

Ecological site R032XY376WY

Very Shallow (VS)

10-14" East Precipitation Zone

Accessed: 08/16/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Associated sites

R032XY362WY	Shallow Loamy (SwLy) 10-14" East Precipitation Zone
R032XY366WY	Shallow Sandy (SwSy) 10-14" East Precipitation Zone

Similar sites

R032XY176WY	Very Shallow (VS) 5-9" Big Horn Basin Precipitation Zone
R032XY276WY	Very Shallow (VS) 5-9" Wind River Basin Precipitation Zone

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs on steep slopes and ridge tops, but may occur on all slopes.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Hill (2) Ridge (3) Escarpment
-----------	---

Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,650 – 2,290 m
Slope	0 – 60 %
Ponding depth	0 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual precipitation ranges from 10-14 inches per year. The normal precipitation pattern shows the least amount of precipitation in December, January, and February, increasing to a peak during the latter part of May. Amounts decrease through June, July, and August and then increase some in September. Much of the moisture that falls in the latter part of the summer is lost by evaporation and much of the moisture that falls during the winter is lost by sublimation. Average snowfall exceeds 20 inches annually. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation.

Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums, due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks from Canada in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Chinook winds may occur in winter and bring rapid rises in temperature. Extreme storms may occur during the winter, but most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring.

Winds are generally not strong as compared to the rest of the state. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime and occasional strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts to more than 75 mph.

Growth of native cool-season plants begins about April 15 and continues to about July 15. Cool weather and moisture in September may produce some green up of cool season plants that will continue to late October.

The following information is from the "Thermopolis 2" climate station:

Minimum Maximum 5 yrs. out of 10 between

Frost-free period (days): 74 149 May 23 – September 16

Freeze-free period (days): 112 180 May 8 – October 1

Annual Precipitation (inches): 7.6 21.9

Mean annual precipitation: 12.35 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 46.2 F (30.1 F Avg. Min. to 62.3 F Avg. Max.)

For detailed information visit the Natural Resources Conservation Service National Water and Climate Center at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/> website. Other climate station(s) representative of this precipitation zone include" Grass Creek 1E", "Thermopolis", Thermopolis 25NW", "Buffalo Bill Dam" and "Black Mountain".

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	150 days
Freeze-free period (average)	180 days
Precipitation total (average)	360 mm

Influencing water features

Stream Type: None

Soil features

The soils of this site are very shallow (less than 10" to bedrock) well-drained soils formed in residuum. These soils have rapid to slow permeability and can be of any texture. This site usually occurs on steep slopes, but may be on any slope. The bedrock will include all kinds except soft clay shales, igneous and some volcanic. The soil characteristic having the most influence on the plant community is the very shallow depth to bedrock, which drastically limits the available moisture.

Major Soil Series correlated to this site include: Rentsac

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Loam (2) Fine sandy loam (3) Sandy loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained to excessively drained
Permeability class	Slow to moderately rapid
Soil depth	0 – 30 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 20 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 20 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	0.76 – 4.32 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10

Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	6.6 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 20 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 30 %

Ecological dynamics

Potential vegetation on this site is dominated by a variety of mid cool-season perennial grasses. Other significant vegetation includes winterfat and a variety of forbs and shrubs. The expected potential composition for this site is about 70% grasses, 10% forbs and 20% woody plants. The composition and production will vary naturally due to historical use, fluctuating precipitation and fire frequency.

As this site deteriorates, species such as short warm-season grasses, juniper, and shrubs will increase. Plains pricklypear and weedy annuals will invade. Cool season grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass will decrease in frequency and production.

The Historic Climax Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community narratives following the diagram.

Following are the narratives for each of the described plant communities. These plant communities may not represent every possibility, but they probably are the most prevalent and repeatable plant communities. The plant composition tables shown above have been developed from the best available knowledge at the time of this revision. As more data is collected, some of these plant communities may be revised or removed, and new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities”. According to the USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, Desired Plant Communities (DPC's) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including any description of a plant community here is to capture the current knowledge and experience at the time of this revision.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				118-177	
	Montana wheatgrass	ELAL7	<i>Elymus albicans</i>	118-177	–
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	118-177	–
2				4-39	
	needle and thread	HECO26	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	4-39	–
3				4-39	
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	4-39	–
4				4-39	
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	4-39	–

5				20-78	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-20	–
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	0-20	–
	Fendler threeawn	ARPUL	<i>Aristida purpurea var. longiseta</i>	0-20	–
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	0-20	–
	threadleaf sedge	CAFI	<i>Carex filifolia</i>	0-20	–
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	0-20	–
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	0-20	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-20	–
Forb					
6				4-39	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-20	–
	small-leaf pussytoes	ANPA4	<i>Antennaria parvifolia</i>	0-20	–
	Franklin's sandwort	ARFR	<i>Arenaria franklinii</i>	0-20	–
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	<i>Artemisia frigida</i>	0-20	–
	cutleaf daisy	ERCO4	<i>Erigeron compositus</i>	0-20	–
	fleabane	ERIGE2	<i>Erigeron</i>	0-20	–
	sulphur-flower buckwheat	ERUM	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>	0-20	–
	tufted evening primrose	OECA10	<i>Oenothera caespitosa</i>	0-20	–
	woolly groundsel	PACA15	<i>Packera cana</i>	0-20	–
	nailwort	PARON	<i>Paronychia</i>	0-20	–
	phlox	PHLOX	<i>Phlox</i>	0-20	–
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	0-20	–
	stemless four-nerve daisy	TEACA2	<i>Tetrameuris acaulis var. acaulis</i>	0-20	–
	prairie thermopsis	THRH	<i>Thermopsis rhombifolia</i>	0-20	–
Shrub/Vine					
7				0-39	
	black sagebrush	ARNO4	<i>Artemisia nova</i>	0-39	–
8				0-39	
	curl-leaf mountain mahogany	CELE3	<i>Cercocarpus ledifolius</i>	0-39	–
9				20-39	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (>.5m)</i>	0-20	–
	Wyoming big sagebrush	ARTRW8	<i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis</i>	0-20	–
	shadscale saltbush	ATCO	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	0-20	–
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVI8	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	0-20	–
	rubber rabbitbrush	ERNA10	<i>Ericameria nauseosa</i>	0-20	–
	Utah juniper	JUOS	<i>Juniperus osteosperma</i>	0-20	–
	winterfat	KRASC	<i>Krascheninnikovia</i>	0-20	–
	limber pine	PIFL2	<i>Pinus flexilis</i>	0-20	–
	antelope bitterbrush	PUTR2	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	0-20	–
	skunkbush sumac	RHTR	<i>Rhus trilobata</i>	0-20	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Historic Climax Plant Community: The predominance of grasses in this plant community favors grazers and mixed-feeders, such as bison, elk, and antelope. Suitable thermal and escape cover for deer may be limited due to the low quantities of woody plants. However, topographical variations could provide some escape cover. When found adjacent to sagebrush dominated states, this plant community may provide brood rearing/foraging areas for sage grouse, as well as lek sites. Other birds that would frequent this plant community include western meadowlarks, horned larks, and golden eagles. Many grassland obligate small mammals would occur here. Perennial Grass/Mixed Shrub: The combination of a shrub overstory and an understory of grasses and forbs provide a very diverse plant community for wildlife. This diversity provides important winter ranges for mule deer and antelope as they may use this state for winter foraging. Cottontail and jack rabbits will use this area for both forage and cover year-round. It may provide winter, nesting, brood-rearing, and foraging habitat for upland game birds including sage grouse. Brewer's sparrows' nest in big sagebrush plants and hosts of other nesting birds utilize juniper stands as well. Mixed Shrub/Bare Ground: This plant community can provide winter foraging for mule deer and antelope, as sagebrush can approach 15% protein and 40-60% digestibility during that time. Due to the sparseness of the vegetation, this community does not provide escape and thermal cover for large ungulates or for nesting habitat for sage grouse. An exception is if large shrubs such as juniper or sometimes mountainmahogany dominate a site. In these cases, sufficient thermal and escape cover will meet the needs of many wildlife species.

Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates must be reduced to maintain plant health and vigor. Plant Community Production Carrying Capacity* (lb./ac) (AUM/ac)

Plant Community	Carrying Capacity (lb./ac)	AUM/ac
Historic Climax Plant Community	250-500	.15
Perennial Grass/Mixed Shrub	225-450	.12
Mixed Shrub/Bare Ground	50-250	.03

* - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is highly variable and is dominated by soils in hydrologic group B and C, with localized areas in hydrologic group D. Infiltration ranges from slow to very rapid. Runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group, slope and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75% ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. An example of an exception would be where short-grasses form a strong sod and dominate the site. Areas where ground cover is less than 50% have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information.) Rills and gullies may be present, but should be small. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable. Pedestals are only slightly present in association with bunchgrasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are rare to non-existent. Cryptogamic crusts are present, but only cover 1-2% of the soil surface.

Recreational uses

This site provides hunting opportunities for upland game species. The wide varieties of plants which bloom from spring until fall have an esthetic value that appeals to visitors.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Other products

none noted

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Chris Krassin, Range Management Specialist, NRCS and Everet Bainter, Range Management Specialist. Other sources used as references include USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, USDI and USDA Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health Version 3, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties.

Contributors

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Ray Gullion, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	ray.gullion@wy.usda.gov or 307-347-2456
Date	05/02/2008
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

- 1. Number and extent of rills:** Some rills to be expected on this site. Depending on slope, rills range from .5-2 inches (1-5 cm) wide and are found every 3-6 feet (1-2 m).

- 2. Presence of water flow patterns:** Some observable.

- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Slight pedestalling evident.

- 4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**
Bare ground can range from 30-60%.

- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** Active gullies, where present, should be rare.

- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Minimal to nonexistent.

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Herbaceous litter expected to move in moderate amounts. Large woody debris will show only slight movement down slope.

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil Stability Index ratings range from 1 (interspaces) to 6 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 2.5 or greater.

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Currently no soil series are correlated to this ecological site. Soil OM of less than 1% is expected.

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Plant community consists of 60-80% grasses, 15% forbs, and 5-25% shrubs. Sparse plant canopy (20-60%) and litter, steep slopes, plus slow to moderate infiltration rates result in slight to moderate runoff. Basal cover is typically less than 5% and does very little to effect runoff on this site. Bedrock outcropping provides stability to the site, but reduces infiltration.

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): No compaction layer exists, but shallow depth to and exposed bedrock may be mistaken for a compaction layer.

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses>> perennial shrubs/trees>perennial forbs>cool season rhizomatous grasses>short, cool season bunchgrasses

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component.

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter ranges from 5-20% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 15-50% expected. Herbaceous litter depth is typically shallow, ranging from 2-8 mm. Woody litter can be up to several inches (>8 cm).

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): English: 250-500 lb/ac (375 lb/ac average); Metric: 280 - 560 kg/ha (420 kg/ha average).

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 75% and the presence of cheatgrass are the most common indicators of a threshold being crossed. Short warm season grasses, juniper, shrubs, Sandberg bluegrass, and phlox are common increasers. Annual weeds such as cheatgrass, mustards, kochia, and Russian thistle are common invasive species in disturbed sites.

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.
