

Ecological site DX032X01B158

Shallow Clayey (SwCy)

Big Horn Basin Rim

Last updated: 4/30/2024
Accessed: 06/05/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 032X–Northern Intermountain Desertic Basins

Major land resource area (MLRA): 032X – Northern Intermountain Desertic Basins – This MLRA is comprised of two major Basins, the Big Horn and Wind River. These two basins are distinctly different and are split by LRU's to allow individual ESD descriptions. These warm basins are surrounded by uplifts and rimmed by mountains, creating a unique set of plant responses and communities. Unique characteristics of the geology and geomorphology single these two basins out. Further information regarding MLRAs, refer to: United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land Resource Regions and Major Land Resource Areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. Available electronically at: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624#handbook.

LRU notes

Land Resource Unit (LRU): 32X01B (WY): This LRU is the Big Horn Basin within MLRA 32. This LRU is lower in elevation, slightly warmer and receives slightly less overall precipitation than the Wind River Basin (LRU 02). This LRU was originally divided into two LRU's - LRU A which was the core and LRU B which was the rim. With the most current standards, this LRU is divided into two Subsets. This subset is Subset B, referred to as the Rim, is a transitional band between the basin floor and the lower foothills. The subset encircles Subset A which was originally LRU A. As the LRU shifts towards the south and tracks east, changes in geology and relation to the mountain position, creates a minor shift in soil chemistry influencing the variety of ecological sites and plant interactions. The extent of soils currently correlated to this ecological site does not fit within the digitized boundary. Many of the noted soils are provisional and will be reviewed and corrected in mapping update projects. Other map units are correlated as small inclusions within other MLRA's/LRU's based on elevation, landform, and biological references. Moisture Regime: Ustic Aridic – Prior to 2012, many of the soils within this group were correlated as Frigid Ustic Aridic or as Mesic Typic Aridic, with few mapped within this cross over zone. As progressive soil survey mapping continues, these “crossover” or transitional areas are being identified and corrected. Temperature Regime: Mesic Dominant Cover: Rangeland, with Saltbush flats the dominant vegetative cover for this LRU/ESD. Representative Value (RV) Effective Precipitation: 10-14 inches (254 – 355 mm) RV Frost-Free Days: 105-125 days

Classification relationships

Relationship to Other Established Classification Systems: National Vegetation Classification System (NVC): 3 Xeromorphic Woodland, Scrub & Herb Vegetation Class 3.B Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland Subclass 3.B.1 Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland formation 3.B.1.NE Western North American Cool Semi-Desert Scrub & Grassland Division M169 Great Basin & Intermountain Tall Sagebrush Shrubland & Steppe Macrogroup G302 Artemisia Tridentata - Artemisia tripartita - Purshia tridentata Big Sagebrush Steppe Group CEG001535 - Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis/Pseudoroegneria spicata Herbaceous Vegetation or CEG001009 - Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis/Pseudoroegneria spicata Shrubland Ecoregions (EPA): Level I: 10 North American Deserts Level II: 10.1 Cold Deserts Level III: 10.1.18 Wyoming Basin Level IV: 10.1.18.g Big Horn Salt Desert Shrub Basin

Ecological site concept

- Site receives no additional water.
- Slope is 60%
- Soils are:
 - o Textures range from loam to clay in top 4” (10 cm) of mineral soil surface
 - o Clay content is 40% in top 4” (10 cm) of mineral soil surface
 - o All subsurface horizons have a weighted average of > 35% but 60% clay.
 - o Shallow (10-20 in. (25-50 cm) o 10% stone and boulder cover and 25% cobble and gravel cover
 - o Not skeletal (35% rock fragments) within 20” (50 cm) of mineral soil surface
 - o Non-saline, sodic, or saline-sodic; however, there is a potential for elevated soluble salts.

Associated sites

R032XY304WY	<p>Clayey (Cy) 10-14" East Precipitation Zone</p> <p>Clayey site are commonly associated with Shallow Clayey sites on escarpments or along shale outcroppings. The Clayey site will sit lower on the hillslope or further from the bedrock outcropping with shallow residing at the site of the outcropping.</p>
R032XY312WY	<p>Gravelly (Gr) 10-14" East Precipitation Zone</p> <p>Gravelly sites will occur along the shoulder of a ridge or escarpment, with Shallow Clayey falling on the scarp face or as you move down slope on the dipslope/hillslope.</p>
R032XY362WY	<p>Shallow Loamy (SwLy) 10-14" East Precipitation Zone</p> <p>Shallow Loamy has been found to occur in a complex with shallow clayey along escarpments or outcroppings of inter-bedded sedimentary bedrock.</p>

Similar sites

R032XY258WY	<p>Shallow Clayey (SwCy) 5-9" Wind River Basin Precipitation Zone</p> <p>Shallow Clayey Wind River Basin Core will be lower in production with a slight shift in plant composition.</p>
R032XY158WY	<p>Shallow Clayey (SwCy) 5-9" Big Horn Basin Precipitation Zone</p> <p>Shallow Clayey Big Horn Basin Core will be lower in production.</p>

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i> (2) <i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>

Legacy ID

R032XB158WY

Physiographic features

This site occurs on slopes and ridge tops, but may occur on all slopes.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Intermontane basin > Hill (2) Intermontane basin > Ridge (3) Intermontane basin > Escarpment
-----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Runoff class	Negligible to very high
Elevation	1,650 – 2,290 m
Slope	0 – 60 %
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

Annual Precipitation and modeled relative effective annual precipitation ranges from 10 to 14 inches (254 – 355 mm). The normal precipitation pattern shows peaks in May and June and a secondary peak in September. This amounts to about 50% of the mean annual precipitation. Much of the moisture that falls in the latter part of the summer is lost by evaporation and much of the moisture that falls during the winter is lost by sublimation. Average snowfall is about 20 inches annually. Wide fluctuations may occur in yearly precipitation and result in more dry years than those with more than normal precipitation.

Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and between daily maximums and minimums, due to the high elevation and dry air, which permits rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Cold air outbreaks from Canada in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Chinook winds may occur in winter and bring rapid rises in temperature. Extreme storms may occur during the winter, but most severely affect ranch operations during late winter and spring. High winds are generally blocked from the basin by high mountains but can occur in conjunction with an occasional thunderstorm. Growth of native cool-season plants begins about April 1st and continues to about July 1st. Cool weather and moisture in September may produce some green up of cool season plants that will continue to late October.

For detailed information visit the Natural Resources Conservation Service National Water and Climate Center at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/>. "Clark 3NE", "Cody", "Cody 12SE", "Heart Mtn", "Powell Fld Stn", "Shell 1NE", and "Thermopolis 9NE" are the representative weather stations. The following graphs and charts are a collective sample representing the averaged normals and 30-year annual rainfall data for the selected weather stations from 1981 to 2010.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	90-90 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	110-120 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	180-280 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	80-110 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	110-130 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	180-310 mm
Frost-free period (average)	90 days
Freeze-free period (average)	120 days

Precipitation total (average)	230 mm
-------------------------------	--------

- (1) THERMOPOLIS 9NE [USC00488884], Thermopolis, WY
- (2) SHELL 1NE [USC00488124], Shell, WY
- (3) CODY 12SE [USC00481850], Meeteetse, WY
- (4) CODY [USC00481840], Cody, WY
- (5) HEART MTN [USC00484411], Powell, WY
- (6) POWELL FLD STN [USC00487388], Powell, WY
- (7) CLARK 3NE [USC00481775], Powell, WY

Influencing water features

The characteristics of these upland soils have no influence from ground water (water table below 60 inches (150 cm)) and have minimal influence from surface water/overland flow. There may be isolated features that are affected by snow pack that persists longer than surrounding areas due to position on the landform (shaded/protected pockets); but overflow is not a suitable fit. No streams are classified within this ecological site.

Soil features

The soils of this site are shallow (less than 20" to bedrock) well-drained soils formed in alluvium or residuum. These soils have moderately slow to very slow permeability and may occur on all aspects. The bedrock is clay shale which is virtually impenetrable to plant roots. Thin ineffectual layers of other soil textures are disregarded. The soil characteristics having the most influence on the plant community are the shallow depths, heavy textures, and the potential for elevated quantities of soluble salts.

Major Soil Series correlated to this site includes: Persayo

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum – shale (2) Alluvium – igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Clay loam (2) Clay (3) Silty clay loam (4) Loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Very slow to moderately slow
Depth to restrictive layer	30 – 50 cm
Soil depth	30 – 50 cm

Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 30 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	3.56 – 10.67 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 10
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4 – 10
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	10 – 20 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 10 %

Ecological dynamics

****Disclaimer**** This PROVISIONAL ecological site was developed for an extended MLRA concept where the foothills (10-14" precipitation Frigid climatic zone) was included in this site. This has created an exaggerated or elevated production value for this site description.

Potential vegetation on this site is dominated by mid cool-season perennial grasses. Other significant vegetation includes winterfat, birdfoot sagebrush, and a variety of forbs. The expected potential composition for this site is about 75% grasses, 10% forbs and 15% woody plants. The composition and production will vary naturally due to historical use, fluctuating precipitation and fire frequency.

As this site deteriorates, species such as blue grama, rhizomatous wheatgrass, and shrubs will increase. Plains pricklypear and weedy annuals will invade. Cool season grasses such as bluebunch or Griffith's wheatgrasses and Indian ricegrass will decrease in frequency and production.

The Historic Climax Plant Community (description follows the plant community diagram) has been determined by study of rangeland relic areas, or areas protected from excessive disturbance. Trends in plant communities going from heavily grazed areas to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts have also been used.

The following is a State and Transition Model Diagram that illustrates the common plant communities (states) that can occur on the site and the transitions between these communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community

narratives following the diagram.

Plant Community Narratives

Following are the narratives for each of the described plant communities. These plant communities may not represent every possibility, but they probably are the most prevalent and repeatable plant communities. The plant composition tables shown above have been developed from the best available knowledge at the time of this revision. As more data is collected, some of these plant communities may be revised or removed, and new ones may be added. None of these plant communities should necessarily be thought of as “Desired Plant Communities”. According to the USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, Desired Plant Communities (DPC’s) will be determined by the decision-makers and will meet minimum quality criteria established by the NRCS. The main purpose for including any description of a plant community here is to capture the current knowledge and experience at the time of this revision.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				112-168	
	Montana wheatgrass	ELAL7	<i>Elymus albicans</i>	112-168	–
	bluebunch wheatgrass	PSSP6	<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	112-168	–
2				84-140	
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	84-140	–
3				56-112	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	56-112	–
4				6-84	
	green needlegrass	NAVI4	<i>Nassella viridula</i>	6-84	–
5				0-56	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	<i>Grass, perennial</i>	0-28	–
	blue grama	BOGR2	<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>	0-28	–
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	0-28	–
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	0-28	–
	spike fescue	LEKI2	<i>Leucopoa kingii</i>	0-28	–
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	0-28	–
Forb					
6				0-56	
	Forb, perennial	2FP	<i>Forb, perennial</i>	0-28	–
	textile onion	ALTE	<i>Allium textile</i>	0-28	–
	rosy pussytoes	ANRO2	<i>Antennaria rosea</i>	0-28	–
	Indian paintbrush	CASTI2	<i>Castilleja</i>	0-28	–
	sulphur-flower buckwheat	ERUM	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>	0-28	–
	cous biscuitroot	LOCO4	<i>Lomatium cous</i>	0-28	–
	leafy wildparsley	MUDI	<i>Musineon divaricatum</i>	0-28	–
	beardtongue	PENST	<i>Penstemon</i>	0-28	–
	spiny phlox	PHHO	<i>Phlox hoodii</i>	0-28	–
	scarlet globemallow	SPCO	<i>Sphaeralcea coccinea</i>	0-28	–
	smooth woodyaster	XYGL	<i>Xylorhiza glabriuscula</i>	0-28	–
Shrub/Vine					
7				28-84	

	shadscale saltbush	ATCO	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	0-28	-
	Gardner's saltbush	ATGA	<i>Atriplex gardneri</i>	0-28	-
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVI8	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	0-28	-
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	0-28	-
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	<i>Shrub (>.5m)</i>	0-28	-
	black sagebrush	ARNO4	<i>Artemisia nova</i>	0-28	-
	birdfoot sagebrush	ARPE6	<i>Artemisia pedatifida</i>	0-28	-
	Wyoming big sagebrush	ARTRW8	<i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. wyomingensis</i>	0-28	-

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 5.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Animal Community – Wildlife Interpretations Bluebunch Wheatgrass/Indian Ricegrass (HCPC): The predominance of grasses in this plant community favors grazers and mixed-feeders, such as bison, elk, and antelope. Suitable thermal and escape cover for deer may be limited due to the low quantities of woody plants. However, topographical variations could provide some escape cover. When found adjacent to sagebrush dominated states, this plant community may provide brood rearing/foraging areas for sage grouse, as well as lek sites. Other birds that would frequent this plant community include western meadowlarks, horned larks, and golden eagles. Many grassland obligate small mammals would occur here. Perennial Grass/Mixed Sagebrush Plant Community: The combination of an overstory of sagebrush and an understory of grasses and forbs provide a very diverse plant community for wildlife. The crowns of sagebrush tend to break up hard crusted snow on winter ranges, so mule deer and antelope may use this state for foraging and cover year-round, as would cottontail and jack rabbits. It provides important winter, nesting, brood-rearing, and foraging habitat for sage grouse. Brewer's sparrows' nest in big sagebrush plants, and hosts of other nesting birds utilize stands in the 20-30% cover range. Mixed Sagebrush/Bare Ground Plant Community: These communities provide limited foraging for antelope and other grazers. They may be used as a foraging site by sage grouse if proximal to woody cover and if the Historic Climax Plant Community or the Perennial Grass/Mixed Shrub Plant Community is limiting. Generally, these are not target plant communities for wildlife habitat management. Salt Tolerant Shrub/Bare Ground Plant Community: This plant community exhibits a low level of plant species diversity due to the accumulation of salts near the soil surface. It may provide some thermal and escape cover for deer and antelope if no other woody community is nearby, but in most cases, it is not a desirable plant community to select as a wildlife habitat management objective. Salt Tolerant Shrub/Rhizomatous Wheatgrasses Plant Community: The combination of an overstory of sagebrush and an understory of grasses and forbs provide a very diverse plant community for wildlife. The crowns of sagebrush tend to break up hard crusted snow on winter ranges, so mule deer and antelope may use this state for foraging and cover year-round, as would cottontail and jack rabbits. It provides important winter, nesting, brood-rearing, and foraging habitat for sage grouse. Brewer's sparrows' nest in big sagebrush plants, and hosts of other nesting birds utilize stands in the 20-30% cover range. Animal Community – Grazing Interpretations The following table lists suggested stocking rates for cattle under continuous season-long grazing under normal growing conditions. These are conservative estimates that should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of the conservation planning process. Often, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Because of this, a field visit is recommended, in all cases, to document plant composition and production. More precise carrying capacity estimates should eventually be calculated using this information along with animal preference data, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. Under more intensive grazing management, improved harvest efficiencies can result in an increased carrying capacity. If distribution problems occur, stocking rates must be reduced to maintain plant health and vigor. Plant Community Production Carrying Capacity* (lb./ac) (AUM/ac) Historic Climax Plant Community 350-700 .20 Perennial Grass/ Mixed Sagebrush 250-650 .17 Mixed Sagebrush/Bare Ground 100-350 .1 Salt Tolerant Shrub/Bare Ground 200-375 .05 Salt Tolerant Shrub/Rhizomatous Wheatgrasses 225-550 .15 * - Continuous, season-long grazing by cattle under average growing conditions. Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for cattle, sheep, or horses. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock use needs to be supplemented with protein because the quality does not meet minimum livestock requirements.

Hydrological functions

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic group C and D. Infiltration ranges from very slow to moderately slow. Runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75% ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. An example of an exception would be where short-grasses form a strong sod and dominate the site. Areas where ground cover is less than 50% have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff (refer to Part 630, NRCS National Engineering Handbook for detailed hydrology information). Rills and gullies should not typically be present. Water flow patterns should be barely distinguishable if at all present. Pedestals are only slightly present in association with bunchgrasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass. Litter typically falls in place, and signs of movement are not common. Chemical and physical crusts are rare to non-existent. Cryptogamic crusts are present, but only cover 1-2% of the soil surface.

Recreational uses

This site provides hunting opportunities for upland game species. The wide variety of plants which bloom from spring until fall have an esthetic value that appeals to visitors.

Wood products

No appreciable wood products are present on the site.

Other products

none noted

Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS inventory data. Field observations from range trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site include: Chris Krassin, Range Management Specialist, NRCS and Everet Bainter, Range Management Specialist, NRCS. Other sources used as references include USDA NRCS Water and Climate Center, USDA NRCS National Range and Pasture Handbook, USDI and USDA Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health Version 3, and USDA NRCS Soil Surveys from various counties.

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 4/30/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Ray Gullion, E. Bainter
Contact for lead author	ray.gullion@wy.usda.gov or 307-347-2456
Date	05/02/2008
Approved by	
Approval date	

Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production
---------------------------------------------	-------------------

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rare to nonexistent. Where present, short and widely spaced.

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Barely observable.

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Rare to nonexistent.

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Bare ground can range from 20-50%.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** Active gullies should not be present.

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** Rare to nonexistent.

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Herbaceous litter expected to move only in small amounts (to leeward side of shrubs). Large woody debris from sagebrush will show no movement.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil Stability Index ratings range from 1 (interspaces) to 5 (under plant canopy), but average values should be 3.0 or greater.

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil data is limited for this site. Soil OM usually varies from .5 to 1.5%.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Plant community consists of 60-85% grasses, 5% forbs, and 10-35% shrubs. Evenly distributed plant canopy (30-50%) and litter plus slow to moderate infiltration rates result in slight to minimal runoff. Basal cover is typically less than 5% for this site and does very little to effect runoff on this site.

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None, but some soil crusting and cracking is expected during dry conditions.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant: cool season rhizomatous grasses perennial shrubs

Sub-dominant: Mid-size, cool season bunchgrasses

Other: short, cool season bunchgrasses perennial forbs

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
Minimal decadence, typically associated with shrub component.

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Litter ranges from 20-30% of total canopy measurement with total litter (including beneath the plant canopy) from 30-50% expected. Herbaceous litter depth typically ranges from 3-10mm. Woody litter can be up to a couple inches (4-6 cm).

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
English: 350-700 lb/ac (525 lb/ac average); Metric 392 -784 kg/ha (588 kg/ha average).

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Bare ground greater than 75% is the most common indicator of a threshold being crossed. Blue grama, rhizomatous wheatgrasses, Rabbitbrush and other shrubs, Sandberg bluegrass, and phlox are common increasers. Annual weeds such as kochia, mustards, lambsquarter, and Russian thistle are common invasive species in disturbed sites.**

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All species are capable of reproducing, except in drought years.
