

Ecological site R034AY338WY
Saline Lowland
High Plains Southeast (SL)

Accessed: 08/20/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on gently sloping land along perennial or intermittent streams. Slopes are mostly from 0 to 5%.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial fan (2) Drainageway (3) Stream terrace
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,680 – 2,290 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Ponding depth	0 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 10-14 inches per year. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. This is predominantly due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Extreme storms may occur during the winter, but most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring.

Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 50 mph.

Growth of native cool season plants begins about April 15 and continues to about June 15. Some green up of cool season plants usually occurs in September.

The following information is from the "Laramie" climate station:

Minimum Maximum 5 yrs. out of 10 between

Frost-free period (days): 57 149 June 1 – September 16

Freeze-free period (days): 94 183 May 15 – September 28

Annual Precipitation (inches): 5.8 17.34

Mean annual precipitation: 11.53 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 42.2 F (30.4 F Avg. Min. to 53.9 F Avg. Max.)

For detailed information visit the Natural Resources Conservation Service National Water and Climate Center at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/> website. Other climate station(s) representative of this precipitation zone include "Dixon " and "Medicine Bow".

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	150 days
Freeze-free period (average)	180 days
Precipitation total (average)	360 mm

Influencing water features

Stream type: None

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep, loamy soils with high salinity. The depth to a seasonal high water table ranges from about 2 feet to more than 4 feet and is beneficial to the woody plants but not to the majority of the forbs or grasses. These soils may occasionally receive overflow water.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Sandy loam (2) Fine sandy loam (3) Loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Somewhat poorly drained to well drained

Permeability class	Slow to moderately slow
Soil depth	50 – 150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	5.08 – 7.62 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	8.8 – 9.6
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 20 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %

Ecological dynamics

As this site deteriorates from improper grazing management, species such as greasewood increase and annuals invade. Grasses such as alkali sacaton and basin wildrye will decrease in frequency and production.

The Historic Climax Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community narratives following the diagram.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				504-706	
	alkali sacaton	SPAI	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	504-706	–
2				202-404	
	basin wildrye	LECI4	<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	202-404	–
3				101-303	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	101-303	–
4				101-202	
	saltgrass	DISP	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	101-202	–
5				101-202	
	Nuttall's alkaligrass	PUNU2	<i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i>	101-202	–
6				101-202	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-101	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	0-101	–
	threadleaf sedge	CAFI	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	0-101	–
	mat muhly	MURI	<i>Muhlenbergia richardsonis</i>	0-101	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-101	–
Forb					
7				101-202	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-101	–
	milkvetch	ASTRA	<i>Astragalus</i>	0-101	–
Shrub/Vine					
8				202-404	
	greasewood	SAVE4	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	202-404	–
9				101-202	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (>.5m)</i>	0-101	–
	birdfoot sagebrush	ARPE6	<i>Artemisia pedatifida</i>	0-101	–
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	0-101	–
	Gardner's saltbush	ATGA	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	0-101	–
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNA10	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>	0-101	–
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	0-101	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Alkali Sacaton/Greasewood Plant Community (HCPC): The high degree of plant species and structural diversity, proximity to areas with water at or near the soil surface, and woody plants in this community favors a large variety of wildlife. Greasewood provides suitable thermal and escape cover for mule deer and antelope. When found adjacent to sagebrush dominated sites, this plant community may provide brood rearing/foraging areas for sage grouse. This community provides habitat for a wide array of small mammals such as jackrabbits, cottontail rabbits, mice, and voles so diverse prey populations are available for badgers, fox, coyotes, and raptors such as red-tail and Swainson's hawks. Birds such as western kingbird, western meadowlark, lark bunting, and grasshopper sparrow will utilize this community for nesting and foraging. Greasewood/Inland Saltgrass Plant Community: This plant community may be useful for the same large grazers that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the plant community composition is less diverse, and thus, less apt to meet the seasonal needs of these animals. Heavy Greasewood Plant Community: This plant community exhibits a low level of plant species. In most cases it is not a desirable plant community to select as a wildlife habitat management objective. **Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations** The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates must be reduced to maintain plant health and vigor. Plant Community Production Carrying Capacity* (lb./ac) (AUM/ac) Alkali Sacaton/Greasewood (HCPC) 1200-2500 .5 Greasewood/Inland Saltgrass 400-1700 .3 Heavy Greasewood 200-1000 .1 * - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Salinity/Alkalinity is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic groups B and C, with localized areas in hydrologic group D. Infiltration ranges from moderate to rapid. Runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75% ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. Areas where ground cover is less than 50% have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information). Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable if at all present. Pedestals may be present in association with bunchgrasses. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are often present.

Recreational uses

This site provides limited hunting opportunities.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Other products

None noted.

Inventory data references

Inventory Data References (narrative) Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Other sources used as references include: USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties. Inventory Data References Data Source Number of Records Sample Period State County SCS-RANGE-417 69 1967-1988 WY Carbon & others

Contributors

B. Brazee

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be

verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	05/01/2005
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills: Rills should not be present

2. Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Essentially non-existent

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
Bare ground is 10-20% occurring in small areas throughout site

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Little to no plant litter movement. Plant litter remains in place and is not moved by erosional forces.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):
Plant cover and litter is at 80% or greater of soil surface and maintains soil surface integrity. Soil Stability class is anticipated to be 4 or

greater.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Use Soil Series description for depth and color of A-horizon
-

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Grass canopy and basal cover should reduce raindrop impact and slow overland flow providing increased time for infiltration to occur. Healthy deep rooted native grasses enhance infiltration and reduce runoff. Infiltration is Moderate.
-

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer or soil surface crusting should be present.
-

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional: Mid stature Grasses > Shrubs > Short stature Grasses > Forbs

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very Low
-

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Average litter cover is 30-40% with depths of 0.25 to 1.0 inches
-

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 1800 lbs/ac
-

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Cheatgrass and other annuals, Greasewood, Inland saltgrass and Species found on Noxious Weed List
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing
-