

Ecological site AX004A01X003

Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest

Last updated: 5/02/2025
Accessed: 10/04/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 004A–Sitka Spruce Belt

This area consists of a long and narrow band of marine terraces, coastal estuaries, sand dunes, low relief hills, and mountain slopes that parallels the Pacific Ocean. This area is entirely within the Pacific Border Province of the Pacific Mountain System in Oregon and Washington. In Washington, this area ranges in elevation from sea level to a maximum of 1800 feet (550 meters) inland. The portion of this area in northern Washington consists primarily of glacial deposits, with some scattered young Tertiary sedimentary rocks. The climate is cool and moist, with minimal changes between seasons. Summer temperatures are moderated by the proximity of cool ocean water and fog. Sitka spruce forests are characteristic of this area. The average annual precipitation is 52 to 60 inches (1,320 to 1,525 millimeters) near the beach and can be as much as about 150 inches (3,800 millimeters) at the higher elevations along the inland edge of the MLRA. Most of the rainfall occurs during low-intensity, Pacific frontal storms. Precipitation is evenly distributed throughout fall, winter, and spring; summers are cool and dry. Snowfall accumulation is rare on the ocean side of this area, but some snowfall occurs along the eastern boundary. This area lies within the coastal fog belt zone, and heavy fogs are common in summer. Supplemental moisture is provided by fog condensation. Dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Andisols, Inceptisols, Spodosols, and Entisols.

Ecological site concept

Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest sites occur on low river valley terraces in the isomesic fog belt. These sites are frequently flooded and are characterized by a dense overstory of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera* ssp. *trichocarpa*). The understory shrub layer is dominated by salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*) and vine maple (*Acer circinatum*). The forb layer is typically composed of western swordfern (*Polystichum munitum*), redwood-sorrel (*Oxalis oregana*), common ladyfern (*Athyrium filix-femina*), threeleaf foamflower (*Tiarella trifoliata*), youth on age (*Tolmiea menziesii*), and coastal hedgenettle (*Stachys cooleyae*).

Associated sites

AX001X01X001	Temperate Flood Plain Shrubland Temperate Floodplain Shrublands occur between stream channels and adjacent Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest sites. Temperate Floodplain Shrublands have higher shrub cover versus tree cover than Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forests.
AX004A01X005	Isomesic Udic Alluvial Terrace Forest Isomesic Udic Alluvial Terraces occur on higher terraces above Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forests. Plant communities in Isomesic Udic Alluvial Terrace Forests more closely resemble those of upland forests.
AX001X01X200	Temperate Wet Meadow Temperate Wet Meadow sites occur on depressions and seeps adjacent to or surrounded by Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forests. Temperate Wet Meadow sites lack tree cover.

Similar sites

AX001X01X002	Mesic Udic Flood Plain Forest Mesic Udic Floodplain Forests occur at higher elevations and lack Sitka spruce (<i>Picea sitchensis</i>).
--------------	---

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	(1) <i>Picea sitchensis</i> (2) <i>Populus balsamifera</i> ssp. <i>trichocarpa</i>
Shrub	(1) <i>Acer circinatum</i> (2) <i>Rubus spectabilis</i>
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Polystichum munitum</i> (2) <i>Oxalis oregana</i>

Legacy ID

F004AA003WA

Physiographic features

This site primarily occurs on terrace treads in river valleys. Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest sites occur on higher floodplain positions and low alluvial terraces. These sites only flood occasionally. As a result, these floodplain forest sites support mature trees and are not subject to as frequent severe disturbance from intense flood events.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) River valley > Terrace
Flooding duration	Brief (2 to 7 days)
Flooding frequency	Rare to occasional
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	20 – 300 m
Slope	0 – 20 %
Water table depth	150 cm
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

This site occurs in an isomesic temperature and udic moisture regime. Precipitation arrives mostly via low-intensity, Pacific frontal storms. Precipitation mostly falls as rain, but some snowfall occurs along the eastern boundary of the area. Precipitation is evenly distributed throughout the fall, winter, and spring, while summers are dry. Heavy fog is very common in the summer, contributing supplemental moisture. The frost-free period of this area is strongly tied to ocean proximity; the eastern edge of the area has a significantly shorter growing season than the western edge. There is relatively little seasonal variation in air temperature due to Pacific Ocean influence.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	180-240 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	2,010-3,000 mm

Influencing water features

Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest sites are located along rivers and streams. These sites are subject to occasional flooding for brief duration. Rare intense floods can cause significant surface disturbance to these sites. This site exists in the ecotone between the Temperate Floodplain Shrublands and upland forest sites.

Soil features

The soils are very deep, have very high or high Ksat throughout, and are well drained. The soils are formed in mixed alluvium. The soil surface texture is gravelly sandy loam or loam. Clay content is three to 15 percent throughout. The soil series for this ecological site are Hatana and Cragcreek. Although representative of this site, these soils may exist across multiple ecological sites because of naturally variable slope, texture, rock fragments, and pH. An on-site soil pit and the most current ecological site key are necessary to classify a site.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Alluvium
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Loam
Drainage class	Well drained
Soil depth	200 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover >3"	0 – 10 %
Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	3.81 – 15.24 cm

Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-25.4cm)	4.5 – 5.5
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-50.8cm)	30 – 50 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-50.8cm)	20 – 50 %

Ecological dynamics

Plant community dynamics of Isomesic Udic Floodplain Forest sites are driven primarily by the frequency and intensity of flooding events. Alpine snowmelt from higher elevations generates large amounts of water and may result in high-intensity flood events. Frequently, flood waters will breach terrace risers and reach these floodplain forest sites. This ecological site is situated in the transition zone between floodplain shrublands and upland forests. Dominant species in the reference community are generally tolerant of low to moderate-intensity flooding events.

High-force windstorms are a major source of large-scale disturbance to these riparian forests. Blowdown events are often severe and may be stand replacing. (Van Pelt, 2007). Wildfires are an additional source of disturbance, though they occur much less frequently. The fire regime of this forest type is characterized by infrequent, severe fire events. The fire return interval is very high, 100 to nearly 1,000 years (FEIS, 2012). Major 100 to 500-year flood events may remove litter and scour the mineral soil surface. Windstorms, intense floods, and stand-replacing fire events are all capable of inducing high woody species mortality on these sites. The removal of overstory species will generally favor the regeneration of shade-intolerant species in the aftermath of extreme disturbance events. Stand-replacing disturbance events provide a major source of instream wood recruitment in these riparian systems (Martens et al., 2020).

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 1.4 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 9. Community 1.5 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Other references

Breemen, Nico van. "How Sphagnum Bogs down Other Plants." Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10, no. 7 (July 1, 1995): 270–75. 90007-1.

Dwire, K. and Kauffman, J. 2003. Fire and Riparian Ecosystems in Landscapes in the Western United States. Forest Ecology and Management, Vol. 178 pg. 61-74.

Franklin, Jerry F.; Cromack, Kermit, Jr.; Denison, William; [and others]. 1981. Ecological characteristics of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-118. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range

- Gavin, D., Brubaker, L. (2015). The Modern Landscape of the Olympic Peninsula. In: Late Pleistocene and Holocene Environmental Change on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. Ecological Studies, vol 222. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11014-1_1
- Geertsema, Marten & Pojar, James. (2007). Influence of landslides on biophysical diversity — A perspective from British Columbia. *Geomorphology*. 89. 55-69. [10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.019).
- Goheen, E.M. and Willhite, E.A. 2006. Field Guide to Common Diseases and Inspect Pests of Oregon and Washington Conifers. Portland, Oregon: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region R6-NR-FID-PR-01-06.
- Hanley, D.P and D.M. Baumgartner. 2002. Forest Ecology in Washington. Washington State University Extension Publishing. Technical Report EB 1943.
- Hanson, E.J., D.L. Azuma and B.A. Hiserote. 2002. Site Index Equations and Mean Annual Increment Equations for Pacific Northwest Research Station Forest Inventory and Analysis Inventories, 1985-2001. USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station, Research Note PNW-RN-533.
- Hemstrom, M., Franklin, J. 1982. Fire and Other Disturbances of the Forests in Mount Rainier National Park. *Quaternary Research*, Vol 18 pp 32-61.
- James K. Agee and Mark H. Huff. 1987. Fuel succession in a western hemlock/Douglas-fir forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 17(7): 697-704. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x87-112>
- Nielsen, E. M., R. L. Brunner, C. Copass and L. K. Wise, 2021. Olympic National Park map class descriptions. National Park Service, Fort Collins.
- Pojar J., and MacKinnon. 1994. Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast. Lone Pine, Vancouver, British Columbia. 528 pages.
- PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University, <http://prism.oregonstate.edu>, visited October 2023.
- Reed Wendel, Darlene Zabowski "Fire History within the Lower Elwha River Watershed, Olympic National Park, Washington," *Northwest Science*, 84(1), 88-97, (1 January 2010)
- Rocheftort, R.M. and Peterson, D.L. 1996. Temporal and Spatial Distribution of Trees in Subalpine Meadows of Mount Rainier National Park. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, Vol. 28, No. 1 pp 52-59.
- Seastedt, T.R., Adams, G.A. 2001. Effects of Mobile Tree Islands on Alpine Tundra Soils. *Ecology*, Vol 82 pp 8-17.
- Smith, K., G. Kuhn, and L. Townsend. 2008. Culmination of Mean Annual Increment for Indicator Tree Species in the State of Washington. USDA-NRCS Technical Note Forestry-9.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, 2015. Silvics Manual Vol 1. http://na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/silvics_manual/Volume_1/vol1_Table_of_contents.htm, visited December 2015.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. 2014. Ecological Site Descriptions for North Cascades National Park Complex, Washington.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. Ecological Site Descriptions for Mount Rainier National Park, Washington.
- Van Pelt, R. 2007. Identifying Mature and Old Forests in Western Washington. Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA. 104 p
- Washington Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program. 2015. Ecological Systems of Washington State. A Guide to Identification.
- Wood, David, and Moral, Roger del. "Mechanisms of Early Primary Succession in Subalpine Habitats on Mount St. Helens." *Ecology* 68, no. 4 (1987).
- Zhao, Yunpeng, Chengzhu Liu, Simin Wang, Yiyun Wang, Xiaoqing Liu, Wanqing Luo, and Xiaojuan Feng. "'Triple Locks' on Soil Organic Carbon Exerted by Sphagnum Acid in Wetlands." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 315 (December 2021): 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.09.028>.

Contributors

Alec Haulotte
Erin Kreutz
Abigail Field
Max Ross
Erik Dahlke

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 5/02/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	10/04/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future

establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
