

Ecological site R034AY138WY

Saline Lowland

Green River and Great Divide Basins (SL)

Last updated: 2/24/2025
Accessed: 08/20/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R034AY140WY	Saline Lowland Drained Green River and Great Divide Basins (SLDr) Saline Lowland, drained
R034AY142WY	Saline Subirrigated Green River and Great Divide Basins (SS) Saline Subirrigated
R034AY174WY	Subirrigated Green River and Great Divide Basins (Sb) Subirrigated
R034AY178WY	Wetland Green River and Great Divide Basins (WL) Wetland

Similar sites

R034AY238WY	Saline Lowland Foothills and Basins West (SL) Saline Lowland (SL) 10-14W has higher production.
R034AY140WY	Saline Lowland Drained Green River and Great Divide Basins (SLDr) Saline Lowland, drained (SLdr) 7-9GR has lost its water table and Gardner's saltbush is present.
R034AY142WY	Saline Subirrigated Green River and Great Divide Basins (SS) Saline Subirrigated (SS) 7-9GR has a higher water table and greasewood is sparse or lacking.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
------	---------------

Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on gently sloping land along perennial or intermittent streams. Slopes vary from 0 to 10%, but are mostly from 0 to 5%.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Alluvial fan (2) Drainageway (3) Stream terrace
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,830 – 2,200 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Ponding depth	0 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 7-9 inches per year. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. This is predominantly due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Extreme storms may occur during the winter, but most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring.

Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 50 mph.

Growth of native cool season plants begins about April 15 and continues to about July 15. Some green up of cool season plants may occur in September if moisture is available.

For detailed information visit the Natural Resources Conservation Service National Water and Climate Center at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/cgibin/state.pl?state=wy> website. Other climate stations representative of this precipitation zone include “Bitter Creek”, “Farson”, “Rock Springs FAA AP”, and “Wamsutter” in Sweetwater County; “Church Buttes Gas PLT”, and Mountain View” in Uinta County; “Fontenelle”, “La Barge”, and “Sage 4 NNW” in Lincoln County; and “Big Piney” in Sublette County.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	120 days
-----------------------------	----------

Freeze-free period (average)	130 days
Precipitation total (average)	230 mm

Influencing water features

There are no water features associated with this site.

Soil features

The soils of this site are moderately to strongly saline and/or alkaline, are deep to very deep and most commonly occur on stream terraces. The depth to a seasonal high water table ranges from about 2 feet to more than 4 feet and is beneficial to the woody plants but not to the majority of the forbs or grasses. These soils may occasionally receive overflow water.

Major Soil Series correlated to this site include: Littlebear, Mishak, Chrisman and phases of the Corlett and Dines series.

Other Soil Series correlated in MLRA 34A to this site include: Some phases of the Hooper series.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Silty clay loam (2) Silty clay (3) Loamy fine sand
Drainage class	Moderately well drained to well drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderate
Soil depth	40 – 150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	Not specified
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	3.81 – 7.62 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	20 – 30 mmhos/cm

Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	10 – 20
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	8.4 – 9.6
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

As this site deteriorates from improper grazing management, species such as greasewood increase and annuals invade. Grasses such as alkali sacaton and basin wildrye will decrease in frequency and production.

These plant communities narratives may not represent every possibility, but they probably are the most prevalent and repeatable plant communities. The plant composition tables shown above have been developed from the best available knowledge at the time of this revision. As more data is collected, some of these plant communities may be revised or removed, and new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities”. According to the USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, Desired Plant Communities (DPC’s) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including any description of a plant community here is to capture the current knowledge and experience at the time of this revision.

The Reference Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community narratives following the diagram.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				202-404	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	202-404	–
2				135-336	
	alkali sacaton	SPAI	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	135-336	–
3				67-202	
	basin wildrye	LECI4	<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	67-202	–
4				67-202	
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	67-202	–
5				135-336	

	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-67	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	0-67	–
	inland sedge	CAIN11	<i>Carex interior</i>	0-67	–
	saltgrass	DISP	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	0-67	–
	scratchgrass	MUAS	<i>Muhlenbergia asperifolia</i>	0-67	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-67	–
	Nuttall's alkaligrass	PUNU2	<i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i>	0-67	–
Forb					
6				67-135	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-67	–
	milkvetch	ASTRA	<i>Astragalus</i>	0-67	–
	povertyweed	MONOL	<i>Monolepis</i>	0-67	–
	spiny phlox	PHHO	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	0-67	–
	woodyaster	XYLOR	<i>Xylorhiza</i>	0-67	–
Shrub/Vine					
7				135-269	
	greasewood	SAVE4	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	135-269	–
8				67-269	
	little sagebrush	ARARL	<i>Artemisia arbuscula ssp. longiloba</i>	0-67	–
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	0-67	–
	shadscale saltbush	ATCO	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	0-67	–
	Gardner's saltbush	ATGA	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	0-67	–
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNA10	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>	0-67	–
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	0-67	–
	skunkbush sumac	RHTR	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	0-67	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Alkali Sacaton/Greasewood Plant Community (HCPC): The high degree of plant species and structural diversity, proximity to areas with water at or near the soil surface, and woody plants in this community favors a large variety of wildlife. Greasewood provides suitable thermal and escape cover for mule deer and antelope. When found adjacent to sagebrush dominated sites, this plant community may provide brood rearing/foraging areas for sage grouse. This community provides habitat for a wide array of small mammals such as jackrabbits, cottontail rabbits, mice, and voles so diverse prey populations are available for badgers, fox, coyotes, and raptors such as red-tail and Swainson's hawks. Birds such as western kingbird, western meadowlark, lark bunting, and grasshopper sparrow will utilize this community for nesting and foraging. Greasewood/Inland Saltgrass Plant Community: This plant community may be useful for the same large grazers that would use the Historic Climax Plant Community. However, the plant community composition is less diverse, and thus, less apt to meet the seasonal needs of these animals. Heavy Greasewood Plant Community: This plant community exhibits a low level of plant species. In most cases it is not a desirable plant community to select as a wildlife habitat management objective. Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. Plant Community Production (lb./ac) and Carrying Capacity* (AUM/ac) Alkali Sacaton/Greasewood (HCPC) 800-2000 lb./ac and .3 AUM/ac Greasewood/Inland Saltgrass 200-1400 lb./ac and .12 AUM/ac Heavy Greasewood 100-800 lb./ac and .07 AUM/ac * - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under

average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Salinity/Alkalinity is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic groups B and C, with localized areas in hydrologic group D. Infiltration ranges from moderate to rapid. Runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75% ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. Areas where ground cover is less than 50% have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information). Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable if at all present. Pedestals may be present in association with bunchgrasses. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are often present.

Recreational uses

This site provides limited hunting opportunities.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Other products

None noted.

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Bill Christensen, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; Karen Clause, Range Management Specialist, NRCS; and Everet Bainter, Range Management Specialist, NRCS. Other sources used as references include: USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties.

Contributors

Karen Clause

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 2/24/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	K. Clause, J. Haverkamp, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	karen.clause@wy.usda.gov or 307-367-2257
Date	03/16/2007

Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills: Rare to nonexistent.

2. Presence of water flow patterns: Barely observable.

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Rare to nonexistent.

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare ground can range from 5-30%.

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Active gullies should not be present.

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Minimal to nonexistent.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous litter not expected to move.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 2 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 3.5 or greater.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Typically an A-horizon of 3-9 inches (7-23 cm) with weak to medium platy or sometimes granular structure and color hues of 10YR or 2.5Y, values of 6-8, and chromas of 2-4. Sometimes a shallow E-horizon of 2 inches (6 cm) with platy structure will replace the A-horizon. Organic matter typically ranges from .5 to 1%.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant community consists of 50-70% grasses, 10% forbs, and 20-40% shrubs. Dense plant canopy (70-90%) and litter plus moderate infiltration rates result in minimal to nonexistent runoff. Basal cover is typically 5-10% for this site and does

effectively reduce runoff on this site.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer exists.
-

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional: perennial shrubs>cool season rhizomatous grasses>warm season bunchgrasses>mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses=tall, cool season bunchgrasses>perennial forbs=warm season rhizomatous grasses

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component.
-

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 10-30% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 70-95% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 10-25 mm. Woody litter can be up to a couple inches (4-6 cm).
-

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): English: 800-2000 lb/ac (1200 lb/ac average); Metric: 896-2240 kg/ha (1344 kg/ha average).
-

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 40% is the most common indicator of a threshold being crossed. Greasewood, inland saltgrass, and alkali bluegrass are common increasers. Annual weeds such as halogeton, kochia, and Russian thistle are common invasive species in disturbed sites.
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.
-