

Ecological site R024XY059NV

SILTY 8-10 P.Z.

Last updated: 3/06/2025
 Accessed: 06/10/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 024X–Humboldt Basin and Range Area

Major land resource area (MLRA) 24, the Humboldt Area, covers an area of approximately 8,115,200 acres (12,680 sq. mi.). It is found in the Great Basin Section of the Basin and Range Province of the Intermontane Plateaus. Elevations range from 3,950 to 5,900 feet (1,205 to 1,800 meters) in most of the area, some mountain peaks are more than 8,850 feet (2,700 meters). A series of widely spaced north-south trending mountain ranges are separated by broad valleys filled with alluvium washed in from adjacent mountain ranges. Most valleys are drained by tributaries to the Humboldt River. However, playas occur in lower elevation valleys with closed drainage systems. Isolated ranges are dissected, uplifted fault-block mountains. Geology is comprised of Mesozoic and Paleozoic volcanic rock and marine and continental sediments. Occasional young andesite and basalt flows (6 to 17 million years old) occur at the margins of the mountains. Dominant soil orders include Aridisols, Entisols, Inceptisols and Mollisols. Soils of the area are generally characterized by a mesic soil temperature regime, an aridic soil moisture regime and mixed geology. They are generally well drained, loamy and very deep. Approximately 75 percent of MLRA 24 is federally owned, the remainder is primarily used for farming, ranching and mining. Irrigated land makes up about 3 percent of the area; the majority of irrigation water is from surface water sources, such as the Humboldt River and Rye Patch Reservoir. Annual precipitation ranges from 6 to 12 inches (15 to 30 cm) for most of the area, but can be as much as 40 inches (101 cm) in the mountain ranges. The majority of annual precipitation occurs as snow in the winter. Rainfall occurs as high-intensity, convective thunderstorms in the spring and fall. Nevada lies on the eastern, lee side of the Sierra Nevada Range, a massive mountain barrier that markedly influences the climate of the State. The prevailing winds are from the west, and as the warm moist air from the Pacific Ocean ascends the western slopes of the Sierra Range, the air cools, condensation takes place and most of the moisture falls as precipitation. As the air descends the eastern slope, it is warmed by compression, and very little precipitation occurs. The effects of this mountain barrier are felt not only in the west but throughout the State, with the result that the lowlands of Nevada are largely desert or steppes.

Ecological site concept

This ecological site is on fan piedmonts. Soils associated with this site are very deep, well drained and formed in alluvium derived from mixed rocks, loess and volcanic ash. The soil profile is characterized by an ochric epipedon, a sodium free surface, and moderately to strongly sodium effected subsoil. Soil textures are dominated by silt loam, ashy very fine silt loam, and/or ashy fine sandy loam. The soil temperature regime is mesic, and the soil moisture regime is typic aridic. Future field work in compare the soil characteristics and abiotic factors for all winterfat (KRLA2) dominated ESCs (024XY004NV, 024XY011NV, 024XY014NV, 024XY059NV & 024XY011OR) in MRLA 24 and determine if they are actually one ESC.

Associated sites

R024XY005NV	<p>LOAMY 8-10 P.Z.</p> <p>More productive site found on similar landforms. Soils are characterized by an argillic horizon. Bluebunch wheatgrass (PSSPS) is an important grass, and shadscale (ATCO) and bud sagebrush (ARSP5) are not associated with the plant community.</p>
--------------------	---

R024XY020NV	<p>DROUGHTY LOAM 8-10 P.Z.</p> <p>The soils associated with this ecological site are deep, well drained, and formed in alluvium derived from mixed parent material. The soil profile is characterized by an ochric epipedon and high amounts of sand and gravel below 16 inches (40cm). Soil temperature regime is mesic, and the soil moisture regime is aridic bordering on xeric. Important abiotic factors contributing to the presence of this site include limited available soil moisture due to texture and precipitation zone</p>
R024XY060NV	<p>SHALLOW SILTY 8-10 P.Z.</p> <p>This ecological site is found on fan remnants. Soils are very deep, well drained and formed in alluvium derived from mixed parent material. Soils are dominated by fine loams and fine sands and have a strong vesicular horizon and very platy structure in the surface horizon.</p>

Similar sites

R024XY014NV	<p>COARSE SILTY 4-8 P.Z.</p> <p>The reference state is dominated by winterfat (KRLA2), bud sagebrush (ARSP5), and Indian ricegrass (ACHY).</p>
R024XY060NV	<p>SHALLOW SILTY 8-10 P.Z.</p> <p>Shadscale saltbrush (ATCO) dominant plant; Bud sagebrush (ARSP5) rare; less productive site.</p>
R024XY004NV	<p>SILTY 4-8 P.Z.</p> <p>Less productive site; typically occurs on bolson floor. Winterfat (KRLA2) dominated.</p>

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	(1) <i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>

Physiographic features

This site is mostly on summits of plateaus and fan remnants. Slopes range from 0 to 8 percent. Elevations are 4,500 to 5,600 feet (1,372 to 1,707 m).

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Plateau (2) Fan remnant
Runoff class	Very high

Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	1,370 – 1,710 m
Slope	0 – 10 %
Water table depth	180 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The climate associated with this site is semiarid and characterized by cool, moist winters and warm, dry summers. Average annual precipitation is 8 to 10 inches (20 to 25 cm). Mean annual air temperature is 45 to 53 degrees F. The average growing season is about 90 to 130 days.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	130 days
Freeze-free period (average)	
Precipitation total (average)	250 mm

Influencing water features

There are no influencing water features associated with this site.

Soil features

Soils associated with this site are shallow to a duripan, well drained and formed in alluvium derived from mixed rocks, loess and volcanic ash. The soil profile is characterized by an ochric epipedon, a sodium free surface, and moderately to strongly sodium effected subsoil. Soil textures are dominated by silt loam, ashy very fine silt loam, and/or ashy fine sandy loam. Correlated soil component: Sodhouse.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Fine sandy loam

Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Well drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	40 – 50 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	8.13 – 11.94 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0 – 20 %
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	Not specified
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0 – 50
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	7.9 – 8.8
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	10 – 20 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

An ecological site is the product of all the environmental factors responsible for its development and it has a set of key characteristics that influence a site's resilience to disturbance and resistance to invasives. Key characteristics include 1) climate (precipitation, temperature), 2) topography (aspect, slope, elevation, and landform), 3) hydrology (infiltration, runoff), 4) soils (depth, texture, structure, organic matter),

5) plant communities (functional groups, productivity), and 6) natural disturbance regime (fire, herbivory, etc.) (Caudle 2013). Biotic factors that influence resilience include site productivity, species composition and structure, and population regulation and regeneration (Chambers et al. 2013).

Winterfat is a long-lived, drought tolerant, native shrub typically about 30 cm tall (Mozingo 1987). It has a woody base from which annual branchlets grow (Welsh et al. 1987). The most common variety is a low growing dwarf form (less than 38.1 cm), which is most often found on desert valley floors (Stevens et al. 1977). Total winter precipitation is a primary growth driver and lower than average spring precipitation can reverse the impact of plentiful winter precipitation. While summer rainfall has a limited impact, heavy August-September rain can cause a second flowering in winterfat (West and Gasto 1978). Winterfat reproduces from seed and primarily pollinates via wind (Stevens et al. 1977). Seed production, especially in desert regions, is dependent on precipitation (West and Gasto 1978) with good seed years occurring when there is appreciable summer precipitation and little browsing (Stevens et al. 1977). Winterfat has multiple dispersal mechanisms: diaspores are shed in the fall or winter, dispersed by wind, rodent-cached, or carried on animals (Majerus 2003). Diaspores take advantage of available moisture, tolerating freezing conditions as they progress from imbibed seeds to germinants to nonwoody seedlings (Booth 1989). Under some circumstances, the degree of reproduction may be dependent on mature plant density (Freeman and Emlen 1995).

These communities often exhibit the formation of microbiotic crusts within the interspaces between shrubs. These crusts influence the soils on these sites and their ability to reduce erosion and increase infiltration; they may also alter the soil structure and possibly increase soil fertility (Fletcher and Martin 1948, Williams 1993). Finer textured soils such as silts tend to support more microbiotic cover than coarse texture soils (Anderson 1982). Disturbance such as hoof action from inappropriate grazing and cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) invasion can reduce biotic crust integrity (Anderson 1982, Ponzetti et al. 2007) and increase erosion.

Drought and/or inappropriate grazing will initially favor shrubs but prolonged drought can cause a decrease in the winterfat, bud sagebrush and other shrubs, while bare ground increases. Indian ricegrass will decrease with inappropriate grazing management. Squirreltail may maintain or also decline within the community. Repeated spring and early summer grazing will have an especially detrimental effect on winterfat and bud sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*). Cheatgrass and other non-native annual weeds increase with excessive grazing. Abusive grazing during the winter may lead to soil compaction and reduced infiltration. Prolonged abusive grazing during any season leads to abundant bare ground, desert pavement and active wind and water erosion. Repeated, frequent fire will promote cheatgrass dominance and elimination of the native plant community. These sites frequently attract recreational use, primarily by off highway vehicles (OHV). Annual non-native species increase where surface soils have been disturbed. Three alternative stable states have been identified for this site.

Fire Ecology:

Winterfat tolerates environmental stress, extremes of temperature and precipitation, and competition from other perennials but not the disturbance of fire or overgrazing (Ogle 2001). Fire is rare within these communities due to low fuel loads. There are conflicting reports in the literature about the response of winterfat to fire. In one of the first published descriptions, Dwyer and Pieper (1967) reported that winterfat sprouts vigorously after fire. This observation was frequently cited in subsequent literature, but recent observations have suggested that winterfat can be completely killed by fire (Pellant and Reichert 1984). The response is apparently dependent on fire severity. Winterfat is able to sprout from buds near the base of the plant. However, if these buds are destroyed, winterfat will not sprout. Research has shown that winterfat seedling growth is depressed in growth by at least 90% when growing in the presence of cheatgrass (Hild et al. 2007). Repeated, frequent fires will increase the likelihood of conversion to a non-native, annual plant community with trace amounts of winterfat.

Bud sagebrush (*Picrothamnus desertorum*), a minor shrub to this ecological site, is a native, summer-deciduous shrub. It is low growing, spinescent, aromatic shrub with a height of 4 to 10 inches and a spread of 8 to 12 inches (Chambers and Norton 1993). Bud sagebrush is fire intolerant and must reestablish from seed (Banner 1992, West 1994).

Indian ricegrass, the dominant grass within this site, is a hardy, cool-season, densely tufted, native perennial bunchgrass that grows from 4 to 24 inches in height (Blaisdell and Holmgren 1984). Indian ricegrass has been found to reestablish on burned sites through seed dispersed from adjacent unburned areas (Young 1983). Thus the presence of surviving, seed producing plants is necessary for reestablishment of Indian ricegrass. Grazing management following fire to promote seed production and establishment of seedlings is important.

Bottlebrush squirreltail, another cool-season, native perennial bunchgrass is common to this ecological site. Bottlebrush squirreltail is considered more fire tolerant than Indian ricegrass due to its small size, coarse stems, and sparse leafy material (Britton et al. 1990). Postfire regeneration occurs from surviving root crowns and from on- and off-site seed sources. Bottlebrush squirreltail has the ability to produce large numbers of highly germinable seeds, with relatively rapid germination (Young and Evans 1977) when exposed to the correct environmental cues. Early spring growth and ability to grow at low temperatures contribute to the persistence of bottlebrush squirreltail among cheatgrass dominated ranges (Hironaka and Tisdale 1972).

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Primary Perennial Grasses			263-381	

	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	<i>Achnatherum hymenoides</i>	252-336	-
	squirreltail	ELEL5	<i>Elymus elymoides</i>	11-45	-
2	Secondary Perennial Grasses			11-28	
	needle and thread	HECO26	<i>Hesperostipa comata</i>	3-17	-
	western wheatgrass	PASM	<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>	3-17	-
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	3-17	-
Forb					
3	Primary Perennial Forbs			11-28	
	globemallow	SPHAE	<i>Sphaeralcea</i>	11-28	-
4	Secondary Perennial Forbs			11-28	
	buckwheat	ERIOG	<i>Eriogonum</i>	3-11	-
	phlox	PHLOX	<i>Phlox</i>	3-11	-
Shrub/Vine					
5	Primary Shrubs			123-185	
	winterfat	KRLA2	<i>Krascheninnikovia lanata</i>	112-140	-
6	Secondary Shrubs			11-56	
	fourwing saltbush	ATCA2	<i>Atriplex canescens</i>	6-11	-
	shadscale saltbush	ATCO	<i>Atriplex confertifolia</i>	6-11	-
	yellow rabbitbrush	CHVI8	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	6-11	-
	spiny hopsage	GRSP	<i>Grayia spinosa</i>	6-11	-

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 2.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 2.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 3.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 10. Community 4.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 11. Community 4.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Animal community

Livestock Interpretations: This site has value for livestock grazing. Grazing management should be keyed to dominant grasses and palatable shrubs production. Indian ricegrass is highly palatable to all classes of livestock in both green and cured condition. It supplies a source of green feed before most other native grasses have produced much new growth. Bottlebrush squirreltail is very palatable winter forage for domestic sheep of Intermountain ranges. Domestic sheep relish the green foliage. Overall, bottlebrush squirreltail is considered moderately palatable to livestock. Winterfat is an important forage plant for livestock, especially during winter when forage is scarce. Abusive grazing practices have reduced or eliminated winterfat on some areas even though it is fairly resistant to browsing. Effects depend on severity and season of grazing. Budsage is palatable and nutritious forage for domestic sheep in the winter and spring although it is known to cause mouth sores in lambs. Budsage can be poisonous or fatal to calves when eaten in quantity. Budsage, while desired by cattle in spring, is poisonous to cattle when consumed alone. Stocking rates vary over time depending upon season of use, climate variations, site, and previous and current management goals. A safe starting stocking rate is an estimated stocking rate that is

fine tuned by the client by adaptive management through the year and from year to year. Wildlife Interpretations: Indian ricegrass is eaten by pronghorn in moderate amounts whenever available. In Nevada it is consumed by desert bighorns. A number of heteromyid rodents inhabiting desert rangelands show preference for seed of Indian ricegrass. Indian ricegrass is an important component of jackrabbit diets in spring and summer. In Nevada, Indian ricegrass may even dominate jackrabbit diets during the spring through early summer months. Indian ricegrass seed provides food for many species of birds. Doves, for example, eat large amounts of shattered Indian ricegrass seed lying on the ground. Bottlebrush squirreltail is a dietary component of several wildlife species. Bottlebrush squirreltail may provide forage for mule deer and pronghorn. Winterfat is an important forage plant for wildlife, especially during winter when forage is scarce. Winterfat seeds are eaten by rodents and are a staple food for black-tailed jackrabbits. Mule deer and pronghorn antelope browse winterfat. Winterfat is used for cover by rodents. It is potential nesting cover for upland game birds, especially when grasses grow up through its crown. Budsage is palatable, nutritious forage for upland game birds, small game and big game in winter. Budsage is utilized by mule deer in Nevada in winter and is utilized by bighorn sheep in summer, but the importance of budsage in the diet of bighorns is not known. Bud sage comprises 18 – 35% of a pronghorn's diet during the spring where it is available. Chukar will utilize the leaves and seeds of bud sage. Budsage is highly susceptible to effects of browsing. It decreases under browsing due to year-long palatability of its buds and is particularly susceptible to browsing in the spring when it is physiologically most active.

Hydrological functions

Runoff is very high. Permeability is moderate. Hydrologic soil group is D. Rills are none. Water flow patterns are rare to common depending on site location relative to major inflow areas. Moderately fine to fine surface textures and physical crusts result in limited infiltration rates. The surface layer will normally crust and bake upon drying, inhibiting water infiltration and seedling emergence. Pedestals are none. There are typically no gullies, although these soils have a potential for gullying, especially near shallow drainages. Shrubs and deep-rooted perennial herbaceous bunchgrasses (Indian ricegrass) and/or rhizomatous grasses (western wheatgrass) aid in infiltration.

Recreational uses

Aesthetic value is derived from the diverse floral and faunal composition and the colorful flowering of wild flowers and shrubs during the spring and early summer. This site offers rewarding opportunities to photographers and for nature study. This site is used for hiking and has potential for upland and big game hunting.

Other products

Indian ricegrass was traditionally eaten by some Native Americans. The Paiutes used seed as a reserve food source.

Other information

Bottlebrush squirreltail is tolerant of disturbance and is a suitable species for revegetation. Winterfat adapts well to most site conditions, and its extensive root system stabilizes soil. However, winterfat is intolerant of flooding, excess water, and acidic soils.

Inventory data references

NASIS soil component data.

Type locality

Location 1: Humboldt County, NV	
Township/Range/Section	T47N R45E S6
UTM zone	N
UTM northing	4649982
UTM easting	500897

Latitude	42° 0' 6"
Longitude	116° 59' 21"
General legal description	NW¼ About ¼ mile south of the Idaho-Nevada state line, Humboldt County, Nevada. This site also occurs in Elko County, Nevada.

Other references

Fire Effects Information System (Online; <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/>).

USDA-NRCS Plants Database (Online; <http://www.plants.usda.gov>).

Anderson, D. C., K. T. Harper, and S. R. Rushforth. 1982. Recovery of cryptogamic soil crusts from grazing on Utah winter ranges. *Journal of Range Management* 35:355-359.

Banner, R.E. 1992. Vegetation types of Utah. *Journal of Range Management* 14(2):109-114.

Bich, B.S., J.L. Butler, and C.A. Schmidt. 1995. Effects of differential livestock use of key plant species and rodent populations within selected *Oryzopsis hymenoides/Hilaria jamesii* communities in Glen Canyon National Recreation Area. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 40(3):281-287.

Blaisdell, J.P. and R.C. Holmgren. 1984. Managing Intermountain rangelands – Salt-desert shrub ranges. USDA-FS General Technical Report INT-163. 52 p.

Booth, D.T. 1989. A model of freeze tolerance in winterfat germinants. In: *Proceedings--Symposium on shrub ecophysiology and biotechnology; 1987 June 30-July 2; Logan, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-256. Ogden, UT. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: Pgs 83-89.*

Britton, C.M., G.R. McPherson, and F.A. Sneva. 1990. Effects of burning and clipping on five bunchgrasses in eastern Oregon. *The Great Basin Naturalist* 50(2):115-120.

Caudle, D., J. DiBenedetto, M. Karl, H. Sanchez, and C. Talbot. 2013. Interagency ecological site handbook for rangelands. Available at: <http://jornada.nmsu.edu/sites/jornada.nmsu.edu/files/InteragencyEcolSiteHandbook.pdf>. Accessed 4 October 2013.

Chambers, J.C. and B.E. Norton. 1993. Effects of grazing and drought on population dynamics of salt desert species on the Desert Experimental Range, Utah. *Journal of Arid Environments* 24:261-275.

Clark, L.D. and N.E. West. 1971. Further studies of *Eurotia lanata* germination in relation to salinity. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 15(3):371-375.

Cook, C.W. and R.D. Child. 1971. Recovery of desert plants in various states of vigor. *Journal of Range Management* 24(5):339-343.

Dwyer, D.D. and R.D. Pieper. 1967. Fire effects on blue grama--pinyon-juniper rangeland in New Mexico. *Journal of Range Management* 20:359-362.

Eckert, R.E., Jr. 1954. A study of competition between whitesage and halogeton in Nevada. *Journal of Range Management* 7:223-225.

Eckert, R.E., Jr., F.F. Peterson, and F.L. Emmerich. 1987. A study of factors influencing secondary succession in the sagebrush [*Artemisia* spp. L.] type. In: Frasier, G.W. and R.A. Evans, (eds.). *Proceedings of the symposium: "Seed and seedbed ecology of rangeland plants"; 1987 April 21-23; Tucson, AZ. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service: Pgs 149-168.*

Fletcher, J. E. and W. P. Martin. 1948. Some Effects of Algae and Molds in the Rain-Crust of Desert Soils. *Ecology* 29:95-100.

Freeman, D.C. and J.M. Emlen. 1995. Assessment of interspecific interactions in plant communities: an illustration from the cold desert saltbush grasslands of North America. *Journal of Arid Environments* 31:179-198.

Hild, A.L., J.M. Muscha, and N.L. Shaw. 2007. Emergence and growth of four winterfat accessions in the presence of the exotic annual cheatgrass. In: Sosebee, R.E., D.B. Wester, C.M. Britton, E.D. McArthur, and S.G. Kitchen (compilers). *Proceedings: Shrubland dynamics—fire and water; 2004 Aug 10-12; Lubbock, TX. RMRS-P-47. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: Pgs 147-152.*

Hilton, J.W. 1941. Effects of certain micro-ecological factors on the germinability and early development of *Eurotia lanata*. *Northwest Science* 15:86-92.

Hironaka, M. and E.W. Tisdale. 1972. Growth and development of *Sitanion hystrix* and *Poa sandbergii*. Research Memorandum RM 72-24. U.S. International Biological Program, Desert Biome. 15 p.

Hutchings, S.S. and G. Stewart. 1953. Increasing forage yields and sheep production on Intermountain winter ranges. Circular No. 925. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture. 63 p.

Johnson, K.L. 1978. Wyoming shrublands: Proceedings, 7th Wyoming shrub ecology workshop; 1978 May 31-June 1; Rock Springs, WY. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming, Agricultural Extension Service. 58 p.

Johnson, R.D. and J.E. Anderson. 1984. Diets of black-tailed jackrabbits in relation to population density and vegetation. *Journal of Range Management* 37:79-83.

Majerus, M. 2003. Production and conditioning of winterfat seeds (*Krascheninnikovia lanata*). *Native Plants Journal* 4(1):10-17.

Mozingo, H.N. 1987. *Shrubs of the Great Basin: a natural history.* University of Nevada Press, Reno, NV. 342 p.

- Ogle, D.G., L. St. John, and L. Holzworth. 2001. Plant guide management and use of winterfat. Boise (ID): USDA-NRCS. 4 p.
- Pearson, L.C. 1964. Effect of harvest date on recovery of range grasses and shrubs. *Agronomy Journal* 56:80-82.
- Pearson, L.C. 1965. Primary production in grazed and ungrazed desert communities of eastern Idaho. *Ecology* 46(3):278-285.
- Pellant, M. and L. Reichert. 1984. Management and rehabilitation of a burned winterfat community in southwestern Idaho. In: Tiedemann, Arthur R.; McArthur, E. Durant; Stutz, Howard C.; [and others], compilers. *Proceedings--symposium on the biology of Atriplex and related chenopods; 1983 May 2-6; Provo, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-172. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: Pgs 281-285.*
- Ponzetti, J. M., B. McCune, and D. A. Pyke. 2007. Biotic Soil Crusts in Relation to Topography, Cheatgrass and Fire in the Columbia Basin, Washington. *The Bryologist* 110:706-722.
- Rasmussen, L.L. and J.D. Brotherson. 1986. Response of winterfat communities to release from grazing pressure. *Great Basin Naturalist* 46:148-156.
- Rice, B. and M. Westoby. 1978. Vegetative responses of some Great Basin shrub-communities protected against jackrabbits or domestic stock. *Journal of Range Management* 31:28-34.
- Romo, J.T., R.E. Redmann, B.L. Kowalenko, and A.R. Nicholson. 1995. Growth of winterfat following defoliation in northern mixed prairie of Saskatchewan. *Journal of Range Management* 48:240-245.
- Statler, G.D. 1967. *Eurotia lanata* establishment trials. *Journal of Range Management* 20:253-255.
- Stevens, R., B.C. Giunta, K.R. Jorgensen, and A.P. Plummer. Winterfat (*Ceratoides lanata*). Publ. No. 77-2. Salt Lake City, UT: Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources. 41 p.
- Stubbendieck, J., S.L. Hatch, and C.H. Butterfield. 1992. *North American range plants*. 4th ed. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press. 493 p.
- Welch, B.L. 1989. Nutritive value of shrubs. In: McKell, C.M. (ed.). *The biology and utilization of shrubs*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, Inc. Pgs 405-424.
- Welsh, S.L., N.D. Atwood, S. Goodrich, L.C. Higgins, (eds.). 1987. *A Utah flora. The Great Basin Naturalist Memoir No. 9*. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University. 894 p.
- West, N.E. 1994. Effects of fire on salt-desert shrub rangelands. In: Monsen, S.B. and S.G. Kitchen (compilers). *Proceedings--ecology and management of annual rangelands; 1992 May 18-22; Boise, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-313. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: Pgs 71-74.*
- West, N.E. and J. Gasto. 1978. Phenology of the aerial portions of shadscale and winterfat in Curlew Valley, Utah. *Journal of Range Management* 31(1):43-45.
- Wood, B.W. and J.D. Brotherson. 1986. Ecological adaptation and grazing response of budsage (*Artemisia spinescens*). In: McArthur, E.D. and B.L. Welch (compilers). *Proceedings--symposium on the biology of Artemisia and Chrysothamnus; 1984 July 9-13; Provo, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-200. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 75-92.*
- Workman, J.P. and N.E. West. 1967. Germination of *Eurotia lanata* in relation to temperature and salinity. *Ecology* 48(4):659-661.
- Williams, J. D. 1993. Influence of microphytic crusts on selected soil physical and hydrologic properties in the Hartnet Draw, Capital Reef National Park Utah. Utah State University.
- Young, R.P. 1983. Fire as a vegetation management tool in rangelands of the Intermountain Region. In: Monsen, S.B. and N. Shaw (compilers). *Managing Intermountain rangelands--improvement of range and wildlife habitats: Proceedings; 1981 September 15-17; Twin Falls, ID; 1982 June 22-24; Elko, NV. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-157. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: Pgs 18-31.*
- Young, J.A. and R.A. Evans 1977. Squirreltail seed germination. *Journal of Range Management* 30(1):33-36.
- Young, R.P. 1983. Fire as a vegetation management tool in rangelands of the Intermountain region. In: Monsen, S.B. and N. Shaw (eds). *Managing Intermountain rangelands--improvement of range and wildlife habitats: Proceedings of symposia; 1981 September 15-17; Twin Falls, ID; 1982 June 22-24; Elko, NV. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-157. Ogden, UT. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. Pp. 18-31.*

Contributors

CP
TK Stringham

Approval

Kendra Moseley, 3/06/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Patti Novak-Echenique
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	03/19/2010
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills are none.

2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are rare to common depending on site location relative to major inflow areas. Moderately fine to fine surface textures and physical crusts result in limited infiltration rates. The surface layer will normally crust and bake upon drying, inhibiting water infiltration and seedling emergence.

3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals are none.

4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):**
Bare Ground 60-70%.

5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** There are typically no gullies, although these soils have a potential for gullying, especially near shallow drainages.

6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None

7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):** Fine litter (foliage of grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move distance of slope length during periods of intense summer convection storms or run in of early spring snow melt flows. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during unusual flooding (ponding) events.

8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil stability values will range from 1 to 4. (To be field tested.)

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Structure of soil surface is thin platy. Soil surface colors are light and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic matter of the surface 2 to 3 inches is typically 1 to 3 percent.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** Shrubs and deep-rooted perennial herbaceous bunchgrasses (Indian ricegrass) and/or rhizomatous grasses (western wheatgrass) aid in infiltration.

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** Compacted layers are not typical. Subangular blocky structure or indurated dripans are normal for this site and are not to be interpreted as compaction.

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > low-stature shrubs or half-shrubs (winterfat)

Sub-dominant: Associated shrubs > shallow-rooted cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > cool season, rhizomatous grasses > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial and annual forbs

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 25% of total woody canopy.

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Between plant interspaces (\pm 10-20%) and depth (\pm ¼ in.)

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** For normal or average growing season (March thru May) \pm 500 lbs/ac.

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only**

one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Increases include Douglas' rabbitbrush. Invaders include annual mustards, annual kochia, Russian thistle, halogeton, knapweeds, and cheatgrass.

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years.
-