

# Ecological site R035XB220AZ

## Shale Upland

### 6-10" p.z.

Accessed: 05/19/2026

#### General information

**Provisional.** A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

#### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 035X–Colorado Plateau

This ecological site occurs in Common Resource Area 35.2 - the Colorado Plateau Shrub – Grasslands Elevations range from 3800-5800 feet and precipitation averages 6 to 10 inches per year. Vegetation includes shadscale, fourwing saltbush, Mormon tea, blackbrush, Indian ricegrass, galleta, blue grama, and black grama. The soil temperature regime is mesic and the soil moisture regime is typic aridic. This unit occurs within the Colorado Plateau Physiographic Province and is characterized by a sequence of flat to gently dipping sedimentary rocks eroded into plateaus, valleys and deep canyons. Sedimentary rock classes dominate the plateau with volcanic fields occurring for the most part near its margin.

**Table 1. Dominant plant species**

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| Tree       | Not specified  |
| Shrub      | (1) <i>Atriplex obovata</i><br>(2) <i>Atriplex confertifolia</i> |
| Herbaceous | (1) <i>Sporobolus airoides</i><br>(2) <i>Pleuraphis jamesii</i>  |

#### Physiographic features

This site occurs on gently sloping plains, plateaus or fan remnants. Also occurs on summits and footslopes of mesas and buttes, hills and escarpments, and pediments. Slopes generally range from 0 to 15 percent, but can reach up to 25 percent for short distances.

This site occurs in an upland position. It neither benefits from run-in moisture nor sustains excessive runoff unless denuded of its vegetation.

**Table 2. Representative physiographic features**

|                   |   |
|-------------------|---|
| Landforms         | (1) Plain<br>(2) Plateau<br>(3) Fan remnant       |
| Flooding duration | Very brief (4 to 48 hours) to brief (2 to 7 days) |

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Flooding frequency | Very rare to rare                                 |
| Ponding duration   | Very brief (4 to 48 hours) to brief (2 to 7 days) |
| Ponding frequency  | Rare to occasional                                |
| Elevation          | 1,160 – 1,770 m                                   |
| Slope              | 0 – 20 %  |
| Aspect             | Aspect is not a significant factor                |

### Climatic features

Area has a very dry and windy climate that is hot in the summer and cold in the winter. Average annual precipitation is from 6 to 10 inches. Soil moisture regime is typic aridic and the soil temperature regime is mesic. A slight majority of the precipitation arrives during the late fall, winter, and early spring. this winter season moisture originates in the Pacific Ocean and arrives as rain, or sometimes snow, during widespread frontal storms of generally low intensity. The majority of the snow falls from December through February, but rarely lasts more than a few days. The driest period is from late May to early July. Summer rains occur from July through September during brief intense local thunderstorms. The rain is sporadic in intensity and location. Windy conditions are common year round with the strongest most frequently in the spring.

**Table 3 Representative climatic features**

|                               |          |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Frost-free period (average)   | 180 days |
| Freeze-free period (average)  | 210 days |
| Precipitation total (average) | 250 mm   |

### Influencing water features

#### Soil features

Soils in this site are shallow and well drained to the parent material. Highly weathered clayey shale is the parent material. The surface layer is clay, silty clay, or clay loam. The substratum is clay or silty clay. The soils are typically saline/sodic to sodic. Permeability is very slow. Available water capacity is 1 to 3 inches. Salinity is low to high. The water erosion hazard is moderate to severe and the wind erosion hazard is slight. Effective rooting depth is typically shallow into the fractured parent material.

Typical taxonomic units include:

SSA 631 Coconino County Central MU 19 Epikom; SSA 633 Navajo County Central MU's 3 Torriorthents, 13 Claysprings, 59 Shalet; SSA 635 Apache County Central MU's CcC & CDB Claysprings, RO Rough Broken Land, SSA-707 Little Colorado Area MU's 6-Huerfano, 38-Hanksville; SSA 713 Navajo Mt. MU 28-Claysprings; SSA 714 Hopi MU 1 Bacobi. SSA 715 Ft. Defiance MU's 8-Torriorthents, 23 & 24-Claysprings family, 24 & 94-Somorent family.

**Table 4. Representative soil features**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Parent material                          | (1) Alluvium – clayey shale                 |
| Surface texture                          | (1) Clay<br>(2) Silty clay<br>(3) Clay loam |
| Family particle size                     | (1) Clayey                                  |
| Drainage class                           | Well drained                                |
| Permeability class                       | Very slow to moderately rapid               |
| Soil depth                               | 30 – 50 cm                                  |
| Surface fragment cover <=3"              | 10 – 80 %                                   |
| Available water capacity<br>(0-101.6cm)  | 2.54 – 7.62 cm                              |
| Electrical conductivity<br>(0-101.6cm)   | 0 – 20 mmhos/cm                             |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water)<br>(0-101.6cm) | 7.4 – 10                                    |

### Ecological dynamics

An ecological site is not a precise assemblage of species for which the proportions are the same from place to place or from year to year. In all plant communities, variability is apparent in productivity and occurrence of individual species. Spatial boundaries of the communities; however, can be recognized by characteristic patterns of species composition, association, and community structure. The historic climax plant community for this ecological site has been described by sampling relict or relatively undisturbed sites and/or reviewing historic records. The historic climax plant community is the plant community that evolved over time with the soil forming process and long term changes in climatic conditions of the area. It is the plant community that was best adapted to the unique combination of environmental factors associated with the site.

Natural disturbances, such as drought, fire, grazing of native fauna, and insects, are inherent in the development and maintenance of these plant communities. The effects of these disturbances are part of the range of characteristics of the ecological site. Fluctuations in plant community structure and function caused by the effects of natural disturbances help establish the boundaries and characteristics of an ecological site. They are accounted for as part of the range of characteristics of the ecological site. Recognizable plant community phases are identified in the reference state of the ecological site. Some sites may have a small range of variation, while others have a large range. Some plant community phases may exist for long periods of time, while others may only occur for a couple of years after a disturbance.

Deterioration of the plant community, hydrology, or soil site stability on an ecological site can result in crossing a threshold or potentially irreversible boundary to another state, or equilibrium. This can occur as a result of the loss of soil surface through erosion, the loss of the

stability of the site due to disturbances that cause active erosion on the site, increases in the amounts and/or patterns or runoff from rainstorms, changes in availability of surface and subsurface water, significant changes in plant structural and functional types, or the introduction of non-native species. When these thresholds are crossed, the potential of the ecological site to return to the historic climax plant community can be lost, or restoration will require significant inputs. There may be multiple states possible for an ecological site, determined by the type and or severity of disturbance.

The known states and transition pathways for this ecological site are described in the state and transition model. Within each state, there may be one or more known plant community phases. These community phases describe the different plant community that can be recognized and mapped across this ecological site. The state and transition model is intended to help land users recognize the current plant community on the ecological site, and the management options for improving the plant community to the desired plant community.

Plant production information in this site description is standardized to the annual production on an air-dry weight basis in near normal rainfall years.

## State and transition model

Figure 3. Shale Upland 6-10"p.z.

## Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

| Group                  | Common Name         | Symbol | Scientific Name                        | Annual Production () | Foliar Cover (%) |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------|--|----------------------|------------------|
| <b>Grass/Grasslike</b> |                     |        |  |                      |                  |
| 0                      |                     |        |  | 101-118              |                  |
|                        | alkali sacaton      | SPAI   | <i>Sporobolus airoides</i>             | 43-67                | –                |
|                        | James' galleta      | PLJA   | <i>Pleuraphis jamesii</i>              | 26-43                | –                |
|                        | Indian ricegrass    | ACHY   | <i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>          | 0-9                  | –                |
|                        | squirreltail        | ELELE  | <i>Elymus elymoides ssp. elymoides</i> | 0-9                  | –                |
|                        | needle and thread   | HECOC8 | <i>Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata</i> | 0-9                  | –                |
| 1                      |                     |        |  | 0-9                  |                  |
|                        | sixweeks grama      | BOBA2  | <i>Bouteloua barbata</i>               | 0-6                  | –                |
|                        | Madagascar dropseed | SPPY2  | <i>Sporobolus pyramidatus</i>          | 0-3                  | –                |
| <b>Forb</b>            |                     |        |  |                      |                  |
| 2                      |                     |        |  | 9-17                 |                  |
|                        | globemallow         | SPHAE  | <i>Sphaeralcea</i>                     | 3-7                  | –                |
|                        | Forb, annual        | 2FA    | <i>Forb, annual</i>                    | 3-7                  | –                |
|                        | touristplant        | DIWI2  | <i>Dimorphocarpa wislizeni</i>         | 2-3                  | –                |
|                        | nodding buckwheat   | ERCE2  | <i>Eriogonum cernuum</i>               | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | divergent buckwheat | ERDI5  | <i>Eriogonum divaricatum</i>           | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | mealy goosefoot     | CHIN2  | <i>Chenopodium incanum</i>             | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | springparsley       | CYMOP2 | <i>Cymopterus</i>                      | 0-2                  | –                |
| <b>Shrub/Vine</b>      |                     |        |  |                      |                  |
| 3                      |                     |        |  | 17-43                |                  |
|                        | mound saltbush      | ATOB   | <i>Atriplex obovata</i>                | 9-26                 | –                |
|                        | shadscale saltbush  | ATCO   | <i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>          | 9-17                 | –                |
| 4                      |                     |        |  | 0-2                  |                  |
|                        | Subshrub (<.5m)     | 2SUBS  | <i>Subshrub (&lt;.5m)</i>              | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | Whipple cholla      | CYWH   | <i>Cylindropuntia whipplei</i>         | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | Torrey's jointfir   | EPTO   | <i>Ephedra torreyana</i>               | 0-2                  | –                |
|                        | broom snakeweed     | GUSA2  | <i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i>           | 0-2                  | –                |

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production () | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production () | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|

Table 8. Community 2.2 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production () | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|

### Animal community

These are very unproductive sites which require very large acreages to support a livestock operation. Problems of proper stock distribution occur primarily because of the lack of water developments. This site will seldom be used as a key management area for livestock. This site has a fair diversity in the plant community, however it is primarily adapted to grassland wildlife species.

### Recreational uses

The stark bareness of this site provides an interesting scene. Winters are cold. However, moderate spring, summer and fall temperatures make the site attractive to recreationists. Potential activities are cross-country riding, rockhounding, and photography.

### Type locality

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Location 1: Coconino County, AZ |  |
| General legal description       | On the Turquoise Ranch located 8 miles north of Winslow Radio Station. |

### Other references

Updates and revisions for this ESD were conducted as part of a 2007-2012 Interagency Technical Assistance Agreement between the Bureau of Indian Affairs–Navajo Region and the NRCS-Arizona

### Contributors

Jennifer Puttere  
 Larry D. Ellicott  
 Steve Barker

### Rangeland health reference sheet

**Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health** is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Author(s)/participant(s) | Kenneth Gishi   |
| Contact for lead author  | State Rangeland Management, NRCS-Arizona State Office |
| Date                     | 07/02/2012  |

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Approved by                                 |                   |
| Approval date                               |                   |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

**Indicators**

**1. Number and extent of rills:** Somewhat common, especially on steepest slopes. Rills less than 10 feet long due to fine-textured soils and scattered perennial plant cover. Sites armored with coarse fragments (gravels and channers) will have shorter rills and less frequent.

---

**2. Presence of water flow patterns:** Somewhat common throughout site. Water flow patterns may be long with low sinuosity and connected on steeper slopes. On sites armored with coarse fragments will have less evidence of flow patterns, but still common. Water flow patterns will show some signs of deposition.

---

**3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Some long-lived plants may show some slight pedestals of less than a 1/2" on slopes. Terracettes are few.

---

**4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Expected bare ground range 25-50 depending on surface fragments. Well developed, intact biological crust should not be counted as bare ground.

---

**5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None to very few. When site is well vegetated and covered with rock fragments gullies are stable and will only show minor signs of active erosion.

---

**6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Deposition and blowouts by wind are not expected.

---

**7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Litter movement or redistribution by water is common and expected in water flow patterns. Some litter removal in water flow patterns is expected.

---

**8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** The expected average soil stability is 3 or 4. Surface fragments, litter, and vegetation cover aid in reducing erosion.

---

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil surface horizon is 2 to 4 inches deep. Structure is mostly weak thin platy parting to moderate very fine granular structure. See specific soil survey for additional site information.
- 
10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** This site is characterized by a relatively even distribution of perennial grasses with scattered half-shrubs and is well distributed across the site and lends to slowing runoff and allowing for moderate infiltration.
- 
11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None
- 
12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**
- Dominant: Warm season perennial grasses (Alkali sacaton & galleta) > Salt tolerant Shrubs ( Mound saltbush & Shadscale saltbush)
- Sub-dominant: Cool season perennial grasses > other half-shrubs > forbs
- Other:
- Additional:
- 
13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** All plant functional groups are adapted to survival in all but the most severe droughts. Severe winter droughts affect the shrubs the most. Severe summer droughts affect grasses the most.
- 
14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):** Herbaceous litter is not persistent on the site.
- 
15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** The expected annual total production in an average year is 125 – 175 lbs/ac.
- 
16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Mound saltbush, annual buckwheats, scorpionweed and whitestem blazingstar are native to the site but may have the potential to increase with continued disturbance. Cheatgrass, annual wheatgrass, and Russian thistle are non-native annuals that have the potential to invade the site with or without disturbance.
-

**17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All plants native to the site are adapted to the climate and are capable of producing seeds, stolons, and/or rhizomes during the most severe droughts.

---