

Ecological site R043BY110WY
Dense Clay
High Mountains

Accessed: 09/23/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R043BY122WY	Loamy High Mountains Loamy
--------------------	--

Similar sites

R043BY210WY	Dense Clay Foothills and Mountains West R043BY210WY – Dense Clay (DC) 15-19W has lower production.
--------------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site can be found in a lowland or upland position, on flat to moderately sloping land.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial fan (2) Ridge (3) Hill
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None

Elevation	1,980 – 3,660 m
Slope	0 – 60 %
Ponding depth	0 cm

Climatic features

Annual precipitation is fairly evenly distributed through the year and averages over 20 inches. Snows are heavy and usually remain in place during the winter. Annual snowfall averages 150 to 200 inches per year. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. This is predominantly due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures.

Prevailing winds are from the southwest, and strong winds are less frequent than over other areas of Wyoming. Occasional storms, however, can bring brief periods of high winds with gusts exceeding 50 mph.

Growth of native cool season plants begins about June 1 at lower elevations, as late as July 15 at higher elevations, and continues until the beginning of September.

The following information is from the "Moran 5 WNW" climate station:

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	80 days
Freeze-free period (average)	120 days
Precipitation total (average)	740 mm

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are moderately deep to very deep (greater than 20" to bedrock), well to poorly drained soils formed in alluvium. These soils have slow to very slow permeability. The topsoil, except for thin ineffectual layers, will be heavy clays and/or soils that develop large cracks when dry and are very sticky when wet. These soils are not high in salinity and/or alkalinity.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Clay loam (2) Clay (3) Sandy clay loam
Family particle size	(1) Clayey

Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Very rapid to slow
Soil depth	50 – 150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	7.11 – 15.24 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0 – 20 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4 – 10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 20 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

As this site deteriorates from improper grazing management, rhizomatous wheatgrass, bottlebrush squirreltail, low sagebrush, and green rabbitbrush will increase. Idaho fescue and spike fescue will decrease in frequency and production.

The Historic Climax Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community narratives following the diagram.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				336-504	
	thickspike wheatgrass	ELLAL	<i>Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus</i>	336-504	–
2				168-336	
	Idaho fescue	FEID	<i>Festuca idahoensis</i>	168-336	–
3				168-336	
	spike fescue	LEK12	<i>Leucopoa kingii</i>	168-336	–
4				168-336	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-84	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	0-84	–
	Letterman's needlegrass	ACLE9	<i>Achnatherum lettermanii</i>	0-84	–
	Columbia needlegrass	ACNE9	<i>Achnatherum nelsonii</i>	0-84	–
	western needlegrass	ACOC3	<i>Achnatherum occidentale</i>	0-84	–
	Richardson's needlegrass	ACRI8	<i>Achnatherum richardsonii</i>	0-84	–
	mountain brome	BRMA4	<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	0-84	–
	Porter brome	BRPO2	<i>Bromus porteri</i>	0-84	–
	sun sedge	CAINH2	<i>Carex inops ssp. heliophila</i>	0-84	–
	squirreltail	ELELE	<i>Elymus elymoides ssp. elymoides</i>	0-84	–
	blue wildrye	ELGL	<i>Elymus glaucus</i>	0-84	–
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	0-84	–
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	0-84	–
	oniongrass	MEBU	<i>Melica bulbosa</i>	0-84	–
	Cusick's bluegrass	POCU3	<i>Poa cusickii</i>	0-84	–
	muttongrass	POFE	<i>Poa fendleriana</i>	0-84	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-84	–
7				84-252	
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVI8	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	84-252	–
Forb					
5				84-252	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-84	–
	common yarrow	ACMI2	<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	0-84	–
	onion	ALLIU	<i>Allium</i>	0-84	–
	pussytoes	ANTEN	<i>Antennaria</i>	0-84	–
	milkvetch	ASTRA	<i>Astragalus</i>	0-84	–
	hawksbeard	CREPI	<i>Crepis</i>	0-84	–

	fleabane	ERIGE2	<i>Erigeron</i>	0-84	–
	buckwheat	ERIOG	<i>Eriogonum</i>	0-84	–
	common sneezeweed	HEAU	<i>Helenium autumnale</i>	0-84	–
	little sunflower	HEPU3	<i>Helianthus pumilus</i>	0-84	–
	desertparsley	LOMAT	<i>Lomatium</i>	0-84	–
	bluebells	MERTE	<i>Mertensia</i>	0-84	–
	locoweed	OXYTR	<i>Oxytropis</i>	0-84	–
	ragwort	PACKE	<i>Packera</i>	0-84	–
	phlox	PHLOX	<i>Phlox</i>	0-84	–
	western coneflower	RUOC2	<i>Rudbeckia occidentalis</i>	0-84	–
	ragwort	SENEC	<i>Senecio</i>	0-84	–
	aster	SYMPH4	<i>Symphyotrichum</i>	0-84	–
	American vetch	VIAM	<i>Vicia americana</i>	0-84	–
	mule-ears	WYAM	<i>Wyethia amplexicaulis</i>	0-84	–
Shrub/Vine					
6				84-168	
	little sagebrush	ARAR8	<i>Artemisia arbuscula</i>	84-168	–
8				0-84	
	Shrub, deciduous	2SD	<i>Shrub, deciduous</i>	0-84	–
	Shrub, evergreen	2SE	<i>Shrub, evergreen</i>	0-84	–
	Tree, deciduous	2TD	<i>Tree, deciduous</i>	0-84	–
	Tree, evergreen	2TE	<i>Tree, evergreen</i>	0-84	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Spike Fescue/Low Sage Plant Community (HCPC): Suitable thermal and escape cover for mule deer and elk may be limited due to the low height and density of woody plants. Birds that would frequent this plant community include horned larks and golden eagles. Low Sage/Wheatgrass Plant Community: This plant community has a low level of diversity. Due to the dominance of grasses, feed for browsing animals is limited. Heavy Low Sage/Forb Plant Community: This plant community may be beneficial for the same wildlife that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the plant community composition is less diverse, and thus, less apt to meet the seasonal needs of these animals. Areas of bare ground may provide lek locations for sage grouse at lower elevations. Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates must be reduced to maintain plant health and vigor. Plant Community Production Carrying Capacity* (lb./ac) (AUM/ac) Spike Fescue/Low Sage (HCPC) 1200-1800 0.5 Low Sage/Wheatgrass 500-1500 0.3 Heavy Low Sage/Forb 300-800 0.15 * - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group D. Infiltration is very slow. Runoff potential for this site is high to very high depending on ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75% ground

cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. Areas where ground cover is less than 50% have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information). Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable if at all present. Pedestals are only slightly present in association with bunchgrasses. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are rare to non-existent. Cryptogamic crusts are present, but only cover 1-2% of the soil surface.

Recreational uses

This site provides limited hunting opportunities.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Inventory data references

Inventory Data References (narrative) Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Bill Christensen, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; Karen Clause, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; and Everet Bainter, Range Management Specialist, NRCS. Other sources used as references include: USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties. Inventory Data References Data Source Number of Records Sample Period State County

Contributors

K. Clause

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	K. Clause, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	karen.clause@wy.usda.gov or 307-367-2257
Date	03/16/2007
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rare to nonexistent. Where present, short and widely spaced.
-

2. Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable.

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Rare to nonexistent.

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground can range from 0-15%.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Rare to nonexistent.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous and large woody litter not expected to move.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 3 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 4.5 or greater.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil data is limited for this site. Soil OM of 6-16% is expected.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant community consists of 65-80% grasses, 15% forbs, and 5-20% shrubs. Evenly distributed plant canopy (60-90%) and litter, despite slow infiltration rates, results in minimal runoff. Basal cover is typically greater than 10% for this site and does affect runoff on this site.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses> cool season rhizomatous grasses>>perennial forbs>perennial shrubs>short, cool season bunchgrasses

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component.
-
14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 5-35% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 50-85% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 5-15mm. Woody litter can be up to a couple inches (4-6 cm).
-
15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
English: 1200-1800 lb/ac (1500 lb/ac average); Metric 1344-2016 kg/ha (1680 kg/ha average).
-
16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 25% is the most common indicator of a threshold being crossed. Sandberg bluegrass, buckwheat, yarrow, and phlox are common increasers. Kentucky bluegrass, common dandelion, thistles, and annual weeds are common invasive species in disturbed sites.
-
17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in extreme drought years.
-