

Ecological site F043CY507WA

Warm-Frigid, Xeric Loamy, Hills and Plateaus, High WT (Douglas-fir/ warm dry shrub)

Last updated: 9/08/2023
Accessed: 09/03/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 043C–Blue and Seven Devils Mountains

Major land resource area (MLRA): 043C-Blue and Seven Devils Description of MLRAs can be found in: United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. Available electronically at: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624#handbook

LRU notes

Most commonly found in LRU 43C02 (Eastern High Basalt Plateau). Also found in adjacent areas of 43C04 (Dissected Basalt Highlands).

Classification relationships

This ESD fits into the National Vegetation Standard's Douglas-fir Middle Rocky Mountain Mesic-Wet Forest Alliance and Washington State's Natural Heritage Program's Northern Rocky Mt. Dry Mesic Montane Mixed Conifer Forest.

Ecological site concept

This ESD is distinguished by an overstory of ponderosa pine, and Douglas-fir with an understory of shrubs such as common snowberry, mallow ninebark and oceanspray. Common forbs are pinegrass, heartleaf arnica, lupines, western hawkweed and strawberry. It occurs on foothills, mountainsides, and canyon walls. The soils are deep or very deep and have adequate available water capacity to a depth of 1 m. The soils are moderately well-drained with perched water tables at 18 to 36 inches during Feb-May.

Associated sites

F043CY504WA	Warm-Frigid, Xeric, Loamy, Basalt Mountains and Plateaus (Douglas-fir/warm dry shrub) warm-frigid, xeric, mixed ash surface, basalt geology.
-------------	--

Similar sites

F043CY504WA	Warm-Frigid, Xeric, Loamy, Basalt Mountains and Plateaus (Douglas-fir/warm dry shrub) No perched water table
F043CY505WA	Warm-Frigid, Xeric, Loamy Eolian Hills and Plateaus (Douglas-fir/ warm dry shrub) No perched water table

F043CY506WA	Warm-Frigid, Xeric Loamy, Granitic, Mountains (Douglas-fir/ warm dry shrub) No perched water table
-------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> var. <i>glauca</i> (2) <i>Pinus ponderosa</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Physocarpus malvaceus</i> (2) <i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Calamagrostis rubescens</i> (2) <i>Arnica cordifolia</i>

Physiographic features

Landscapes: Foothills, Plateaus, Low Mountains

Landform: Hillslopes, Mountain slopes

Elevation (m): Total range = 830 to 1405 m

(2,7205 to 4,610 feet)

Central tendency = 1035 to 1185 m

(3,395 to 3,885 feet)

Slope (percent): Total range = 3 to 45 percent

Central tendency = 5 to 35 percent

Water Table Depth:

Perched water table at 18 to 36 inches during Feb-May (median = 27 inches)

Flooding:

Frequency: None

Duration: None

Ponding:

Frequency: None

Duration: None

Aspect:

Total range: 350-130-280

Central tendency: 75-130-195

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Foothills > Hillslope (2) Mountains > Mountain slope
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None

Elevation	1,040 – 1,180 m
Slope	10 – 40 %
Water table depth	70 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Table 3. Representative physiographic features (actual ranges)

Flooding frequency	Not specified
Ponding frequency	Not specified
Elevation	830 – 1,410 m
Slope	0 – 50 %
Water table depth	50 – 90 cm

Climatic features

Frost-free period (days): Total range = 70 to 115 days

Central tendency = 80 to 95 days

Mean annual precipitation (cm): Total range = 425 to 680 mm

(17 to 27 inches)

Central tendency = 480 to 575 mm

(19 to 23 inches)

MAAT (C): Total range = 6.9 to 9.8

(44 to 50 F)

Central tendency = 7.8 to 8.6

(46 to 47 F)

Climate Stations: none

Table 4 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	80-100 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	480-580 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	70-120 days

Freeze-free period (actual range)	
Precipitation total (actual range)	430-690 mm
Frost-free period (average)	90 days
Freeze-free period (average)	
Precipitation total (average)	530 mm

Influencing water features

Water Table Depth:

Perched water table at 18 to 36 inches during Feb-May (median = 27 inches)

Flooding:

Frequency: None

Duration: None

Ponding:

Frequency: None

Duration: None

Soil features

This ecological site is associated with Cloverland soil series. The soils are Xeric Argialbolls. These soils developed in mixed volcanic ash, loess and slope alluvium from basalt. The soils are very deep and have adequate available water capacity to a depth of 1 m. The soils are moderately well-drained with perched water tables at 18 to 36 inches during Feb-May.

Parent Materials:

Kind: volcanic ash, loess

Origin: mixed

Kind: slope alluvium

Origin: basalt

Surface Texture:

(1) Silt loam

Table 5. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Volcanic ash (2) Loess (3) Slope alluvium – basalt
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam
Drainage class	Moderately well drained

Permeability class	Slow to moderate
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	19.81 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-152.4cm)	6.1
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (25.4-152.4cm)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (25.4-152.4cm)	Not specified

Table 6. Representative soil features (actual values)

Drainage class	Moderately well drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderate
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	18.8 – 20.83 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-152.4cm)	5.6 – 7.3

Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (25.4-152.4cm)	0 – 40 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (25.4-152.4cm)	0 – 20 %

Ecological dynamics

This site is comprised of the modal Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*)/ninebark (*Physocarpus malvaceus*) plant association (PSME/PHMA). The less abundant Douglas-fir/Common Snowberry (*Symphoricarpos albus*) (PSME/SYAL) and Douglas-fir/birchleaf spirea (*Spirea betulifolia*) (PSME/SPBE) plant associations are included in this provisional ESD. These plant associations are all part of the broader warm dry upland forest plant association group (PAG), and the dry upland forest potential vegetation group (PVG). This warm dry Douglas-fir forest type occurs above Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) forest types on a moisture gradient. It is in turn transitional to the mesic mixed (using LANDFIRE terminology; generally, “moderately dry”) conifer types.

This ESD was characterized by a ponderosa pine dominated overstory in the historic, naturally occurring ecological condition. Older stands typically contained large, widely spaced ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir, with understory shrub species such as ninebark, ocean spray (*Holodiscus discolor*), and snowberry.

Other conifers found in this ecologic site include grand fir (to a limited extent) and western larch (*Larix occidentalis*). Larch begins to occur in greater proportions compared to the dryer and warmer grass dominated Douglas-fir plant associations (such as in the pinegrass and elk sedge plant association environments).

Pre-European frequent, low intensity surface fires (also called “under-burns”) maintained long lived stands of fire-resistant late seral conifers in the older, more open stands. Less frequent occurring mixed-severity and stand replacement fires resulted in mosaics of older and larger trees, intermingled with younger patches of regenerating forests. The early development (i.e. regeneration) phase of this ecological site was dominated by Ponderosa pine recruitment and lesser numbers of larch seedlings, occurring with fire adapted understory species such as ceanothus spp., Scouler’s willow (*Salix scouleriana*), ninebark, and grass species including pinegrass and elk sedge.

Young, newly regenerated stands would normally progress to mid-development phases in time, in the absence of major disturbance impacts that would halt progression. Those developing stands were dominated by large pole to small and intermediate sawtimber sized individual conifers. The stands expressed varying degrees of canopy closure depending on the initial seedling and stocking rates, coupled with the impacts of minor, small scale disturbance events that occurred over the course of development. Mid-development stands could in turn progress to mature stands with the passing of additional time and under favorable conditions. In the absence of significant surface fire, shade tolerant grand fir will begin to dominate the understory conifer layer (understory infill of mid and late seral conifers is now a common, widespread condition which is a direct result of a policy of total fire suppression. The policy of total forest fire suppression was implemented in the Post-European era of settlement in the western United States and became progressively effective in extinguishing the majority of wildfires across dry western landscapes.

The expressions of the various historical stand(s) in this site contained various ages, sizes and species mixes which were found in a mosaic pattern across the larger landscape. Clumps, gaps and individual trees were the norm. These forests occurred in ecologically defined “spatially heterogeneous patterns”.

Although fire was the major disturbance factor in the historic context in this ecological site, insects (such as bark beetles and defoliators) and root diseases also impacted the forest at any given time. Less frequent wind events and lightning also occurred, locally altering stand structural and functional attributes of the stand.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

References

Powell, D.C., C.G. Johnson, E.A. Crowe, A. Wells, and D.K. Swanson. 2007. Potential vegetation hierarchy for the Blue Mountains section of northeastern Oregon, southeastern Washington, and west-central Idaho. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-709.. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station., Portland, OR.

Other references

Contributors

Frank Gariglio
Brian Gardner

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 9/08/2023

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/03/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future

establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
