

Ecological site DX034A02X154

Shale

Pinedale Plateau

(Sh PP)

Last updated: 2/19/2025
Accessed: 08/09/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 034A–Cool Central Desertic Basins and Plateaus

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 34A, Cool Central Desertic Basins and Plateaus, consists of approximately 21 million acres in Wyoming, Colorado and Utah, it consists of 10 Land Resource Units (LRU). These units are divisions of the MLRA based on geology, landscape, common soils, water resources and plant community potentials. The elevation ranges from approximately 5600 feet (1700 m) along the Green River in UT and CO to approximately 9500 feet (2900 m) near Jeffrey City, WY. Annual precipitation ranges from 7 to 16 inches (177 to 406 mm), with the driest areas in the Green River and Great Divide Basins and the wettest areas in northern Carbon County, Southeast Fremont County and Albany County. There is a seasonal weather pattern that trends west to east, with more winter precipitation in the west and more spring/summer in the east, illustrated by diminishing amounts of Big Sagebrush in the eastern part of the MLRA.

LRU notes

The Pinedale Plateau LRU is in the upper Green River Drainage from Pinedale, Wyoming at the north working southward to Farson, Wyoming and easterly to South Pass, Wyoming. It is situated between the Wyoming Range and Wind River Range largely in Sublette County with some areas in Lincoln County, northern Sweetwater County, and a small portion of Fremont County. The total area of this LRU is approximately 1,210,000 acres. It shares a boundary with MLRA 46-Northern Rocky Mountain Foothills (proposed for the foothills of western Wyoming). This LRU is dominated by the New Fork Tongue of the Wasatch formation, a large artesian aquifer that is estimated to hold large amounts of water with relatively quick recharge (Martin 1996). It is also home to the Lance Formation, a cretaceous strata that is part of the Mesaverde Group, which holds large amounts of hydrocarbons, giving way to one of the largest on shore natural gas fields (Jonah Field) (Bowker et al 2000). The soils in the Pinedale Plateau are dominated by older Alfisols with thick argillic and calcic horizons and younger deep alluvial soils along drainage ways and in river bottoms. Salts are not a major influence in the Pinedale Plateau compared to the adjacent Green River Basin LRU but do occur, including sodium, calcium carbonate, and other soluble salts. Soils are tied closely to their parent geology but are more developed and older so typically do not have bedrock contact within six feet. This LRU has an aridic ustic soil moisture regime and frigid (bordering on cryic) soil temperature regime. The precipitation pattern is bimodal with a slight spikes in the spring and fall. Winter temperatures are cold allowing snow to accumulate and stay until spring. This lends perfectly to cool season grasses and forbs to flourish, also allowing big sagebrush to establish and dominate the landscape. The mean annual soil temperatures are between 36 to 40 degrees Fahrenheit (2.2 to 4.4 degrees Celsius) and average precipitation is between 9 and 12 inches (230 to 305 mm) annually. Elevations of this LRU range between 6500 and 7500 feet (1980 to 2280 m).

Classification relationships

Relationship to Other Established Classification Systems National Vegetation Classification System (NVC): 3 Desert & Semi-Desert Class 3.B Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland Subclass 3.B.1 Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland Formation 3.B.1.Ne Western North American Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland Division M093 Great Basin Saltbush Scrub Macrogroup G301 Intermountain Dwarf Saltbush - Sagebrush Scrub Group A1110 Gardner's Saltbush Low Scrub Alliance CEG001444 Atriplex gardneri / Achnatherum hymenoides Dwarf-shrubland Ecoregions (EPA): Level I: 10 North American Deserts Level II: 10.1 Cold Deserts Level III: 10.1.4 Wyoming Basin

Ecological site concept

This site not does receive any additional water. Soils: o are saline or saline-sodic o are very shallow (less than 10 inches deep) to shale bedrock o are not violently effervescent in the surface mineral layer (within top 10 inches; 25 cm) o have surface textures that usually range from clay loam to clay in surface mineral layer (4 inches; 10 cm) o have slopes less than 15 percent o have clay content in the subsurface greater than 35% and a natric horizon is present. Climate: aridic ustic moisture regime (ustic bordering on aridic) frigid (bordering on cryic) temperature regime

Associated sites

DX034A02X122	Loamy Pinedale Plateau (Ly PP) Soils are deeper (moderately deep to deep) with lower salt content (EC and SAR) and soil surface textures have less clay. Loamy sites have higher plant production potential.
DX034A02X104	Clayey Pinedale Plateau (Cy PP) Moderately deep to deep soil with lower salt content (EC and SAR) with different species composition and higher plant production potential.
DX034A02X144	Saline Upland Pinedale Plateau (SU PP) Moderately deep to deep soil with similar salt content (EC and SAR) and higher plant production potential.

Similar sites

DX034A02X104	Clayey Pinedale Plateau (Cy PP) Moderately deep to deep soil with lower salt content (EC and SAR) with different species composition and higher plant production potential.
R034AY254WY	Shale Foothills and Basins West (Sh) Previous version of this site correlated in this LRU, applied to a larger geographic area.
DX034A02X144	Saline Upland Pinedale Plateau (SU PP) Moderately deep to deep soil with similar salt content (EC and SAR) and higher plant production potential.
R034AY154WY	Shale Green River and Great Divide Basins (Sh) Similar site with drier climate and lower plant production potential found in the adjacent Green River Basin LRU.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Atriplex gardneri</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i> (2) <i>Elymus elymoides</i>

Legacy ID

R034AC154WY

Physiographic features

This site occurs in intermontane basin landscapes on hillslope, ridge, and landforms (see following definitions). The slopes range from 30 to 55 percent, but could occur on sites less than 30 percent. This site occurs on all aspects.

Landscape Definitions:

intermontane basin—A generic term for wide structural depressions between mountain ranges that are partly filled with alluvium and called "valleys" in the vernacular.

Landform Definitions:

hillslope -- A generic term for the steeper part of a hill between its summit and the drainage line, valley flat, or depression floor at the base of the hill.

ridge -- A long, narrow elevation of the land surface, usually sharp crested with steep sides and forming an extended upland between valleys. The term is used in areas of both hill and mountain relief.

knoll -- A small, low, rounded hill rising above adjacent landforms.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Intermontane basin > Hillslope (2) Ridge (3) Knoll
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,980 – 2,290 m
Slope	30 – 60 %
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 9 to 12 inches per year. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more below average years than those with above average precipitation. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums. This is predominantly due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Much of the precipitation accumulation (45 percent) comes in the winter in the form of snow (October to April). The wettest month is May (1.69 inches). The dominant plants (sagebrush and cool season grasses) are well adapted to these conditions. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 50 miles per hour. The growing season is short (less than 60 day) and cool. Critical growth period: primary growth typically occurs between May and June. Growth of native cool-season plants begins in April and continues to approximately early August. Some green-up of cool-season plants usually occurs in September with adequate fall moisture.

All data is based on the 30-year average from 1981-2010.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	30-70 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	50-80 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	230-310 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	20-70 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	50-90 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	230-330 mm
Frost-free period (average)	40 days
Freeze-free period (average)	60 days
Precipitation total (average)	280 mm

- (1) CORA [USC00482054], Cora, WY
- (2) PINEDALE [USC00487260], Pinedale, WY
- (3) BOULDER REARING STN [USC00480951], Boulder, WY

Influencing water features

There are no influencing water features.

Wetland description

N/A

Soil features

The soils of this site are very shallow to shallow (less than 20 inches deep) to paralithic shale bedrock with occasional outcropping shale bedrock at the soil surface. Soils are well drained with high amounts of clay and salt concentration in the subsoil, resulting in halophytic vegetation. Some soil cracking (not severe) may occur during the dry summer months, especially where the plant cover has been reduced. Water-holding capacity is low due to depth of soil, and intake is restricted by slow permeability which reduces the effectiveness of precipitation.

The soil moisture regime is aridic ustic (ustic bordering on aridic) and the soil temperature regime is frigid bordering on cryic.

Major Soil Series correlated to this site include: Volborg

Representative soil taxonomy:

Clayey, smectitic, acid, frigid, shallow Aridic Ustorthents

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum – shale
-----------------	----------------------

Surface texture	(1) Clay loam (2) Silty clay loam
Family particle size	(1) Clayey
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Slow
Depth to restrictive layer	10 – 50 cm
Soil depth	10 – 50 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	Not specified
Available water capacity (0-50.8cm)	2.54 – 8.89 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-25.4cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-25.4cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-25.4cm)	10
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-25.4cm)	10 – 8.6
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-25.4cm)	0 – 10 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-25.4cm)	0 – 10 %
--	----------

Ecological dynamics

A State-and-Transition Model (STM) diagram is depicted in this section. Narrative descriptions of each state, transition, plant community phase, and pathway are found after the model in this document. This diagram is based on available experimental research, field observations, professional consensus, logical extrapolations, and interpretations. While based on the best available information, the STM will change over time as knowledge of ecological processes increases. Although there is considerable qualitative experience supporting the pathways and transitions within the State-and-Transition Model, no quantitative information exists that specifically identifies threshold parameters between reference states and degraded states in this ecological site. For information on STMs, see the following citations: Bestelmeyer et.al. 2003, Bestelmeyer et.al. 2004, Bestelmeyer and Brown 2005, Briske et.al. 2008, and Stringham et.al. 2003.

Plant community composition within the same ecological site has a natural range of variability across the LRU due to the naturally occurring variability in weather, soils, and aspect. The biological processes on this site are complex; therefore, representative values are presented in a land management context. The species lists are representative and are not botanical descriptions of all species occurring, or potentially occurring, on this site. They are not intended to cover every situation or the full range of conditions, species, and responses for the site.

Both percent species composition by weight and percent cover are used in this ESD. Most observers find it easier to visualize or estimate percent cover for woody species (trees and shrubs). Foliar cover is used to define plant community phases and states in the State-and-Transition Model. Cover drives the transitions between communities and states because of the influence of shade and interception of rainfall. Species composition by dry weight remains an important descriptor of the herbaceous community and of site productivity as a whole and includes both herbaceous and woody species. Calculating Similarity Index requires data on species composition by dry weight.

Not all managers will choose the Reference Plant Community as the management goal. Other plant communities may be desired to meet land management objectives. This is valid as long as the rangeland health attributes assessment departures are none to slight or slight to moderate from the Reference State as described in the Range Health Reference sheet.

A resource concern risk assessment and dominant resource concerns are provided for each Land Use, State, and Plant Community Phase based on NRCS resource concern and planning criteria used to determine resource treatment levels during the conservation planning process. A resource concern is a resource condition that does not meet the minimum accepted levels established by planning criteria as shown in Section III of the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (<https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/#/>).

- Low risk means a low probability for the category of resource concerns and additional assessment is typically not necessary.
- Medium risk means that the category of resource concerns could occur, and additional assessment is recommended if the identified resource is a client concern and/or objective.
- High risk means that a resource concern in that category is likely to occur.

The resource categories are: S (soil), W (water), A (air), P (plant), A (animal), E (energy), and H (human). The dominant resource concerns further refine the resource category to a specific resource concern within that category.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Perennial Mid-Size Cool Season Bunchgrasses			17-43	
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	15-43	5-10
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	0-28	0-5
2	Rhizomatous Wheatgrasses			39-84	
	thickspike wheatgrass	ELLAL	<i>Elymus lanceolatus ssp. lanceolatus</i>	15-84	5-20
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	0-56	0-20
3	Miscellaneous Grasses/Grasslikes			6-15	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	3-15	1-5
	Nuttall's alkaligrass	PUNU2	<i>Puccinellia nuttalliana</i>	0-15	0-5
	alkali sacaton	SPAI	<i>Sporobolus airoides</i>	0-15	0-5

	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-15	0-5
Forb					
4	Perennial Forbs			11-26	
	buckwheat	ERIOG	<i>Eriogonum</i>	3-15	1-5
	spiny phlox	PHHO	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	3-15	1-5
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	0-9	0-3
	princesplume	STANL	<i>Stanleya</i>	0-9	0-3
	stemless mock goldenweed	STAC	<i>Stenotus acaulis</i>	0-9	0-3
	thrift mock goldenweed	STAR10	<i>Stenotus armerioides</i>	0-9	0-3
	milkvetch	ASTRA	<i>Astragalus</i>	0-9	0-3
	hoary tansyaster	MACA2	<i>Machaeranthera canescens</i>	0-9	0-3
	locoweed	OXYTR	<i>Oxytropis</i>	0-9	0-3
	beardtongue	PENST	<i>Penstemon</i>	0-9	0-3
	fleabane	ERIGE2	<i>Erigeron</i>	0-9	0-3
	evening primrose	OENOT	<i>Oenothera</i>	0-3	0-1
	Douglas' dustymaiden	CHDO	<i>Chaenactis douglasii</i>	0-3	0-1
	pale bastard toadflax	COUMP	<i>Comandra umbellata ssp. pallida</i>	0-3	0-1
	ballhead ipomopsis	IPCO5	<i>Ipomopsis congesta</i>	0-3	0-1
	desertparsley	LOMAT	<i>Lomatium</i>	0-3	0-1
	onion	ALLIU	<i>Allium</i>	0-3	0-1
	rockcress	ARABI2	<i>Arabis</i>	0-3	0-1
	sandwort	ARENA	<i>Arenaria</i>	0-3	0-1
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-3	0-1
	twinpod	PHYSA2	<i>Physaria</i>	0-3	0-1
5	Annual Forbs			0-3	
	cryptantha	CRYPT	<i>Cryptantha</i>	0-3	0-1
	flatspine stickseed	LAOC3	<i>Lappula occidentalis</i>	0-3	0-1
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	0-3	0-1
Shrub/Vine					
6	Miscellaneous Shrubs			50-112	
	Gardner's saltbush	ATGA	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	28-99	10-20
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	3-28	1-5
	green molly	BAAM4	<i>Bassia americana</i>	0-15	0-5
	greasewood	SAVE4	<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>	0-9	0-3
	spineless horsebrush	TECA2	<i>Tetradymia canescens</i>	0-3	0-1
	Nuttall's horsebrush	TENU2	<i>Tetradymia nuttallii</i>	0-3	0-1
	shortspine horsebrush	TESP2	<i>Tetradymia spinosa</i>	0-3	0-1
	Subshrub (<.5m)	2SUBS	<i>Subshrub (<.5m)</i>	0-3	0-1
	bud sagebrush	PIDE4	<i>Picrothamnus desertorum</i>	0-3	0-1

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

The following table lists initial suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions with a harvest efficiency (HE) of 25 percent. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community

described in this ecological site description. A field visit is required to document actual plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates, considering forage preference and accessibility (slope, distance to water, etc.), should be calculated using this information, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies (up to 35 percent) can result in an increased carrying capacity, but recovery time for upland sites is much longer. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates should be reduced or facilitating conservation practices (i.e., cross-fencing, water development) implemented to maintain plant health and vigor. Stocking rates are expressed in Animal Unit Months (AUMs) which is defined as the amount of forage consumed by a 1,000 pound cow with a less than 4 month old calf at her side. Plant Community - Production (lb./ac Low-RV-High) - AUMS/ac - ac/AUM 1.1 Gardner's saltbush/Rhizomatous wheatgrass 150-250-350 0.02 50 2.1 Mat Forb/Bare Ground 25-125-225 0 0 * Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions Calculation for stocking rates are as follows: Using Representative (RV) values for production, take forage palatable to grazing cattle and multiply by 0.25 Harvest Efficiency (HE) and divide by 912.5 pounds per AUM air-dry weight (ADW) to arrive at the initial suggested stocking rate in AUMs per acre. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide year-long forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock must be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements. Distance to water, shrub density, and slope can affect grazing capacity within a management unit. Accessibility adjustments should be made for the planning area as necessary. For example, 30 percent of a management unit may have 25 percent slopes and distances of greater than one mile from water, resulting in a 50 percent reduction in grazing access; therefore, the adjustment is calculated for 30 percent of the unit (i.e. 50 percent reduction on 30 percent of the management unit). Fencing, slope length, management, access, terrain, kind and class of livestock, and breeds are all factors that can increase or decrease the percent of grazing access within a management unit. Adjustments should be made that incorporate these factors when calculating the carrying capacity of a management unit. Wildlife: Reference State: 1.1 Gardner's Saltbush/Rhizomatous Wheatgrass: This community is variable in its value to wildlife. Value is low for species dependent on a greater mixture of shrubs, mid-size cool season bunchgrasses and perennial forbs, however, Gardner's saltbush and winterfat are preferred browse species for mule deer and pronghorn which can be found foraging on these sites. The area also provides limited foraging opportunities for shrub steppe generalists, but lacks structure to provide adequate cover. Eroded State: 2.1 Mat Forb/Bareground: This community phase is highly variable in its value to wildlife. It typically is less diverse, has lower forage value and has limited to no structure that wildlife need for cover. This state is vulnerable to repeated disturbance which can result in a complete loss of value for wildlife. In addition, sites in this state are more susceptible to invasion of non-native species, further degrading the value for wildlife.

Hydrological functions

Water and usually salinity/alkalinity are the principal factors limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group D. Infiltration ranges from slow to very slow. Runoff potential for this site varies from high to very high depending on ground cover. Areas where ground cover is less than 50 percent have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information). Rills and gullies may be present. Water flow patterns may be present but should be barely distinguishable. Pedestals are only slightly present in association with bunchgrasses such as Indian ricegrass. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are sometimes present. Cryptogamic crusts are present, but only cover one to two percent of the soil surface.

Recreational uses

This site provides opportunities such as prairie dog hunting and Off-Road-Vehicle (ORV) recreational use.

Wood products

None

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from historic and recent clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Inventory Data Resources include: 1 Bureau of Land Management Assessment, Inventory, and Monitoring (BLM-AIM) point (2018) 2 Soil Survey-ESI points (2009)

References

. 2021 (Date accessed). **USDA PLANTS Database**. <http://plants.usda.gov>.

. 2021 (Date accessed). **USNVC [United States National Vegetation Classification]**. 2019. **United States National Vegetation Classification Database, V2.03**. Federal Geographic Data Committee, Vegetation Subcommittee, Washington DC.. USNVC: <http://usnvc.org/>.

- Aikens, E.O., M.J. Kauffman, J.A. Merkle, Dwinnell, G.A. Fralick, and K.L. Monteith. 2017. The greenscape shapes surfing of resource waves in a large migratory herbivor.. *Ecology Letters* 20:741–750.
- Bestelmeyer, B., J.R. Brown, K.M. Havstad, B. Alexander, G. Chavez, and J.E. Herrick. 2003. Development and Use of State and Transition Models for Rangelands. *Jornal of Range Management* 56:114–126.
- Bestelmeyer, B., J.R. Brown, J.E. Herrick, D.A. Trujillo, and K.M. Havstad. 2004. Land Management in the American Southwest: a state-and-transition approach to ecosystem complexity. *Environmental Management* 34:38–51.
- Bestelmeyer, B. and J. Brown. 2005. State-and-Transition Models 101: A Fresh look at vegetation change.
- Bestelmeyer, B.T., K. Moseley, P.L. Shaver, H. Sanchez, D.D. Briske, and M.E. Fernandez-Gimenez. 2010. **Practical guidance for developing state-and-transition models**. *Rangelands* 32:23–30.
- Bowker, K.A. 2000. Jonah Field: A Shallow Sweetspot in the Basin-Centered Gas Accumulation of the Northern Green River Basin, Wyoming..
- Briske, D.D., B.T. Bestelmeyer, T.K. Stringham, and P.L. Shaver. 2008. **Recommendations for Development of Resilience-Based State-and-Transition Models**. *Rangeland Ecology & Management* 61:359–367.
- Chambers, J.C., J.L. Beck, T.J. Christiansen, K.J. Clause, J.B. Dinkins, K.E. Doherty, K.A. Griffin, D.W. Havlina, K.F. Henke, L.L. Kurth, J.D. Maestas, M. Manning, K.E. Mayer, B.A. Meador, C. McCarthy, M.A. Perea, and D.A. Pyke. 2016. Using resilience and resistance concepts to manage threats to sagebrush ecosystems, Gunnison sage-grouse, and Greater sage-grouse in their eastern range: A strategic multi-scale approach.. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-356.. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort Collins, CO. 1–143.
- Martin, J.L. 1996. Geohydrology of Tertiary Rocks in the Green River Structural Basin in Wyoming, Utah, and Colorado.. Water-Resources Investigations Report 92-4164. US Geological Survey.
- Miller, J.F., R.H. Frederick, and R.J. Tracey. 1973. **“Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States” NOAA Atlas 2, Volume 2 (Wyoming)**. National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland.
- Schoeneberger, P.J. and D.A. Wysocki. 2017. **Geomorphic Description System, Version 5.0.**
- Stringham, T.K., W.C. Kreuger, and P.L. Shaver. 2003. State and Transition Modeling: an ecological process approach. *Journal of Range Management* 56:106–113.
- U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2010. Level III and IV ecoregions of the continental United States. U.S. EPA, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon, U.S..

Other references

Site concept, plant community data, and interpretations are based on ecological site descriptions (ESDs) from MLRA 34A-Foothills and Basins West (10-14W).
 This ESD replaces R034AY254WY Shale MLRA 34A-Foothills and Basins West (Sh 10-14W), but only within geographic extent of the Pinedale Plateau LRU.
 Further data collection and ecological site refinement are ongoing until the ESD has reached "Approved" status.

Contributors

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 2/19/2025

Acknowledgments

Sublette County Conservation District Wyoming Game and Fish Department

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	02/18/2025
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future

establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
