

Ecological site R042BB006NM

Gyp Upland, Desert Shrub

Accessed: 09/24/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R042BB014NM	Loamy, Desert Shrub This site often integrades with Loamy sites depending upon amount of gypsum present or depth to gypsic horizon.
R042BB036NM	Salt Flats, Desert Shrub This site often integrades with Salt Flats depending upon amount of salinity present.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site usually occurs on alluvial fans, basins, valley floors, adjacent terraces or bajadas. They formed in loamy, calcareous, and gypsiferous sediments. Slopes range from 1 to 15 percent, but average 6 to 7 percent. Elevations range from 3,800 to 4,500 feet above sea level.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Valley side (2) Plain (3) Terrace
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None

Elevation	1,160 – 1,370 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Water table depth	190 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The frost-free season ranges from 183 to 205 days between early April and late October. The optimum growing season of the major native warm season plants coincides with the summer rains during June, July, August, and September. However, plants can make some growth at any time during the frost free period when moisture is available and minimum daily temperatures stay above 51 degrees F. Vegetation on this site will be limited to plants which can take advantage of moisture at the time it falls, since the soil profiles have large amounts of available water for short periods of time and then rapidly dry. The majority of precipitation comes in the form of high intensity, short duration thunderstorms. Little or no available moisture can be stored in the soil profiles of this site. Strong winds from the southwest blow during January through June, which accelerate soil drying within the plant root zone and further, discourage cool season plant growth or occupancy of the site.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	210 days
Freeze-free period (average)	230 days
Precipitation total (average)	310 mm

Influencing water features

This site is not influenced by water from wetlands or streams.

Soil features

Soils are very shallow or shallow. Surface textures are loam and fine sandy loam. The underlying material is a dense layers of soft or cemented gypsum material and gypsiferous earth at depths less than 8 inches may occur. The gypsum amounts range from 50 to 70 percent with 7 to 30 percent carbonates. These soils are droughty.

Areas of gypsum materials commonly outcrop to the surface as inclusions of raw gypsumland, which are void of vegetation and not part of the ecological site. In the lower part of the profile the semi indurated gypsum and caliche.

Minimum and maximum values listed below represent the characteristic soils for this site.

Characteristic soils;

Cottonwood
Holloman
McCarran
Yesum
Alamogordo

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Gypsiferous sandy loam (2) Gypsiferous fine sandy loam (3) Gypsiferous loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained to moderately well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow to moderate
Soil depth	60 – 180 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	10.16 – 20.32 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 30 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0 – 20 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
---	---------------

Ecological dynamics

Overview:

The site has a droughty appearance because of the soils inability to support a dense stand of vegetation. If unprotected by plant cover or organic residue, the soil becomes easily wind blown and water eroded.

The vegetation of this site often intergrades with that of Loamy sites, depending on the amounts of gypsum, soil texture, and depths of gypsic horizons. Low-lying areas where run-in water occurs behave like draws. Areas where gypsum outcrops are exposed harbor little vegetation. Gyp Uplands may intergrade with the Salt Flats site depending on salinity levels. Thus, the vegetation of this site is very patchy, variable, and difficult to characterize. The historic plant community types that are likely to be associated with the gyp uplands site include 1) an alkali sacaton (*Sporobolus airoides*) and black grama (*Bouteloua eriopoda*) or blue grama (*B. gracilis*)-dominated community associated with soils having relatively deep (> 10 ") gypsic horizons and 2) a gyp grama (*Bouteloua breviseta*) and gyp dropseed (*Sporobolus nealleyi*)-dominated community on soils with shallow (10") gypsic horizons. Tobosa (*Pleuraphis mutica*), burrograss (*Scleropogon brevifolius*), and/or saltbush (*Atriplex canescens*) may also dominate depending on texture, land-use history, or other features. The subshrub *Coldenia* (*Coldenia* spp) increasingly dominates sites with very shallow gypsic horizons as grasses decline. Gyp upland sites are susceptible to erosion when vegetation cover is reduced due to drought and overgrazing. Mesquite (*Prosopis glandulosa*) may invade soils with deeper gypsic horizons within the site that are dominated by tobosa or burrograss. Erosion of A horizons bring gypsic horizons closer to the surface and can shift community composition to dominance by gyp dropseed, coldenia, and bare soil.

State and transition model

Figure 3. State-Transition model: MLRA 42, SD-2, Gyp Upland1

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Warm Season			298-362	
	alkali sacaton	SPAI	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	298-362	–
2	Warm Season			33-99	
	black grama	BOER4	<i>Bouteloua eriopoda</i>	33-99	–
3	Warm Season			7-66	
	gypsum grama	BOBR	<i>Bouteloua breviseta</i>	7-66	–
4	Warm Season			20-99	
	bush muhly	MUPO2	<i>Muhlenbergia porteri</i>	20-99	–
	plains bristlegrass	SEVU2	<i>Setaria vulpiseta</i>	20-99	–
5	Warm Season			7-20	
	gyp dropseed	SPNE	<i>Sporobolus nealleyi</i>	7-20	–
6	Warm Season			7-20	
	sand dropseed	SPCR	<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	7-20	–
7	Warm Season			7-20	
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	7-20	–
8				20-99	
	threeawn	ARIST	<i>Aristida</i>	20-99	–
	low woollygrass	DAPU7	<i>Dasyochloa pulchella</i>	20-99	–
	ear muhly	MUAR	<i>Muhlenbergia arenacea</i>	20-99	–

	burrograss	SCBR2	<i>Scleropogon brevifolius</i>	20-99	–
Shrub/Vine					
9	Shrub			20-46	
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	20-46	–
	jointfir	EPHED	<i>Ephedra</i>	20-46	–
	littleleaf sumac	RHMI3	<i>Rhus microphylla</i>	20-46	–
10	Shrub			7-20	
	javelina bush	COER5	<i>Condalia ericoides</i>	7-20	–
	knifeleaf condalia	COSP3	<i>Condalia spathulata</i>	7-20	–
	crown of thorns	KOSP	<i>Koeberlinia spinosa</i>	7-20	–
11	Cactus			7-20	
	pricklypear	OPUNT	<i>Opuntia</i>	7-20	–
	yucca	YUCCA	<i>Yucca</i>	7-20	–
Forb					
12	Forb			33-66	
	snakewood	CONDA	<i>Condalia</i>	33-66	–
13	Forb			7-99	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	7-99	–
	desert unicorn-plant	PRAL4	<i>Proboscidea althaeifolia</i>	7-99	–
	whitestem paperflower	PSCO2	<i>Psilostrophe cooperi</i>	7-99	–
	threadleaf ragwort	SEFLF	<i>Senecio flaccidus var. flaccidus</i>	7-99	–
	Hopi tea greenthread	THME	<i>Thelesperma megapotamicum</i>	7-99	–
	daisy	CHRY2	<i>Chrysanthemum</i>	7-99	–
	golden tickseed	COTI3	<i>Coreopsis tinctoria</i>	7-99	–
	leatherweed	CRPOP	<i>Croton pottsii var. pottsii</i>	7-99	–
	Seven River Hills buckwheat	ERGY	<i>Eriogonum gypsophilum</i>	7-99	–
	blazingstar	MENTZ	<i>Mentzelia</i>	7-99	–
	fiddleleaf	NAMA4	<i>Nama</i>	7-99	–
	whitest evening primrose	OEAL	<i>Oenothera albicaulis</i>	7-99	–
	beardtongue	PENST	<i>Penstemon</i>	7-99	–
	Texan phacelia	PHINT	<i>Phacelia integrifolia var. texana</i>	7-99	–
	white milkwort	POAL4	<i>Polygala alba</i>	7-20	–
	trailing windmills	ALIN	<i>Allionia incarnata</i>	7-20	–

Animal community

This site provides habitats which support a resident animal community that is characterized by coyote, hooded skunk, desert cottontail, whitethroated woodrat, sparrow hawk, cactus wren, scaled quail, loggerhead shrike, mourning dove, Texas horned lizard, lesser earless lizard, and western diamondback rattlesnake. Fourwing saltbush, littleleaf sumac, spiny allthorn, common javilinabush, and knifeleaf condalia provide protective cover for scaled quail. Seed, green herbage and fruit from a variety of grasses, forbs and shrubs provide food for a number of birds and mammals, including scaled and Gambel's quail, mourning dove and prairie dogs. The fruit of tesajo cactus is relished by quail.

Hydrological functions

The runoff curve numbers are determined by field investigations using hydraulic cover conditions and hydrologic soil groups. Hydrologic Interpretations Soil Series-----Hydrologic Group Cottonwood-----C Holloman-----C McCarran-----C Yesum-----B Alamogordo-----B

Recreational uses

This site offers recreation potential for hiking, horseback riding, rock, gem, and mineral collecting, nature observation and photography, and quail, dove, and predator hunting. During years of abundant moisture, a colorful array of wildflowers can be observed from spring through fall.

Wood products

This site provides little or no wood products other than curiosities and small furniture which can be made from the roots and stems of mesquite where it has invaded the site. The woody pods of devils claw are also used in curiosities.

Other products

This site is suitable for grazing during all seasons of the year. Care must be taken to leave enough vegetation cover for soil protection during windy and rainy periods or severe soil erosion will result. About 300 pounds per acre of total vegetation and litter is minimal for soil protection. This site is best suited and most efficiently utilized by cattle. It can also be utilized by small numbers of goats and sheep in combination with cattle where control or protection from predators can be provided.

Other information

Guide to Suggested Initial Stocking Rate Acres per Animal Unit Month Similarity Index -----Ac/AUM 100 - 76----- 5.5 – 8.0 75 – 51----- 7.5 – 11.0 50 – 26----- 11.0 – 15.0 25 – 0----- 25.0 +

Type locality

Location 1: Eddy County, NM	
Township/Range/Section	T26S R24E S27
General legal description	N.W. ¼, Sec. 27, T. 26 S., R. 24 E., NMPM. 2 ½ miles N. E. of the Texas – New Mexico State line, on the west side of Highway 180. A. M. Leeman Ranch. GC Mapping Unit, Cottonwood component. Map Sheet 148 insert, Eddy Area New Mexico Soil Survey Report.

Other references

Data collection for this site was done in conjunction with the progressive soil surveys within the Southern Desertic Basins, Plains and Mountains, Major Land Resource Areas of New Mexico. This site has been mapped and correlated with soils in the following soil surveys. Sierra County Dona Ana County Grant County Hidalgo County Luna County Otero County Eddy County.

Characteristic soils are:

Cottonwood loam, very shallow, less than 8 inches thick
Holloman loam, very shallow, less than 8 inches thick
McCarran loam, very shallow, less than 8 inches thick
Yesum fine sandy loam, less than 8 inches thick
Alamogordo fine sandy loam, less than 8 inches thick

Contributors

Don Sylvester
Dr. Brandon Bestelmeyer

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be

verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
