

Ecological site AX001X01X303

Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow

Last updated: 5/15/2025
Accessed: 09/21/2026

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 001X–Northern Pacific Coast Range, Foothills, and Valleys

This area consists of a long and narrow range of mountains with associated foothills and valleys that parallels the Pacific Ocean. This area is entirely within the Pacific Border Province of the Pacific Mountain System in Oregon and Washington. MLRA 1 is bounded on the north by the highest elevations of the Olympic Mountains and the strait of Juan de Fuca, and by the Klamath Mountains on the south. The Washington portion of this MLRA is primarily composed of young Tertiary sedimentary rocks (siltstone and sandstone) mixed with some volcanic rocks of the same age. Glacial till and outwash deposits are also found in the northern half of this area in Washington. Much of this area is accreted terrane formed by tectonic processes. The average annual precipitation ranges from 60 to 200 inches (1,525 to 5,580 millimeters), increasing with elevation. Most of the precipitation in this area occurs during low-intensity, Pacific frontal storms and is evenly distributed throughout fall, winter, and spring. The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Andisols, Inceptisols, and Ultisols. Soil depths broadly range from shallow to very deep. Soils are primarily well drained, however poorly drained soils may be found in depressional areas and on alluvial floodplains. Surface textures are typically medial and loamy or clayey. Soils in this area dominantly have a mesic or frigid temperature regime and a udic moisture regime. Soils with aquic moisture regimes and cryic temperature regimes also occur.

Ecological site concept

Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites occur on cirques, bench basins, and bedrock knobs at elevations above 900 meters. These sites are characterized by a community of shrubs, forbs, and graminoids. Tree establishment is generally precluded by high snowpack and low temperatures; however, tree islands may occasionally develop on site. These sites frequently generate run-off moisture that increases the effective precipitation of associated Cryic Udic Moist Meadow, Cryic Udic Wet Meadow, and Cryic Aquic Meadow sites. These sites are commonly distributed throughout the mid to high elevation zones of the park, except for the Northeast quadrant of the park where the Cryic Udic Dry Meadow site is more common.

Associated sites

AX001X01X304	Cryic Udic Moist Subalpine Meadow Cryic Udic Moist Subalpine Meadow sites may be found on more stable slopes adjacent to Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites. Increased effective precipitation favors productivity Cryic Udic Moist Subalpine Meadows.
AX001X01X305	Cryic Udic Wet Subalpine Meadow Cryic Udic Wet Subalpine Meadow sites may be found on more stable slopes adjacent to Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites. Cryic Udic Wet Subalpine Meadow sites have seasonally high water tables but are not subject to ponding.
AX001X01X306	Cryic Aquic Subalpine Wet Meadow Cryic Aquic Subalpine Wet Meadow sites may be found on more stable slopes adjacent to Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites. Cryic Aquic Subalpine Wet Meadow sites are subject to frequent ponding for long duration.

Similar sites

AX001X01X302	Cryic Udic Dry Subalpine Meadow Cryic Udic Dry Subalpine Meadow sites occur in the rain shadow of the Olympic mountains and on steep or exposed aspects where effective precipitation is reduced. Cryic Udic Dry Subalpine Meadow sites have much lower productivity and commonly support common juniper (<i>Juniperus communis</i>).
--------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Eucephalus paucicapitatus</i> (2) <i>Lupinus latifolius</i>

Legacy ID

R001XA303WA

Physiographic features

This site primarily occurs on glacial valley walls and colluvial aprons on mountains. Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites are found on relatively unstable slopes and generate run-off that is captured by more stable downslope sites.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Mountains (2) Glacial-valley wall (3) Colluvial apron
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	900 – 1,900 m
Slope	0 – 100 %
Water table depth	150 cm
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

This site occurs in a cryic temperature and udic moisture regime. Precipitation arrives mostly via low-intensity, Pacific frontal storms. Precipitation is unevenly distributed, with the lowest amounts on the leeward side of the Coast Range mountains. Precipitation falls largely as snow in higher elevations. Precipitation is evenly distributed throughout the fall, winter, and spring, while summers are dry. Air temperatures vary significantly along the elevation gradient.

Table 3 Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	30-90 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	1,500-3,990 mm

Influencing water features

There are no dominant water features influencing plant community dynamics on this site.

Soil features

The soils that support this ecological site occur in the cryic soil temperature regime and the udic soil moisture regime. Lollah soils are very deep, formed from colluvium from metasedimentary rock, and occur on cirques and glacial valley walls. Catpeak soils are moderately deep, formed from residuum, and occur on cirques and structural benches. These soils are all well drained and have high or very high saturated hydraulic conductivity. They have a rock fragment content of greater than 35 percent in the control section, and a relatively high organic carbon content in the surface horizons. Although representative of this site, these soils may exist across multiple ecological sites because of naturally variable slope, texture, rock fragments, and pH. An on-site soil pit and the most current ecological site key are necessary to classify a site.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Residuum (2) Colluvium – metasedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Silt loam (2) Gravelly silt loam (3) Very gravelly silt loam
Drainage class	Well drained
Soil depth	50 cm
Surface fragment cover $\leq 3"$	0 – 10 %
Surface fragment cover $> 3"$	Not specified

Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	5.59 – 11.18 cm
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-25.4cm)	4.5 – 5.5
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (0-50.8cm)	0 – 50 %
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (0-50.8cm)	0 – 20 %

Ecological dynamics

The Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow site is found at mid-to-high elevations in the park where snowpack persists long into the spring and summer. Long-duration snowpack cover significantly reduces growing degree days on site. These sites are typically located toward the windward side of the Olympic mountains but may occasionally occur on sufficiently protected aspects within the rain shadow where solar radiation does not significantly reduce effective precipitation. These sites are typically located on gentle to moderate slopes and produce runoff water that is captured by downslope, stable Moist Subalpine Meadow, Wet Subalpine Meadow, and Aquic Subalpine Meadow sites.

Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadows are subject to severe disturbance from wildfire, avalanches, and mass-movement events. The fire regime of this site likely resembles that of adjacent and lower High Cryic Udic Forest sites that are characterized by high-intensity burns with a long return interval of greater than 200 years (FEIS). Historical fires would likely begin in lower-elevation forests and move upslope to these meadow sites. When fire does occur, burns are often patchy and low-extent due to discontinuous fuels. Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites are susceptible to avalanches and mass-movement events. Powerful avalanches may remove trees and hard-stemmed shrubs from the site and create early seral conditions favorable for the establishment of graminoids and forbs. Mass movement can occur on unstable slopes and remove all or nearly all vegetation from the site.

High snowpack generally precludes tree establishment on sites, but trees may occasionally establish at the lower elevations of this ecological site. Once established, trunks and limbs may provide shelter from snowdrifts and allow additional seedlings to recruit in tree island mosaics. Cryic Udic Subalpine Meadow sites are subject to high-intensity winds that are capable of uprooting and throwing trees. Temporal and spatial patterns of tree establishment on this site are unpredictable due to large interannual variations in snowfall.

State and transition model

Additional community tables

Table 5. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 6. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 7. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Table 8. Community 1.4 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production ()	Foliar Cover (%)
-------	-------------	--------	-----------------	----------------------	------------------

Other references

Breemen, Nico van. "How Sphagnum Bogs down Other Plants." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 10, no. 7 (July 1, 1995): 270–75. 90007-1.

Dwire, K. and Kauffman, J. 2003. Fire and Riparian Ecosystems in Landscapes in the Western United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, Vol. 178 pg. 61-74.

Franklin, Jerry F.; Cromack, Kermit, Jr.; Denison, William; [and others]. 1981. Ecological characteristics of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-118. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p. [7551]

Gavin, D., Brubaker, L. (2015). The Modern Landscape of the Olympic Peninsula. In: Late Pleistocene and Holocene Environmental Change on the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. *Ecological Studies*, vol 222. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11014-1_1

Geertsema, Marten & Pojar, James. (2007). Influence of landslides on biophysical diversity — A perspective from British Columbia. *Geomorphology*. 89. 55-69. 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.019.

Goheen, E.M. and Willhite, E.A. 2006. Field Guide to Common Diseases and Inspect Pests of Oregon and Washington Conifers. Portland, Oregon: USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region R6-NR-FID-PR-01-06.

Hanley, D.P and D.M. Baumgartner. 2002. Forest Ecology in Washington. Washington State University Extension Publishing. Technical Report EB 1943.

Hanson, E.J., D.L. Azuma and B.A. Hiserote. 2002. Site Index Equations and Mean Annual Increment Equations for Pacific Northwest Research Station Forest Inventory and Analysis Inventories, 1985-2001. USDA Forest Service Pacific Northwest Research Station, Research Note PNW-RN-533.

Hemstrom, M., Franklin, J. 1982. Fire and Other Disturbances of the Forests in Mount Rainier National Park. *Quaternary Research*, Vol 18 pp 32-61.

James K. Agee and Mark H. Huff. 1987. Fuel succession in a western hemlock/Douglas-fir forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 17(7): 697-704. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x87-112>

Nielsen, E. M., R. L. Brunner, C. Copass and L. K. Wise, 2021. Olympic National Park map class descriptions. National Park Service, Fort Collins.

Pojar J., and MacKinnon. 1994. Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast. Lone Pine, Vancouver, British Columbia. 528 pages.

PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University, <http://prism.oregonstate.edu>, visited October 2023.

Reed Wendel, Darlene Zabowski "Fire History within the Lower Elwha River Watershed, Olympic National Park, Washington," *Northwest Science*, 84(1), 88-97, (1 January 2010)

Rochefort, R.M. and Peterson, D.L. 1996. Temporal and Spatial Distribution of Trees in Subalpine Meadows of Mount Rainier National Park. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, Vol. 28, No. 1 pp 52-59.

Seastedt, T.R., Adams, G.A. 2001. Effects of Mobile Tree Islands on Alpine Tundra Soils. *Ecology*, Vol 82 pp 8-17.

Smith, K., G. Kuhn, and L. Townsend. 2008. Culmination of Mean Annual Increment for Indicator Tree Species in the State of Washington. USDA-NRCS Technical Note Forestry-9.

United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, 2015. Silvics Manual Vol 1. http://na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/silvics_manual/Volume_1/vol1_Table_of_contents.htm, visited December 2015.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. 2014. Ecological Site Descriptions for North Cascades National Park Complex, Washington.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. Ecological Site Descriptions for Mount Rainier National Park, Washington.

Van Pelt, R. 2007. Identifying Mature and Old Forests in Western Washington. Washington State Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA. 104 p

Washington Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program. 2015. Ecological Systems of Washington State. A Guide to Identification.

Wood, David, and Moral, Roger del. "Mechanisms of Early Primary Succession in Subalpine Habitats on Mount St. Helens." Ecology 68, no. 4 (1987).

Zhao, Yunpeng, Chengzhu Liu, Simin Wang, Yiyun Wang, Xiaoqing Liu, Wanqing Luo, and Xiaojuan Feng. "'Triple Locks' on Soil Organic Carbon Exerted by Sphagnum Acid in Wetlands." Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 315 (December 2021): 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.09.028>.

Contributors

Alec Haulotte
Erin Kreutz
Abigail Field
Max Ross
Erik Dahlke

Approval

Grant Petersen, 5/15/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	09/21/2026
Approved by	
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):

9. Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):

10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:

11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):

12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):

14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):

15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:
-

17. Perennial plant reproductive capability:
-