

Ecological site R021XY416OR ASPEN GROVE

Accessed: 08/08/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	Not specified

Physiographic features

This site occurs along drainageways and in concave areas in mountains. These areas receive additional moisture from melting snow or from seeps.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Drainageway
Elevation	1,770 – 2,260 m
Slope	10 – 20 %
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

Climatic features

The annual precipitation ranges from 18 to 32 inches, most of which occurs in the form of snow during the months of October through May. The soil temperature regime is frigid with the mean annual air temperatures of about 45 degrees F. Temperature extremes range from 85 to -30 degrees F. The frost free period is less than 50 days. The optimum period for plant growth is from June through July.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (average)	50 days
Freeze-free period (average)	0 days

Precipitation total (average)	810 mm
-------------------------------	--------

Influencing water features

Soil features

The soils of this site are deep and moderately well drained. The soils have loamy textures and contain over 35 percent rock fragments throughout the profile. The organic matter content is 1 to 4 percent throughout the upper 20 inches of the soil. The available water holding capacity is about 3 to 7 inches. Supplemental moisture from seeps and runoff keep the soil moist during most of the growing season. Permeability is moderately slow. Runoff is medium. Erosion hasard by water is slight to moderate.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Surface texture	(1) Loam
Family particle size	(1) Loamy
Drainage class	Moderately well drained
Permeability class	Moderately slow
Soil depth	150 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 40 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	7.62 – 17.78 cm
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0 – 40 %

Ecological dynamics

If the condition of the site deteriorates as a result of overgrazing, the preferred forage grasses will decline in vigor and eventually diminish in the stand. Kentucky bluegrass, timothy, meadow barley, mountain brome and weedy forbs will increase or invade the site. Big sagebrush and green rabbitbrush will increase in the stand.

With a lack of occasional fire, the aspen will tend to become decadent and the canopy will decrease. This will encourage an increase in less shade-tolerant species.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					
1	Dominant deep rooted perennial grasses			229-471	
	Leiberg's bluegrass	POLE	<i>Poa leibergii</i>	135-269	–
	slender wheatgrass	ELTR7	<i>Elymus trachycaulus</i>	67-135	–
	basin wildrye	LECI4	<i>Leymus cinereus</i>	27-67	–
2	Sub-dominant deep rooted perennial grasses			13-40	
	melicgrass	MELIC	<i>Melica</i>	27-40	–
3	Dominant shallow rooted perennial grasses			67-135	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	<i>Poa secunda</i>	67-135	–
5	Other perennial grasses			27-67	
	Columbia needlegrass	ACNE9	<i>Achnatherum nelsonii</i>	0-6	–
	mountain brome	BRMA4	<i>Bromus marginatus</i>	0-6	–
	sedge	CAREX	<i>Carex</i>	0-6	–
	meadow barley	HOBR2	<i>Hordeum brachyantherum</i>	0-6	–
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	<i>Koeleria macrantha</i>	0-6	–
	timothy	PHLEU	<i>Phleum</i>	0-6	–
Shrub/Vine					
11	Dominant evergreen shrubs			67-135	
	mountain big sagebrush	ARTRV	<i>Artemisia tridentata ssp. vaseyana</i>	67-135	–
13	Dominant deciduous (or 1/2 shrubs) shrubs			135-202	
	common snowberry	SYAL	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>	135-202	–
15	Other shrubs			27-67	
	bitter cherry	PREM	<i>Prunus emarginata</i>	0-6	–
	chokecherry	PRVI	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	0-6	–
	rose	ROSA5	<i>Rosa</i>	0-6	–
Tree					
18	Dominant deciduous trees			135-269	
	quaking aspen	POTR5	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	135-269	–
19	Sub-dominant deciduous trees			27-54	
	alder	ALNUS	<i>Alnus</i>	13-27	–
	willow	SALIX	<i>Salix</i>	13-27	–

Animal community

This site is suited for livestock grazing in late spring, summer and fall under a planned grazing system.

Hydrological functions

The soils are in hydrologic group C.

Wood products

This site is a source of aspen for specialty products and firewood.

Other products

This site is suited for livestock grazing in late spring, summer and fall under a planned grazing system.

Contributors

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Jeff Repp
Contact for lead author	Oregon NRCS State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	09/05/2012
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills: None, slight to moderate sheet & rill erosion hazard

2. Presence of water flow patterns: None

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):
<5%

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None, slight wind erosion hazard

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine - limited movement

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):
Significantly resistant to erosion: aggregate stability = 4-6

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Deep, moderately well drained cobbly loams (>35% rock fragments throughout the soil profile): Moderate to High OM (1-4%)

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Significant vegetative cover (70-90%), litter cover, and gentle slopes (5-20%) effectively limit rainfall impact and overland flow; infiltration is moderately slow

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Leiberg bluegrass > Quaking aspen > Snowberry > other dominant grasses > Mountain big sagebrush > forbs > other grasses
+ other shrubs > other trees

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):
Normal decadence and mortality expected

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):
Favorable: 1800, Normal: 1200, Unfavorable: 1000 lbs/acre/year at high RSI (HCPC)

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Perennial brush and weedy forb species will increase with deterioration of plant community. Western Juniper may invade the site. Cheatgrass and Medusahead invade sites that have lost deep rooted perennial grass functional groups.
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species should be capable of reproducing annually
-