern Great Plains Level IV: Paradise Valley Townsend Basin Dry Intermontane Sagebrush Valleys Shield-Smith Valleys National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units (Cleland et al., 2007) Domain: Dry Division: M330 – Temperate Steppe Division – Mountain Provinces Province: M332 –Middle Rocky Mountain Steppe – Coniferous Forest – Alpine Meadow Section: M332D – Belt Mountains Section M332E – Beaverhead Mountains Section Subsection: M332Ej – Southwest Montana Intermontane Basins and Valleys M332Dk – Central Montana Broad Valleys

Ecological site concept

The Claypan Ecological Site is an upland site formed from alluvium and is on nearly level slopes. The site does not receive additional moisture from a water table or flooding. The soil is moderately deep to very deep. The soil surface has less than five percent stones and is not skeletal. It has less than 35 percent rock fragments in the 10 to 20 inch depth. The soil surface textures are loam to clay loam. The site has a high clay increase with a natric or relic natric horizon within 20 inches of the soil surface. The argillic horizon may act as a root

restricting layer.

Associated sites

EX044B01A001	**Clayey (Cy) 10-14" PZ Frigid** The Clayey Ecological Site occupies adjacent landscape position above the Claypan Ecological Site.
EX044B01A165	**Thin Claypan (TCp) 10-14" PZ Frigid** The Thin Claypan occupies the same landscape and is often adjacent to or intermixed with Claypan sites. The plant communities are very similar and will share similar state-and-transition models.

Similar sites

EX044B01A165	**Thin Claypan (TCp) 10-14" PZ Frigid** Thin Claypan ecological site occupies the same landscape and is often adjacent to or intermixed with Claypan sites. The plant communities are similar, though the Thin Claypan ecological site will express reduced production and increased shrub cover. These sites will share similar state-and-transition models.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) *Artemisia tridentata* (2) *Sarcobatus vermiculatus*
Herbaceous	(1) *Pseudoroegneria spicata* (2) *Pascopyrum smithii*

Legacy ID

R044BA006MT

Physiographic features

The Claypan site is on gently sloping (less than 15 percent) low hills near the valley bottom or on fan remnants.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Intermontane basin > Hill (2) Intermontane basin > Fan remnant
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,370 – 1,980 m

Slope	0 – 20 %
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The Central Rocky Mountain Valleys MLRA has a continental climate. Some of Montana's driest areas are in sheltered mountain valleys due to the rain-shadow effects of the neighboring mountain ranges. The average precipitation for LRU 01 Subset A is 12 inches (305 mm), and the frost-free period averages 78 days. Fifty to 60 percent of the annual precipitation falls between May and August, and precipitation is highest in May and June.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	50-90 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	90-120 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	280-330 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	30-110 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	70-130 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	230-360 mm
Frost-free period (average)	80 days
Freeze-free period (average)	110 days
Precipitation total (average)	310 mm

- (1) DILLION U OF MONTANA WESTERN [USC00242409], Dillon, MT
- (2) WHITE SULPHUR SPRNGS 2 [USC00248930], White Sulphur Springs, MT
- (3) DILLON AP [USW00024138], Dillon, MT
- (4) ALDER 17 S [USC00240110], Virginia City, MT
- (5) TOWNSEND [USC00248324], Townsend, MT
- (6) TRIDENT [USC00248363], Three Forks, MT
- (7) DEER LODGE 3 W [USC00242275], Deer Lodge, MT
- (8) HELENA RGNL AP [USW00024144], Helena, MT
- (9) TWIN BRIDGES [USC00248430], Sheridan, MT
- (10) ENNIS [USC00242793], Ennis, MT

Influencing water features

The site has a clay layer that slows infiltration. Runoff is high.

Wetland description

Site is not associated with wetlands.

Soil features

This site is on sedimentary originated soil with a high sodium (natric or relic natric) horizon. The sodium horizon, has a columnar structure and an abrupt root or water-restrictive clay layer within 4 to 8 inches of the surface. As a result of this high clay layer, infiltration rates are slow. Clay content in the top four inches of soil is variable, however, an argillic horizon will have a significant increase in clay over the surface. Surface soil structure may range from fine granular to subangular blocky. If a E horizon is present, it will have a weak, platy structure. The structure of the clay layer will be columnar.

Common soil series include Deepone and Romnot. As these soils may express a range of characteristics, it is necessary to use the most current ecological site key with an onsite soil pit to determine the correct ecological site.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium – sedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Clay loam (2) Loam
Family particle size	(1) Fine
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate to slow
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	12.45 – 20.32 cm
Clay content (0-10.2cm)	20 %
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-25.4cm)	6.4 – 9.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-50.8cm)	0 – 10 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-50.8cm)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

The Claypan Ecological Site occurs in a relatively small landscape. However, slight variations within the plant community may exist due to elevation, frost-free days, and relative effective annual precipitation.

The Reference Community is dominated by bluebunch wheatgrass (Pseudoroegneria spicata), western wheatgrass (Pascopyrum smithii), and green needlegrass (Nassella viridula). Other species present are needle and thread (Hesperostipa comata), Sandberg bluegrass (Poa secunda), Wyoming big sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis), winterfat (Krascheninnikovia lanata), and dotted blazing star (Liatris punctata). This potential is suggested by investigations showing a predominance of perennial grasses on near-pristine range sites (Ross et al., 1973). In the Reference State, shrubs comprise 10 to 15 percent of the community. This is higher than many other ecological sites in this MLRA.

The natural fire return interval was highly variable, reaching as high as 100 years. However, it was likely shorter than 35 years (Arno and Gruell, 1982). Since 1910, there has been a significant increase in fire suppression, resulting in a potential for increased sagebrush cover.

Shrub dominance may occur in response to improper grazing management, drought, or a reduced fire return interval. Within this site, shrub encroachment by a variety of species is evident, including broom snakeweed (Gutierrezia sarothrae), prairie sagewort (Artemisia frigida), Wyoming big sagebrush, rubber rabbitbrush (Ericameria nauseosa), and plains prickly pear (Opuntia polyacantha). Shrub dominance and grass loss are associated with soil erosion and, ultimately, thinning of the native soil surface. Subsequent loss of soil could lead to a Degraded Shortgrass State. All states could also lead to the Invaded State when there is a lack of weed prevention and control measures.

Historical records indicate that elk and bison grazed this ecological site before the introduction of livestock (cattle and sheep) during the late 1800s. Due to bison's nomadic nature and herd structure, grazed areas received periodic high-intensity, short-duration grazing pressure. Livestock forage was noted as being minimal in areas recently grazed by bison (Lesica and Cooper, 1997).

Meriwether Lewis documented 60 Shoshone warriors met him on horseback in August 1805, and the Corps of Discovery was later supplied with horses by the same band of Shoshone. This number of horses suggests that the areas near the modern-day Montana towns of Twin Bridges, Dillon, Grant, and Dell were grazed by an untold number of horses for many years before the large introduction of cattle and sheep. The gold boom of the 1860s brought the first herds of livestock overland from Texas, and homesteaders began settling the area. During this time, cattle were the primary domestic grazers in the area. In the 1890s, sheep production increased by more than 400 percent and dominated the livestock industry until the 1930s. Since then, cattle production has dominated the livestock industry of the region (Hansen and Wyckoff, 1991).

Lesser spikemoss (Selaginella densa), in general, is a minor component of the reference plant community. The conditions that created large cover classes of clubmoss point to a history of continuous (yearlong) or moderate spring grazing use (Sturm, 1954). In some situations, the site could be old crop fields that have reverted to rangeland. In this case, spikemoss is helping reduce erosion and increase site stability. While dense clubmoss provides soil stability on sites where it exists, anecdotal observations suggest that it competes for the limited water resources in the upper soil profile, which restricts plant available water. However, a study from Canada in a similar climate on similar soils indicates that the correlation between reduced plant available water and clubmoss cover is negligible (Colberg and Romo, 2003). The correlation between reduced plant production may simply be competition for space, though quantitative evidence is unavailable. Dense patches of spikemoss may inhibit seed contact with soil reducing seedling recruitment.

This ecological site is highly susceptible to invasion by nonnative grasses. Cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum) and field brome (Bromus arvensis) invasion is common. These plants are typically associated with nearby human impacts (roads and construction activities).

Plant Communities and Transitions
A state-and-transition model (STM) is depicted below. Thorough descriptions of each state, transition, plant community, and pathway follow the model. This model is based on available experimental research, field data, field observations, and interpretations by experts. It is likely to change as knowledge increases.

The plant communities within the same ecological site will differ across the MLRA due to the naturally occurring variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The biological processes on this site are complex; therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context. The species lists are representative and are not botanical descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are intended to cover the core species and the known range of conditions and responses.

Both percent species composition by weight and percent canopy cover are referenced in this document. Canopy cover drives the transitions between communities and states because of the influence of shade, the interception of rainfall, and the competition for

available water. Species composition by dry weight remains an important descriptor of the herbaceous community and of the community as a whole. Woody species are included in the species composition for the site. Calculating the similarity index requires species composition by dry weight.

Although there is considerable qualitative experience supporting the pathways and transitions within the STM, no quantitative information exists that specifically identifies threshold parameters between grassland types and invaded types in this ecological site.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	**Deep-rooted bunchgrasses**			359-404	
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	*Pseudoroegneria spicata*	202-247	10-30
	needle and thread	HECO26	*Hesperostipa comata*	73-112	5-15
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	*Nassella viridula*	45-67	5-10
	squirreltail	ELEL5	*Elymus elymoides*	0-22	0-5
2	**Rhizomatous grasses**			224-269	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	*Pascopyrum smithii*	157-202	5-10
	thickspike wheatgrass	ELLA3	*Elymus lanceolatus*	67-90	3-5
	plains reedgrass	CAMO	*Calamagrostis montanensis*	0-22	0-2
3	**Increaser Bunchgrass/Shortgrasses**			90-135	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	*Poa secunda*	50-62	3-5
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	*Koeleria macrantha*	22-45	0-5
	blue grama	BOGR2	*Bouteloua gracilis*	11-22	0-3
	saltgrass	DISP	*Distichlis spicata*	0-17	0-1
	needleleaf sedge	CADU6	*Carex duriuscula*	6-11	0-1
Shrub/Vine					
4	**Shrubs**			45-168	
	Wyoming big sagebrush	ARTRW8	*Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis*	45-135	5-15
	greasewood	SAVE4	*Sarcobatus vermiculatus*	0-22	0-5
	little sagebrush	ARAR8	*Artemisia arbuscula*	0-22	0-2
	broom snakeweed	GUSA2	*Gutierrezia sarothrae*	0-11	0-1
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	*Artemisia frigida*	0-11	0-1
	Gardner's saltbush	ATGA	*Atriplex gardneri*	0-6	0-1
	slender buckwheat	ERMI4	*Eriogonum microthecum*	0-6	0-1
	plains pricklypear	OPPO	*Opuntia polyacantha*	0-1	0-1
Forb					
5	**Forbs**			45-90	
	American vetch	VIAM	*Vicia americana*	11-22	0-1
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	*Sphaeralcea coccinea*	6-11	0-1
	bastard toadflax	COUM	*Comandra umbellata*	6-11	0-1
	pussytoes	ANTEN	*Antennaria*	6-11	0-1
	spiny phlox	PHHO	*Phlox hoodii*	6-11	0-1
	milkvetch	ASTRA	*Astragalus*	0-11	0-1
	desertparsley	LOMAT	*Lomatium*	6-11	0-1
	woolly plantain	PLPA2	*Plantago patagonica*	0-1	0

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 8. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Table 9. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)

Animal community

The Claypan Ecological Site provides a variety of wildlife habitats for an array of species. Prior to the settlement of this area, large herds of antelope, elk, and bison roamed. Though the bison have been replaced, mostly with domesticated livestock, elk and antelope still frequently utilize this largely intact landscape for winter habitat in areas adjacent to forests. The high bunchgrass component of the Reference State provides excellent nesting cover for multiple neotropical migratory birds that select for open grasslands, such as the long-billed curlew and McCown's longspur. Greater sage grouse may be present on sites with suitable habitat, typically requiring a minimum of 15 percent sagebrush canopy cover (Braun et al., 1977). The Bunchgrass-Shrub Community (1.1) is likely to have optimal sage grouse presence given its high sagebrush canopy cover. The potentially diverse forb component of the Reference State may also provide important early-season (spring) foraging habitat for the greater sage grouse and their broods. Other communities on the site with sufficient sagebrush cover may harbor sage grouse populations, specifically the Rhizomatous Community 2.1, where big sagebrush populations are under a reduced fire regime. Also, as sagebrush canopy cover increases under the Rhizomatous Community and, to a limited extent, under Shortgrass-Shrub State 3.1, pygmy rabbit, Brewer's sparrow, and mule deer use may also increase. Managed livestock grazing is suitable on this site due to the potential to produce an abundance of high-quality forage. To maintain the productivity of the site, grazing on this site must be managed carefully to make sure utilization is not excessive. Management objectives should include the maintenance or improvement of the native plant community. Careful management of the timing and duration of grazing is important. Short grazing periods and adequate deferment during the growing season are recommended for plant maintenance, health, and recovery. Early-season defoliation of bluebunch wheatgrass can result in high mortality and reduced vigor in plants (McLean and Wikeem, 1985). They also suggest, based on prior studies, that regrowth is necessary before dormancy to reduce injury to bluebunch wheatgrass. The grazing season has a greater impact on winterfat than the intensity of grazing. Late-winter or early-spring grazing is detrimental. However, early winter grazing may be beneficial (Blaisdell and Holmgren, 1984). Continual non-prescribed grazing of this site will be detrimental, alter the plant composition and production over time, and result in the transition to the Rhizomatous State. The transition to other states will depend on the duration of poorly managed grazing as well as other circumstances, such as weather conditions and fire frequency. The Rhizomatous Grass State is subject to further degradation to the Shortgrass-Shrub State or Invaded State. Management should focus on grazing management strategies that will prevent further degradation, such as rest rotation, seasonal grazing deferment, or winter grazing where feasible. Communities within this state are still stable under proper management. Forage quantity and quality may be substantially decreased compared to the Reference State. In the Shortgrass-Shrub State, grazing may be possible but is generally not economically or environmentally sustainable. Grazing is possible in the Invaded State. Invasive species are generally less palatable than native grasses. Forage production is typically greatly reduced in this state. Due to the aggressive nature of invasive species, sites in the Invaded State face an increased risk of further degradation by invasive-dominant communities. Grazing must be carefully managed to avoid further soil loss and degradation. Prescribed grazing can be used to manage invasive species. In some instances, carefully targeted grazing (sometimes in combination with other treatments) can reduce or maintain the species composition of invasive species.

Hydrological functions

The hydrologic cycle functions best in the Reference State (1) with good infiltration and deep percolation of rainfall. However, the cycle degrades as the vegetation community declines. Rapid rainfall infiltration, high soil organic matter, good soil structure, and good porosity accompany high bunchgrass canopy cover (Thurow et al., 1986). High ground cover reduces raindrop impact on the soil surface, which keeps erosion and sediment transport low. Water leaving the site will have a minimal sediment load, which allows for high water quality in associated streams. High rates of infiltration will allow water to move below the rooting zone during periods of heavy rainfall. The Reference State should have very few rills and no gullies present, and drainage ways should be vegetated and stable. Water flow patterns, if present, will be barely observable. Plant pedestals are essentially nonexistent. Plant litter remains in place and is not moved by wind or water. In the Rhizomatous Grass State (2), the Shortgrass-Shrub State (3), and the Invaded State (4), canopy and ground cover are lower than in the Reference State (1), which impedes the hydrologic cycle. Infiltration will decrease and runoff will increase due to reduced ground cover, the presence of shallow-rooted species, rainfall splash, soil capping, reduced organic matter, and poor structure. Sparse ground cover and decreased infiltration can combine to increase the frequency and severity of flooding within a watershed. Soil erosion is accelerated, the quality of surface runoff is poor, and sedimentation increases. (McCalla et al., 1984)

Recreational uses

This site provides some limited recreational opportunities for hiking, horseback riding, big game, and upland bird hunting. Some forbs have flowers that appeal to photographers. This site provides valuable open space.

Wood products

none

Other products

none

Inventory data references

The information contained within this ecological site description has been obtained from field observations, historic data, and professional judgement. Inventory sites are located across Southwest Montana.

References

Arno, S.F. and G.E. Gruell. 1982. Fire History at the Forest-Grassland Ecotone in Southwestern Montana. Journal of Range Management 36:332–336.

Blaisdell, J.P. 1958. Seasonal development and yield of native plants on the Upper Snake River Plains and their relation to certain climate factors.

Blaisdell, J.P. and R.C. Holmgren. 1984. Managing Intermountain Rangelands--Salt-Desert Shrub Ranges. General Tech Report INT-163. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, UT. 52.

Braun, C.E., T. Britt, and R.O. Wallestad. 1977. **Guidelines for Maintenance of Sage Grouse Habitats**. Wildlife Society Bulletin (1973-2006) 5:99–106.

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C. Carpenter, and W.H. McNab. 2007. Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of the Coterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76. Washington, DC. 1–92.

Colberg, T.J. and J.T. Romo. 2003. Clubmoss effects on plant water status and standing crop. Journal of Range Management 56:489–495.

DiTomaso, J.M. 2000. Invasive weeds in Rangelands: Species, Impacts, and Management. Weed Science 48:255–265.

Dormaar, J.F., B.W. Adams, and W.D. Willms. 1997. Impacts of rotational grazing on mixed prairie soils and vegetation. Journal of Range Management 50:647–651.

Hansen, K. and W. Wyckoff. 1991. **Settlement, Livestock Grazing and Environmental Change in Southwest Montana, 1860–1990**. Environmental History Review 15:45–71.

Hobbs, J.R. and S.E. Humphries. 1995. An integrated approach to the ecology and management of plant invasions. Conservation Biology 9:761–770.

Lacey, J.R., C.B. Marlow, and J.R. Lane. 1989. Influence of Spotted knapweed (Centaurea maculosa) on surface runoff and sediment yield.. Weed Technology 3:627–630.

Lesica, P. and S.V. Cooper. 1997. Presettlement vegetation of Southern Beaverhead County, MT.

McCalla, G.R., W.H. Blackburn, and L.B. Merrill. 1984. Effects of Livestock Grazing on Infiltration Rates of the Edwards Plateau of Texas. Journal of Range Management 37:265–269.

McLean, A. and S. Wikeem. 1985. Influence of season and intensity of defoliation on bluebunch wheatgrass survival and vigor in southern British Columbia. Journal of Range Management 38:21–26.

Mueggler, W.F. and W.L. Stewart. 1980. Grassland and Shrubland Habitat Types of Western Montana.

Ross, R.L., E.P. Murray, and J.G. Haigh. July 1973. **Soil and Vegetation of Near-pristine sites in Montana**.

Smoliak, S., R.L. Ditterlin, J.D. Scheetz, L.K. Holzworth, J.R. Sims, L.E. Wiesner, D.E. Baldridge, and G.L. Tibke. 2006. Montana Interagency Plant Materials Handbook.

Sturm, J.J. 1954. A study of a relict area in Northern Montana. University of Wyoming, Laramie 37.

Thurow, T.L., Blackburn W. H., and L.B. Merrill. 1986. Impacts of Livestock Grazing Systems on Watershed. Page in Rangelands: A Resource Under Siege: Proceedings of the Second International Rangeland Congress.

US EPA. 2013. EPA Ecoregions of North America. Map, II edition. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon.

Wilson, A.M., G.A. Harris, and D.H. Gates. 1966. Cumulative Effects of Clipping on Yield of Bluebunch wheatgrass. Journal of Range Management 19:90–91.

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 2/11/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Grant Petersen
Contact for lead author	grant.petersen@usda.gov
Date	05/10/2020
Approved by	

Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** **Rills are not present in the reference condition.**

---

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** **Water flow patterns are rarely present except after heavy rainfall events. If present, flow patterns will be short (less than 2 feet in length).**

---

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** **Pedestals are not evident in the reference condition.**

---

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** **Bare ground is 10 to 15 percent. It consists of small, randomly scattered patches.**

---

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** **Gullies are not present in the reference condition.**

---

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** **Wind scoured or depositional areas are not evident in the reference condition.**

---

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** **Litter movement is not evident in the reference condition.**

---

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** **The average soil stability rating is 4 or 5 under plant canopies and 3 or 4 in plant interspaces. The A horizon thickness is highly variable and is 2 to 8 inches thick.**

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** **Soil Structure at the surface is medium granular. The A Horizon should be 2 to 8 inches thick with color, when wet, typically ranging in Value of 4 or less and Chroma of 2 or less. Local geology may affect color, it is important to reference the Official Series Description (OSD) for characteristic range.**

---

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** **Infiltration of the Clay Pan ecological site is slow to very slow. The site is well drained. An even distribution of**

mid stature bunchgrasses (40 percent), rhizomatous grass (35 percent), cool season shortgrasses (10 to 15 percent), forbs (1 to 5 percent), and shrubs (5 to15 percent) optimizes infiltration and reduces runoff under normal moisture events.

---

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): A compaction layer is not present in the reference condition. The soil profile will contain an abrupt transition to an argillic horizon, which can be misinterpreted as compaction; however, the soil structure will be strong, medium subangular blocky, while a compaction layer will be platy or structureless (massive).**

---

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

    Dominant: Mid-statured, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses (bluebunch wheatgrass, green needlegrass) > rhizomatous grasses

    Sub-dominant: shortgrass grasses/grasslikes (blue grama, prairie Junegrass) ? shrubs > forbs

    Other:

    Additional:

---

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Mortality in herbaceous species is not evident. Species with bunch growth forms may have some natural mortality in centers is 3 percent or less.**

---

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Total litter cover is 20 to 25 percent. Most litter is irregularly distributed on the soil surface and is not at a measurable depth.**

---

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Average annual production is 800 lbs/acre (713 kg/ha) Low: 550 lbs/acre (490 kg/ha) High 1050 lbs/acre (1177 kg/ha) Production varies based on effective precipitation and natural variability of soil properties for this ecological site.**

---

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). Invasive species on this ecological site include (but are not limited to) annual brome spp., spotted knapweed, crested wheatgrass, pale madwort, and field pennycress (fanweed). Native species such as broom snakeweed, Sandberg bluegrass, blue grama, pricklypear cactus, greasewood, etc., when their populations are significant enough to affect ecological function, indicate site condition departure.**

---

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability: In the reference condition, all plants are vigorous enough for reproduction either by seed or rhizomes in order to balance natural mortality with species recruitment.**
