

Ecological site R043BY278WY

Wetland

Foothills and Mountains West

Accessed: 09/26/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R043BY242WY	Saline Subirrigated Foothills and Mountains West Saline Subirrigated
R043BY274WY	Subirrigated Foothills and Mountains West Subirrigated

Similar sites

R034AY274WY	Subirrigated Foothills and Basins West (Sb) Subirrigated (Sb) 15-19W has a lower water table and lower production.
R034AY278WY	Wetland Foothills and Basins West (WL) Wetland (WL) 10-14W has lower production.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on level or gently-sloping land near springs, seeps or sloughs.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Drainageway (2) Oxbow (3) Stream terrace
Flooding duration	Very brief (4 to 48 hours) to brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	Occasional to frequent
Ponding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days) to very brief (4 to 48 hours)
Ponding frequency	Frequent
Elevation	1,710 – 2,530 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Ponding depth	0 – 30 cm
Water table depth	0 – 50 cm

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 15-19 inches per year. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. This is predominantly due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Extreme storms may occur during the winter, but most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring.

Prevailing winds are from the southwest, and strong winds are less frequent than over other areas of Wyoming. Occasional storms, however, can bring brief periods of high winds with gusts exceeding 50 mph.

Growth of native cool season plants begins about May 15 and continues to about August 15.

The following information is from the "Jackson" climate station:

Minimum Maximum 5 yrs. out of 10 between

Frost-free period (days): 12 60 July 9 – August 12

Freeze-free period (days): 42 100 June 20 – August 26

Annual Precipitation (inches): <11.98 >19.69 (2 years in 10)

Mean annual precipitation: 17.00 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 38.9°F (23.3°F Avg. Min. to 54.5°F Avg. Max.)

For detailed information visit the Natural Resources Conservation Service National Water and Climate Center at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/cgibin/state.pl?state=wy> website. Other climate stations representative of this precipitation zone include

"Afton" in Lincoln County; and "Darwin Ranch" in Teton County.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	60 days
Freeze-free period (average)	100 days
Precipitation total (average)	480 mm

Influencing water features

Stream Type: C (Rosgen)

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep and poorly drained with a water table above the surface for part, but not all, of the growing season. They are nearly level to slightly depressional areas with poor surface drainage. Surface textures range from moderately coarse to fine, but commonly are medium and moderately fine with dark color and high organic content.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Gravelly loam (2) Clay loam (3) Sandy clay loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Poorly drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderate
Soil depth	50 – 150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 20 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	5.72 – 15.24 cm

Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 20 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	6.1 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 40 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 20 %

Ecological dynamics

As this site deteriorates, species such as Baltic rush, and weedy forbs increase. Grasses and grass-like plants such as Nebraska sedge, bluejoint reedgrass, and tufted hairgrass will decrease in frequency and production. Willows and water birch, when present, will lose density and age diversity with heavy browsing. This site is vulnerable to noxious weed invasion by species such as Canada thistle.

The Historic Climax Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community narratives following the diagram.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				1345-2018	
2				1345-2018	
	Nebraska sedge	CANE2	<i>Carex nebrascensis</i>	1345-2018	–
3				673-1009	

	bluejoint	CACA4	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	673-1009	—
4				673-1345	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-336	—
	bentgrass	AGROS2	<i>Agrostis</i>	0-336	—
	shortawn foxtail	ALAE	<i>Alopecurus aequalis</i>	0-336	—
	Porter brome	BRPO2	<i>Bromus porteri</i>	0-336	—
	water sedge	CAAQA	<i>Carex aquatilis</i> var. <i>aquatilis</i>	0-336	—
	inland sedge	CAIN11	<i>Carex interior</i>	0-336	—
	sedge	CAREX	<i>Carex</i>	0-336	—
	northern reedgrass	CASTI3	<i>Calamagrostis stricta</i> ssp. <i>inexpansa</i>	0-336	—
	spikerush	ELEOC	<i>Eleocharis</i>	0-336	—
	blue wildrye	ELGL	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	0-336	—
	spreading wheatgrass	ELSC4	<i>Elymus scribneri</i>	0-336	—
	American mannagrass	GLGR	<i>Glyceria grandis</i>	0-336	—
	little barley	HOPU	<i>Hordeum pusillum</i>	0-336	—
	rush	JUNCU	<i>Juncus</i>	0-336	—
	alpine timothy	PHAL2	<i>Phleum alpinum</i>	0-336	—
	reed canarygrass	PHAR3	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	0-336	—
	bulrush	SCIRP	<i>Scirpus</i>	0-336	—
	cattail	TYPHA	<i>Typha</i>	0-336	—
Forb					
5				336-1009	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-336	—
	fireweed	CHAN9	<i>Chamerion angustifolium</i>	0-336	—
	spotted water hemlock	CIMAA	<i>Cicuta maculata</i> var. <i>angustifolia</i>	0-336	—
	shootingstar	DODEC	<i>Dodecatheon</i>	0-336	—
	horsetail	EQUIS	<i>Equisetum</i>	0-336	—
	bedstraw	GALIU	<i>Galium</i>	0-336	—
	gentian	GENTI	<i>Gentiana</i>	0-336	—
	American licorice	GLLE3	<i>Glycyrrhiza lepidota</i>	0-336	—
	common sneezeweed	HEAU	<i>Helenium autumnale</i>	0-336	—
	common cowparsnip	HEMA80	<i>Heracleum maximum</i>	0-336	—
	hawkweed	HIERA	<i>Hieracium</i>	0-336	—
	waterleaf	HYDRO4	<i>Hydrophyllum</i>	0-336	—
	rubberweed	HYMEN7	<i>Hymenoxys</i>	0-336	—
	Rocky Mountain iris	IRMI	<i>Iris missouriensis</i>	0-336	—
	wild mint	MEAR4	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	0-336	—
	bluebells	MERTE	<i>Mertensia</i>	0-336	—
	ragwort	PACKE	<i>Packera</i>	0-336	—
	elephanthead lousewort	PEGR2	<i>Pedicularis groenlandica</i>	0-336	—
	American bistort	POBI6	<i>Polygonum bistortoides</i>	0-336	—
	knotweed	POLYG4	<i>Polygonum</i>	0-336	—
	cinquefoil	POTEN	<i>Potentilla</i>	0-336	—
	buttercup	RANUN	<i>Ranunculus</i>	0-336	—
	ragwort	SENEC	<i>Senecio</i>	0-336	—
	blue-eyed grass	SISYR	<i>Sisyrinchium</i>	0-336	—

	clover	TRIFO	<i>Trifolium</i>	0-336	–
	arrowgrass	TRIGL	<i>Triglochin</i>	0-336	–
	violet	VIOLA	<i>Viola</i>	0-336	–
Shrub/Vine					
6				336-673	
	willow	SALIX	<i>Salix</i>	336-673	–
7				67-673	
	water birch	BEOC2	<i>Betula occidentalis</i>	0-336	–
	dogwood	CORNU	<i>Cornus</i>	0-336	–
	alpine laurel	KAMI	<i>Kalmia microphylla</i>	0-336	–
	currant	RIBES	<i>Ribes</i>	0-336	–
	Woods' rose	ROWOW	<i>Rosa woodsii</i> var. <i>woodsii</i>	0-336	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 5.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 6.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Sedge Plant Community (HCPC): This plant community is very important for most wildlife in the area. Over 80% of all wildlife use this site to fulfill some part of their habitat needs. It provides forage and thermal and hiding cover for mule deer, elk, and moose. It provides nesting habitat for shorebirds, songbirds, and waterfowl as well as ground nesting birds such as harriers. The lush herbaceous material produces insects for sage grouse brood rearing and foraging. Dense ground cover provides escape cover, forage, and breeding areas for small mammals which draw predators such as raptors, red fox and coyote. Other birds that would frequent this plant community include red-wing blackbirds, sandhill cranes, western meadowlarks, neo-tropical migrants, and golden eagles. Cattail/Bulrush Plant Community: This plant community may be beneficial for the same wildlife that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the plant community composition is less diverse, and thus, less apt to meet the seasonal needs of these animals. Red-wing blackbird and sandhill crane habitat is excellent. Willow/Sedge Plant Community: This plant community is beneficial for the same wildlife that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, dominance of woody species may improve thermal and hiding cover for all species, especially structural diversity needed for neo-tropical migrants, as well as provide more foraging areas for moose. Managed Noxious Weed Plant Community: This plant community may be beneficial for the same wildlife that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the plant community composition is less diverse, and thus, less apt to meet the seasonal needs of these animals. It may provide some foraging opportunities for sage grouse when it occurs proximal to woody cover due to enhanced insect populations. Baltic Rush Plant Community: This plant community may be beneficial for some of the same wildlife that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the woody component is typically less productive and unable to support large browsers such as moose. As woody plants decrease, structural diversity is lost for neo-tropical migrants, cover decreased for deer and elk, and nesting for shrub-nesting birds is impacted. It may provide some brood rearing and foraging opportunities for sage grouse when it occurs proximal to woody cover. Noxious Weed Plant Community: This plant community is less diverse, and thus, less able to meet the habitat needs of many wildlife. Herbaceous forage and cover is not as dense and will aid in successful predation of nesting birds, therefore improving habitat for predators such as raptors, red fox, and coyote. It may provide some brood rearing and foraging opportunities for sage grouse when it occurs proximal to woody cover. Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should

eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates must be reduced to maintain plant health and vigor. Plant Community Production Carrying Capacity* (lb./ac) (AUM/ac) Sedge (HCPC) 5500-7500 2.0 Cattail/Bulrush 5000-7000 1.8 Willow/Sedge 5000-7000 1.7 Managed Noxious Weed 4000-6000 1.6 Baltic Rush 3000-5000 1.3 Noxious Weed 2000-4000 .9 * - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Climate is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group D. Infiltration rate is very slow and runoff potential high for the soils of this site due to a high water table and saturated soil conditions. However, high forage production on this site diminishes runoff potential as long as site is managed for maintaining adequate residual vegetation. (Refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydraulic information). Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns may be present if associated with a perennial flowing stream. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common unless associated with a perennial flowing stream. Chemical and physical crusts are rare to non-existent.

Recreational uses

This site provides a variety of hunting and fishing opportunities as well providing popular camping areas for recreationists when not saturated. Waterfowl hunting opportunities exist when associated with open water. The wide variety of plants which bloom from spring until fall have esthetic values that appeal to visitors.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Inventory data references

Inventory Data References (narrative) Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Bill Christensen, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; Karen Clause, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; and Everet Bainter, Range Management Specialist, NRCS. Other sources used as references include: USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties. Inventory Data References Data Source Number of Records Sample Period State County SCS-RANGE-417 58 1966-1986 WY Lincoln & others

Contributors

K. Clause

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	K. Clause, J. Haverkamp, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	karen.clause@wy.usda.gov or 307-367-2257
Date	03/16/2007
Approved by	

Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:** Rare to nonexistent.

- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns sometimes evident in floodplain zone where this site occurs.

- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Rare to nonexistent.

- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**
Bare ground is typically less than 1%.

- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** Active gullies should not be present.

- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Minimal to nonexistent.

- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Herbaceous litter exhibits slight movement only associated with water flow patterns.

- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil Stability Index ratings are typically 6.0

- 9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil surface variable, typically an A-horizon up to 14 inches (35 cm) colors with a chroma of 2 or less and OM of 10-20%. Sometimes the A-horizon is overlain or replaced by an O-horizon of up to 30 inches (76 cm) with 40-60% OM.

- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Plant community consists of 70-90% grasses, 10% forbs, and 0-20% shrubs. Dense plant canopy (>95%) and litter, despite slow to moderate infiltration rates, results in no runoff for this site until soils are saturated. Basal cover is typically 20-30% for this site and effectively reduces runoff on this site as well.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer exists.
-

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional: mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses>rhizomatous grass-likes>>perennial forbs=perennial shrubs>cool season rhizomatous grasses

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component.
-

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 0-5% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 90-100% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 20-35 mm. Woody litter can be up to a couple inches (4-6cm).
-

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): English: 5500-7500 lb/ac (6000 lb/ac average); Metric: 6160-8400 kg/ha (6720 kg/ha average).
-

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 15% and presence of noxious weeds or creeping meadow foxtail are the most common indicators of a threshold being crossed. Baltic rush and slim sedge are common increasers. Canada thistle is a common invasive species.
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.
-