

Ecological site R030XA120AZ
Sandy Loam Hills
3-6" p.z.
Limy, Gravelly, Shallow

Last updated: 10/21/2024
Accessed: 09/14/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 030X–Mojave Basin and Range

This unit occurs within the Basin and Range Province and is characterized by broad basins, valleys, and old lakebeds. Widely spaced mountains trending north to south occur throughout the area. Isolated, short mountain ranges are separated by an aggraded desert plain. The mountains are fault blocks that have been tilted up. Long alluvial fans coalesce with dry lakebeds between some of the ranges.

LRU notes

AZ LRU 30-1 – Lower Mohave Desert Elevations range from 400 to 2500 feet and precipitation averages 3 to 6 inches per year. Vegetation includes creosotebush, white bursage, Mormon tea, and brittlebush. The soil temperature regime is hyperthermic and the soil moisture regime is typic aridic.

Ecological site concept

This ecological site is located on steep slopes. The soils are calcareous, very shallow to shallow over bedrock. Parent material (bedrock) is a variety of igneous or metamorphic alluvium.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Larrea tridentata</i> (2) <i>Encelia farinosa</i>
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This ecological site is found in an upland position on summits, shoulders and backslopes of fan terraces and ballenas. It is found on all aspects.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Fan (2) Terrace (3) Ballena
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	150 – 790 m
Slope	0 – 30 %

Climatic features

The 30-1AZ Lower Mohave Desert Shrub land resource unit is characterized by a hot, dry climate. The average annual rainfall is 3 to 6 inches, but it can be extremely variable (e.g. from 0 to 11 inches). There can be long periods when little or no precipitation is received. Most of the precipitation for the year could arrive in just a couple of storms. The soil moisture regime is typic aridic and the soil temperature regime is hyperthermic. Winter precipitation from November through April occurs as gentle rains from storms coming out of the Pacific Ocean. Snow is very rare and only falls in the highest mountains. A seasonal drought occurs in May and June. Summer/fall precipitation from July through October comes from spotty, unreliable, and sometimes violent thunderstorms. The moisture originates in the Gulf of Mexico (and the Pacific Ocean in the fall) and flows into the state on the north end of the Mexican monsoon. Strong winds are common, especially during the spring.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	330 days
Freeze-free period (average)	370 days
Precipitation total (average)	150 mm

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soil of this ecological site is very shallow to shallow. Parent material is mixed igneous and metamorphic alluvium. Geologic formation is materials from various formations. Available water capacity is very low. Water erosion hazard is slight to moderate; wind erosion hazard is slight. Runoff is moderate to rapid. Soils are non-sodic, non-saline with pH of 8.0 (moderately alkaline). Soil moisture regime is typic aridic. Soil temperature regime is hyperthermic.

A typical soil profile is:

A-0 to 1 inches; extremely stony sandy loam

Bw-1 to 6 inches; very gravelly sandy loam

Bk-6 to 9 inches; very gravelly coarse sandy loam

Bkqm-9 inches; indurated

This ecological site is correlated to map units 627123 and 627124, Tyro extremely stony sandy loam and Tyro very stony loam, Mohave County, AZ, Southern Part SSA.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Very stony loam (2) Very gravelly sandy loam (3) Extremely cobbly
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Somewhat excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid
Soil depth	20 – 30 cm
Surface fragment cover ≤3"	50 – 70 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	70 – 100 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	1.02 – 1.78 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	10 – 40 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.4 – 8.4
Subsurface fragment volume ≤3" (Depth not specified)	50 – 70 %

Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified
---	---------------

Ecological dynamics

This plant community is dominated by creosote with mixed shrubs throughout. Plants are widely dispersed. Because of low density of plants and the lack of desirable species for grazing, it is hard to measure degradation. A fire usually will not carry on this site and grazing is limited to annual grasses and forbs. Plant community changes are limited by low moisture and high temperatures. Non-native annual forbs and grasses are common on the lower slopes of this site.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1				3-29	
	sixweeks fescue	VUOC	<i>Vulpia octoflora</i>	3-29	–
2				7-29	
	Grass, annual	2GA	<i>Grass, annual</i>	7-29	–
Forb					
3				3-18	
	desert Indianwheat	PLOV	<i>Plantago ovata</i>	3-18	–
4				3-7	
	devil's spineflower	CHRI	<i>Chorizanthe rigida</i>	3-7	–
5				36-73	
	Forb, annual	2FA	<i>Forb, annual</i>	36-73	–
Shrub/Vine					
6				164-201	
	creosote bush	LATR2	<i>Larrea tridentata</i>	164-201	–
7				55-91	
	brittlebush	ENFA	<i>Encelia farinosa</i>	55-91	–
8				7-18	
	white ratany	KRGR	<i>Krameria grayi</i>	7-18	–
9				0-7	
	whitestem paperflower	PSCO2	<i>Psilostrophe cooperi</i>	0-7	–
10				0-3	
	teddybear cholla	CYBI9	<i>Cylindropuntia bigelovii</i>	0-3	–
11				0-3	
	ocotillo	FOSP2	<i>Fouquieria splendens</i>	0-3	–
12				0-7	
	burrobush	AMDU2	<i>Ambrosia dumosa</i>	0-7	–
13				0-11	
	Shrub, other	2S	<i>Shrub, other</i>	0-11	–

Table 6. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Contributors

Harmon Hodgkinson
Larry D. Ellicott

Approval

Kendra Moseley, 10/21/2024

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/14/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
